

Dashboard Indicators 2018

Board of Regents Committee on Finance June 7, 2018

Office of the Vice Chancellor for Administration & Finance

2018 USM Dashboard Indicators Key Indicators

The 2018 Dashboard Indicators provide a "snapshot" overview of the USM and its institutions. It brings together data from many USM reports and data sets. The indicators noted below were selected to highlight specific trends and challenges drawn from the System and Institutional Dashboards.

Access, Affordability and Attainment Indicators

- Institutional Financial Aid Institutional financial aid awarded to undergraduates reached record levels of over \$151 million dollars. (System Indicator 13).
- Recipients of Financial aid –The percentage of undergraduates receiving a financial aid award remained relatively steady in FY 2017, and for 8 out of 9 USM institutions, the percentage of undergraduates receiving aid was higher than the peer institutional average. (Institutional Indicator 8).

Facilities Indicators

- Facilities Renewal –Only one USM institution met the Board of Regents' policy goal for facilities renewal at two percent of replacement, and four other institutions exceeded one percent of replacement. Seven institutions were able to maintain or improve their performance. (Institutional Indicator 52).
- Non-traditional Credit Activity System-wide, almost 13% of all credits awarded to undergraduates in FY 2017 were delivered via a modality other than face-to-face instruction. This measure was originally established by the Board to measure the use of non-traditional methods for efficiently supporting greater numbers of students. The most recent results exceeded the Regent's target of 10%. (Institutional Indicator 53).

Fiscal Indicators

• **Fund Balance** – All but one USM institution successfully met the goal to increase the institution's fund balance. The USM, as a whole, was also successful in meeting its fund balance goal. (*Institutional Indicator 43*)

Economic Development Indicators

• **Upper Division STEM Enrollment** – This measure is a leading indicator of progress on the State's and the USM's commitments to increase Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM) degrees. USM continues to increase enrollment with an additional +300 students from Fall 2016 to Fall 2017. (System Indicator 35 & Institutional Indicator 35).

Summary of 2018 Core Dashboard Indicators As of 5/21/18

Note: Data are the most recent available for any given indicator. Years are not the same for all indicators.

