## **BOARD OF REGENTS**



## SUMMARY OF ITEM FOR ACTION, INFORMATION OR DISCUSSION

**TOPIC**: Report on Extramural Funding, FY 2011

**COMMITTEE**: Education Policy

**DATE OF COMMITTEE MEETING**: January 25, 2012

<u>SUMMARY</u>: This report provides information on extramural awards received by USM institutions in support of specific initiatives in research, education, or service in FY 2011; in addition to detailed information by institution and funding source for FY 2010 and FY 2011, the report also provides five years of summary data by institution for comparison purposes. It is important to note that while the report on extramural awards is consistent within the USM, it is not directly comparable with NSF accounting-based reports based on national standards nor with expenditure data in System budget documents.

In FY 2011, the System received \$1,311,196,159 in extramural funding, a 4.3% decrease from the FY 2010 total of \$1,370,245,201. UMB once again garnered the largest extramural funding total among System institutions and realized an almost 4% increase over last year's total. TU, UB, UMES and UMUC also had higher extramural funding totals in FY 2011 than in FY 2010. Double-digit declines in funding at three research-intensive institutions (UMBC, UMCP and UMCES) contributed to the overall decline in System funding.

FY 2010 was the first year in which UMBI was not a constituent institution of the USM. Extramural funding totals for UMBI in prior years are included in the summary table in the report.

**ALTERNATIVE(S)**: This is an information item only.

**FISCAL IMPACT**: This is an information item only.

**CHANCELLOR'S RECOMMENDATION**: This is an information item only.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION: Received as information. DATE: January 25, 2012

BOARD ACTION: DATE:

SUBMITTED BY: Irwin L. Goldstein (301) 445-1992 irv@usmd.edu



## UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF MARYLAND Extramural Support for USM Institutions FY 2011

USM institutions seek and receive funding for their research, education, and public service activities from many sources. This report shows how many proposals each institution generated, how many awards were received, and the total amount of funding received from external sources. In FY 2011, the System received \$1,311,196,159 in extramural funding, a 4.3% decrease from the FY 2010 total of \$1,370,245,201. In spite of the decline, it should be noted that once again, the proportion of the total budget accounted for by extramural funding exceeded that accounted for by either state general funds or tuition and fee revenue.

Awards are counted in the year they are received. By contrast, reports issued by government agencies, such as the National Science Foundation (NSF) provide data on expenditures, not awards, for research; expenditures may be verified by accounting procedures but the data lag behind the receipt of awards by several years. No new NSF data are available for comparison with other states.

Table 1 shows how much income each institution generated in the past two years from grants and contracts from the federal government, from Maryland state agencies, from non-profit foundations, from corporations, and from other sources, such as non-governmental organizations. Table 1 also shows the number of proposals submitted to each type of funding source and the number of grants received. It should also be noted that in this report the number of awards represents not only new awards but also amendments to existing awards that provide additional funding not previously accounted for. Institutions that receive a high percentage of awards funded in increments will have a higher number of awards than proposals, since one initial proposal could result in multiple funding actions. It should also be noted that some awards received were the result of proposals submitted in a prior fiscal year and that notification regarding the funding of some proposals submitted in FY 2011 were still pending as the fiscal year closed.

At every institution, extramural funding derives from a variety of sources. Although the federal government is the largest funding source for the System as a whole and for research-intensive institutions, state government, corporations and foundations, and other sources provided support on the same order of magnitude as federal funds for the regional comprehensive universities.

Most of the System increases in extramural funding through FY 2010 were due to significant jumps in federal funding. In FY 2011, the federal funding bubble burst, with federal support to USM institutions declining by roughly 11%. Increases in state, corporate and foundation funding helped soften the impact of the federal funding decline.

