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College Park IP Committee formed several months ago, with broad campus participation, to examine and revise UM College Park’s current IP Policy. There are several goals:

- Determine whether the policy is still current and make appropriate changes where necessary
- Create a document that is more succinct and readable
- Modify policy in light of the recent Stanford v. Roche decision by the supreme court on inventor ownership
- Coordinate with UMB IP policies where possible re: the MPowering initiative
- Provide template/basis for a revised, updated BOR IP Policy
To date the Committee is considering the following issues:

- Software IP will be treated under inventions, with appropriate exceptions (e.g. open source), rather than as a separate stand-alone section of the policy.
- The Committee is clarifying which classes of University employees are entitled to IP ownership.
- Minor wording changes on University ownership to avoid the Stanford v. Roche issue.
- Examine revenue distribution and incentive structure and provide clearer wording.