<u>#</u>	<u>Indicator</u>	<u>UMCP</u>	<u>UMBC</u>	<u>UMB</u>	<u>BSU</u>	<u>CSU</u>	<u>FSU</u>	<u>su</u>	<u>TU</u>	<u>UB</u>	<u>UMES</u>	<u>UMUC</u>	<u>UMCES</u>	System
1	Average SAT	1305	1217		868	862	942	1150	1080		921			
2	6-year graduation rate	87%	64%		37%	17%	47%	69%	71%		36%			65%
3	2nd-year retention rate	95%	87%		74%	66%	76%	83%	86%	74%	66%			74%
4	AfrAmer., Hispan., & Native Amer. as % of total undergraduates	23%	24%		89%	81%	37%	18%	26%	53%	78%	44%		35%
5	% of applicants who were admitted (new freshmen & transfer students)	47%	64%		38%	37%	73%	67%	72%	55%	39%			
6	MD community college transfers	1986	1311		495	219	532	786	2552	567	97	3303		12154
7	Resident undergrad tuition & fees	\$10,399	\$11,518		\$8,064	\$6,536	\$8,914	\$9,582	\$9,694	\$8,824	\$8,042	\$7,386		\$9,843
8	% of undergraduates receiving financial aid Average undergraduate debt burden upon graduatio	65% \$27,559	70% \$25,505		85% NA	86% NA	81% \$24,827	77% \$26,940	71% \$25,483	85% \$21,500	84% \$21,000	43%		
10	Average alumni giving rate	6.1%	3.6%		4.7%	4.2%	5.4%	6.0%	4.5%	4.2%	1.9%	1.2%		
	Average faculty salary	\$131,316	\$99,376		\$80,598	\$77,185	\$78,644	\$81,802	\$82,260		\$85,752			
22	Faculty salary %ile	88	70		67	61	52	62	65		76			75
23	Awards per 100 full-time faculty (5yrs.)	4.3	3.8											
24	Student to faculty ratio (X FTE students per 1 FTE facult	17	19	7	16	13	15	16	17	15	14			
31	Total R&D expenditure per full-time faculty	\$337,551	\$165,642	\$246,865*							\$46,091			
32	U.S. Patents issued	49	12	30										91
33	Adjusted gross license income received	\$676,102	\$272,647	\$1,492,785										
34	Licenses & options executed	25	4	39										60
35				39										68
	Upper division STEM enrollment	6950	3819	39	336	102	394	626	1907	286	353	8765		23538
38	Number of start-up companies			19	336	102	394 5	626 11	1907 0	286	353 0	8765		
41	Number of start-up companies Expenditures for instruction as % of total operating expenditures	6950	3819		336	39%						8765 27%		23538
	Number of start-up companies Expenditures for instruction as % of total operating expenditures Expenditures for administration as % of total	6950 45	3819	19			5	11	0	0	0			23538
41	Number of start-up companies Expenditures for instruction as % of total operating expenditures	6950 45 32%	3819 6 37%	19 27%	44%	39%	5 45% 19%	11 56% 18%	0 46% 17%	0 44% 21%	44%	27% 22%	Met goal	23538
41 42 43	Number of start-up companies Expenditures for instruction as % of total operating expenditures Expenditures for administration as % of total operating expenditures	6950 45 32% 8%	3819 6 37% 13%	19 27% 10%	44%	39%	5 45% 19%	11 56% 18%	0 46% 17%	0 44% 21%	0 44% 16%	27% 22%	Met goal	23538
41 42 43 44	Number of start-up companies Expenditures for instruction as % of total operating expenditures Expenditures for administration as % of total operating expenditures Fund balance increase: goal achieved	6950 45 32% 8% Met goal	3819 6 37% 13% Met goal	19 27% 10% Met goal	44% 22% Met goal	39% 30% Met goal	5 45% 19% Met goal	11 56% 18% Met goal	0 46% 17% Met goal	0 44% 21% Met goal	0 44% 16% Not met goa	27% 22% Met goal	_	23538
41 42 43 44 51 52	Number of start-up companies Expenditures for instruction as % of total operating expenditures Expenditures for administration as % of total operating expenditures Fund balance increase: goal achieved % of fundraising goal achieved Classroom utilization rate Facilities renewal \$ as % of replacement value	6950 45 32% 8% Met goal 94% 72% 1.8%	3819 6 37% 13% Met goal 108% 58% 0.7%	19 27% 10% Met goal	44% 22% Met goal 108% 67% 1.5%	39% 30% Met goal 70% 70%	5 45% 19% Met goal 129% 53% 0.7%	11 56% 18% Met goal 104% 69% 1.6%	0 46% 17% Met goal 68% 63% 2.3%	0 44% 21% Met goal 114%	0 44% 16% Not met goa 148% 67% 0.1%	27% 22% Met goal	_	23538 87 64% 1.3%
41 42 43 44 51 52	Number of start-up companies Expenditures for instruction as % of total operating expenditures Expenditures for administration as % of total operating expenditures Fund balance increase: goal achieved % of fundraising goal achieved Classroom utilization rate	6950 45 32% 8% Met goal 94% 72% 1.8%	3819 6 37% 13% Met goal 108% 58% 0.7% 13.2%	19 27% 10% Met goal 106%	44% 22% Met goal 108% 67% 1.5% 17.6%	39% 30% Met goal 70% 0.6% 25.1%	5 45% 19% Met goal 129% 53% 0.7% 18.3%	11 56% 18% Met goal 104% 69% 1.6% 11.5%	0 46% 17% Met goal 68% 63% 2.3% 6.6%	0 44% 21% Met goal 114% 54%	0 44% 16% Not met goa 148% 67% 0.1% 21.2%	27% 22% Met goal	54%	23538 87 64% 1.3% 12.9%
41 42 43 44 51 52 53	Number of start-up companies Expenditures for instruction as % of total operating expenditures Expenditures for administration as % of total operating expenditures Fund balance increase: goal achieved % of fundraising goal achieved Classroom utilization rate Facilities renewal \$ as % of replacement value	6950 45 32% 8% Met goal 94% 72% 1.8%	3819 6 37% 13% Met goal 108% 58% 0.7%	19 27% 10% Met goal 106%	44% 22% Met goal 108% 67% 1.5%	39% 30% Met goal 70% 70%	5 45% 19% Met goal 129% 53% 0.7%	11 56% 18% Met goal 104% 69% 1.6%	0 46% 17% Met goal 68% 63% 2.3%	0 44% 21% Met goal 114% 54%	0 44% 16% Not met goa 148% 67% 0.1%	27% 22% Met goal	54%	23538 87 64% 1.3%