Table 1
Extramural Funding for the USM
Fiscal years 10 and 11

USM	Proposals	Awards	Award Amount FY11	USM	Proposals	Awards	Award Amount FY10
Federal	3,535	3,384	\$855,020,632	Federal	3,967	3,351	\$958,768,928
State	855	1,284	\$168,153,266	State	970	952	\$156,525,906
Corporate	773	1,636	\$60,928,156	Corporate	722	1,491	\$56,284,595
Foundations	661	943	\$137,892,463	Foundations	858	835	\$110,464,372
Other	1,239	1,954	\$101,224,415	Other	1,152	1,770	\$101,382,210
TOTAL	7,063	9,201	\$1,323,218,932	TOTAL	7,669	8,399	\$1,383,426,011
Total less USM			\$1,311,196,159	Total less USM			\$1,370,245,201
BSU				BSU			
Federal	70	42	\$17,747,520	Federal	79	43	\$18,339,372
State	3	1	\$25,000	State	18	11	\$1,776,846
Corporate	3	3	\$299,053	Corporate	4	4	\$310,000
Foundations	5	5	\$258,270	Foundations	4	1	\$5,000
Other	6	4	\$144,700	Other			
TOTAL	87	55	\$18,474,543	TOTAL	105	59	\$20,431,218
Total less USM			\$18,414,543	Total less USM			\$20,287,729
CSU				CSU			
Federal	15	11	\$6,877,089	Federal	17	12	\$7,148,736
State	10	5	\$1,039,524	State	15	10	\$1,444,535
Corporate	10	8	\$242,562	Corporate	9	5	\$145,224
Foundation	20	15	\$402,467	Foundation	26	22	\$468,483
Other	6	2	\$335,400	Other	5	4	\$337,650
TOTAL	61	41	\$8,897,042	TOTAL	72	53	\$9,544,628
Total less USM			\$8,561,642	Total less USM			\$9,486,977
			. , ,		l.		. , ,
FSU				FSU			
Federal	6	11	\$1,366,235	Federal	13	18	\$2,690,343
State	15	17	\$1,132,602	State	18	18	\$646,108
Corporate	1	1	\$5,000	Corporate	1	1	\$5,000
Foundations	10	14	\$321,669	Foundations	11	12	\$260,048
Other	18	11	\$495,019	Other	21	12	\$895,802
TOTAL	50	54	\$3,320,525	TOTAL	64	61	\$4,497,301
Total less USM			\$2,834,786	Total less USM			\$4,144,578
SU				SU			
Federal	21	5	\$822,925	Federal	18	4	\$1,007,732
State	58	51	\$2,141,734	State	42	45	\$2,840,604
Corporate	14	11	\$150,729	Corporate	8	6	\$120,506
Foundations	18	5	\$19,950	Foundations	1	2	\$55,374
Other	36	24	\$871,213	Other	22	15	\$1,445,736
TOTAL			70,=10	J			+ . , ,
IUIAL	147	96	\$4,006,551	TOTAL	91	72	\$5,469,952

Award Amount	Awards	Proposals		Award Amount	Awards	Proposals		
FY10	Awarus	rioposais	TU	FY11	Awaius	rioposais	TU	
\$4,643,112	27	67	Federal	\$5,844,256	28	68	Federal	
\$17,975,201	69	80	State	\$19,251,006	68	79	State	
\$836,761	11	12	Corporate	\$1,404,328	34	34	Corporate	
\$2,796,647	30	40	Foundations	\$2,436,027	19	24	Foundations	
\$3,706,542	31	53	Other	\$1,382,023	25	33	Other	
\$29,958,263	168	252	TOTAL	\$30,317,640	174	238	TOTAL	
\$29,493,680			Total less USM	\$30,252,411			Total less USM	
	•	<u> </u>						
			UB				UB	
\$570,533	5	21	Federal	\$442,990	3	8	Federal	
\$2,949,230	37	66	State	\$4,968,729	43	38	State	
\$132,426	10	12	Corporate	\$226,738	11	9	Corporate	
\$963,174	26	50	Foundations	\$941,832	21	23	Foundations	
\$76,746	6	17	Other	\$57,119	4	8	Other	
\$4,692,109	84	166	TOTAL	\$6,637,408	82	86	TOTAL	
\$4,594,526			Total less USM	\$5,571,603			Total less USM	
<b>#050.074.005</b>	4044	4045	UMB	<b>#</b> 040,000,004	070	4400	UMB	
\$353,671,295	1211	1315	Federal	\$340,326,061	870	1136	Federal	
\$63,282,704	312	347	State	\$68,773,020	316	296	State	
\$26,611,907	372	312	Corporate	\$30,208,172	378	329	Corporate	
\$87,791,345	409	551	Foundations	\$113,535,882	348	389	Foundations	
\$35,741,861	260	364	Other	\$35,708,858	268	350	Other	
\$567,099,112	2564	2889	TOTAL	\$588,551,993	2180	2500	TOTAL	
\$565,961,418			Total less USM	\$587,353,266			Total less USM	
			UMBC				UMBC	
\$73,537,504	481	485	Federal	\$50,983,038	395	372	Federal	
\$13,721,697	88	66	State	\$21,401,595	101	61	State	
\$2,211,079	50	27	Corporate	\$1,607,336	55	35	Corporate	
\$2,451,890	52	55	Foundations	\$4,061,652	57	42	Foundations	
\$2,713,915	40	44	Other	\$5,386,755	91	132	Other	
\$94,636,085	711	677	TOTAL	\$83,440,376	699	642	TOTAL	
\$89,011,751			Total less USM	\$79,969,483			Total less USM	
			UMCES				UMCES	
\$21,657,776	166	161	Federal	\$17,425,937	119	155	Federal	
\$4,248,496	102	41	State	\$4,280,144	159	32	State	
\$287,908	132	7	Corporate	\$167,825	141	1	Corporate	
\$452,563	7	12	Foundation	\$433,032	9	9	Foundation	
\$955,053	112	46	Other	\$2,027,645	122	49	Other	

519

\$27,601,796

\$27,173,330

267

TOTAL

Total less USM

246

TOTAL

Total less USM

550

\$24,334,583

\$23,095,626

	Proposals	Awards	Award Amount
UMCP			FY10
Federal	1682	1331	\$402,967,908
State	245	243	\$46,842,093
Corporate	308	894	\$25,247,099
Foundations	90	265	\$14,934,043
Other	567	1280	\$54,870,109
TOTAL	2892	4013	\$544,861,252
Total less USM			\$540,906,304

Award Amount	Awards	Proposals	
FY11			UMCP
\$333,185,824	1848	1578	Federal
\$44,246,567	499	230	State
\$26,100,856	986	313	Corporate
\$13,909,606	441	105	Foundations
\$54,122,432	1393	585	Other
\$471,565,285	5167	2811	TOTAL
\$468,377,525			Total less USM

			UMES
\$17,477,669	48	102	Federal
\$794,700	16	30	State
\$326,685	4	9	Corporate
\$220,805	6	11	Foundations
\$563,796	9	13	Other
\$19,383,655	83	165	TOTAL
\$19,233,655			Total less USM

			UMES
\$21,881,926	48	102	Federal
\$888,192	23	30	State
\$174,957	1	1	Corporate
\$73,141	3	8	Foundations
\$618,251	9	16	Other
\$23,636,467	84	157	TOTAL
\$23,314,434			Total less USM

			UNIUC
\$55,056,948	5	7	Federal
\$3,692	1	2	State
\$50,000	2	13	Corporate
\$65,000	3	7	Foundations
\$75,000	1	0	Other
\$55,250,640	12	29	TOTAL
\$55,250,640			Total less USM

			UMUC
\$58,116,831	4	4	Federal
\$5,153	1	3	State
\$340,600	7	23	Corporate
\$1,498,935	6	8	Foundations
\$75,000	1	0	Other
\$60,036,519	19	38	TOTAL
\$60,036,519			Total less USM

The bottom line for each institution is the total received diminished by the amount of collaborative grants or contracts that it passes through to another USM institution. The USM total is non-duplicative.

Table 2 summarizes institutional total income in FY 2011 and compares it with the previous four years.

UMB once again garnered the largest extramural funding total among System institutions and realized an almost 4% increase over last year's total. TU, UB, UMES and UMUC also had higher extramural funding totals in FY 2011 than in FY 2010. Double-digit declines in funding at three research-intensive institutions (UMBC, UMCP and UMCES) contributed to the overall decline in System funding.

Table 2
Extramural Funding Summary
Fiscal Years 2007 - 2011

Institution	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	% change
						FY10- FY11
BSU	\$9,571,537	\$7,151,777	\$14,423,545	\$20,431,218	\$18,474,543	-9.6%
CSU	\$8,349,825	\$10,593,398	\$9,367,912	\$9,544,628	\$8,897,042	-6.8%
FSU	\$2,082,782	\$2,724,163	\$4,176,512	\$4,497,301	\$3,320,525	-26.2%
SU	\$3,886,661	\$4,702,476	\$5,608,378	\$5,469,952	\$4,006,551	-26.8%
TU	\$19,045,341	\$23,491,037	\$26,097,960	\$29,958,263	\$30,317,640	1.2%
UB	\$8,054,190	\$7,350,064	\$5,522,631	\$4,692,109	\$6,637,408	41.5%
UMB	\$410,917,310	\$449,976,706	\$517,057,446	\$567,099,112	\$588,551,993	3.8%
UMBC	\$87,605,526	\$87,460,966	\$88,790,877	\$94,636,085	\$83,440,376	-11.8%
UMBI	\$48,341,002	\$26,284,026	\$20,257,107	\$0	\$0	NA
UMCES	\$14,299,325	\$21,887,437	\$19,527,314	\$27,601,795	\$24,334,583	-11.8%
UMCP	\$407,325,936	\$400,922,612	\$518,277,933	\$544,861,253	\$471,565,285	-13.5%
UMES	\$18,258,370	\$19,639,242	\$19,676,273	\$19,383,655	\$23,636,467	21.9%
UMUC	\$57,684,810	\$52,840,923	\$55,402,970	\$55,250,640	\$60,036,519	8.7%
Inst. Total	\$1,095,422,615	\$1,115,024,827	\$1,304,186,858	\$1,383,426,011	\$1,323,218,932	-4.4%
USM Total	\$1,082,037,651	\$1,104,851,795	\$1,292,781,413	\$1,370,245,201	\$1,311,196,159	-4.3%

Institutional figures reflect all awards made to each institution, even if as a subcontractor to or joint awardee with another USM institution. Thus, the institutional total double-counts some awards. The USM Total is unduplicated; that is, support for projects in which more than one institution is an award recipient is counted only once.