^{*}Includes only medical school faculty

Q:\Dashboard Indicators\2017\Data

As of 5/21/18

	#	<u>Indicator</u>	<u>UMCP</u>	<u>UMBC</u>	<u>UMB</u>	BSU	CSU	<u>FSU</u>	<u>su</u>	<u>TU</u>	<u>UB</u>	UMES	<u>UMUC</u>	UMCES
nent	1	Average SAT	•	•		•	•	•	•	•				
aimn	2	6-year graduation rate	•			•						•		
Att	3	2nd-year retention rate										•		
and	4	AfrAmer., Hispan., & Native Amer. as % of total undergraduates	•	•				•	•	•	•		•	
ility,		% of applicants who were admitted (new freshmen &												
dab	5	transfer students)												
\fto	6	MD community college transfers	_				•							
ss, A	7	Resident undergrad tuition & fees												
Vcce	8	% of undergraduates receiving financial aid		•						•		•	_	
Student: Access, Affordability, and Attainment	9	Average undergraduate debt burden upon graduation	•						•		•			
tude	10	Average alumni giving rate	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
N N	_	Average faculty salary	_	_		_	_	_	_	_		_		
<u>\$</u>	22	Faculty salary %ile												
Faculty			•		_	·	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
щ		Student to faculty ratio (X FTE students per 1 FTE fact	ıltv	•	•	_	•	•	•	•	•	•		
nt.	31	Total R&D expenditure per full-time faculty	<u> </u>	•	_			_			_	•		
& slopi	32	U.S. Patents issued	•	•	•									
nic d	33	Adjusted gross license income received	•		•									
Economic & :force Develo	34	Licenses & options executed	•	•	•									
Economic & Workforce Developmt.	35	Upper division STEM enrollment					•	•	•	•		•	•	
Wo	38	Number of start-up companies	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•		
	41	Expenditures for instruction as % of total operating	•	•	•		•	_	•	•	_	_	•	
ship		expenditures Expenditures for administration as % of total operating	•											
Stewardship	42	expenditures												
Stev	43	Fund balance increase: goal achieved						•			•	•		
	44	% of fundraising goal achieved	•											•
સ્ત્ર	51	Classroom utilization rate		•		•	•	•			•	•		
sss cy	52	Facilities renewal \$ as % of replacement value												•
Effectiveness & Efficiency	53	% of undergrad credits from non-traditional methods												
Effe Ei	54	Time to degree (Years)	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•		
	55	Teaching workload: courses per FTE faculty	0	•		•	•	•	0	•	•	•		
		Improved/Same	17	20	9	12	9	14	14	14	11	8	4	1
		Worse	9	6	2	6	9	7	7	7	5	13	5	2

^{*} The most recent year compared with the average of previous 3 years.

Q:\Dashboard Indicators\2017\Data

As of 5/21/18

	#	<u>Indicator</u>	<u>UMCP</u>	<u>UMBC</u>	<u>UMB</u>	BSU	<u>CSU</u>	FSU	<u>SU</u>	<u>TU</u>	<u>UB</u>	<u>UMES</u>	<u>UMUC</u>	UMCES
lent	1	Average SAT	•			•	•					•		
inn	2	6-year graduation rate												
Atta	3	2nd-year retention rate		•		•	•				•	•		
and	4	AfrAmer., Hispan., & Native Amer. as % of total												
lity,		undergraduates % of applicants who were admitted (new freshmen &	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
dabi	5	transfer students)												
ffor	6	MD community college transfers		•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	
	7	Resident undergrad tuition & fees												
seco	8	% of undergraduates receiving financial aid		•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	
Student: Access, Affordability, and Attainment	9	Average undergraduate debt burden upon graduation												
Stu	10	Average alumni giving rate												
	21	Average faculty salary	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•		
Faculty	22	Faculty salary %ile	•	•					•	•				
Fac	23	Awards per 100 full-time faculty (5yrs.)												
	24	Student to faculty ratio (X FTE students per 1 FTE faculty)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		
mt.	31	Total R&D expenditure per full-time faculty	•	•	•							•		
& elop	32	U.S. Patents issued			•									
Economic & Workforce Developmt.	33	Adjusted gross license income received			•									
conc	34	Licenses & options executed												
E	35	Upper division STEM enrollment												
×	38	Number of start-up companies												
۵	41	Expenditures for instruction as % of total operating expenditures	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	
Stewardship	42	Expenditures for administration as % of total operating	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
ewa	12	expenditures	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
St		Fund balance increase: goal achieved		•	•	•			•		•	•		
		% of fundraising goal achieved		•	_									
- જ		Classroom utilization rate Facilities renewal \$ as % of replacement value		•	•					_	•			
ness		·		_	_		_		_	•	_	_	_	
Effectiveness & Efficiency	53	% of undergrad credits from non-traditional methods												
Effe	54	Time to degree (Years)												
	55	Teaching workload: courses per FTE faculty		•				•	•	•	•	•		
		Meets benchmark	12	10	5	8	5	8	13	10	6	10	4	
		Does not meet benchmark	4	8	3	7	5 10	9	4	7	5	7	2	0 2

University System of Maryland *Dashboard Indicators, May 2018*

As of 5/21/18

 $N = National \ standards \ based \ upon \ weighted \ average \ of \ 4-year \ public \ universities$

		Student: Access, Affordability, and Attainment											
Ï	S2	S3	S4	S6	S7		S11	S12	S13				
		Average (3-yr.)	AfrAmer.		Average weigh	ited	% of Maryland	Institutional financia	Institutional				
	6-year	2nd year	Hispan., Nat. Amer.	MD comm. college	resident UG tui	tion	market share	aid for undergrads	financial aid for				
	graduation rate	retention rate	as % of UGs	transfers	& fees		(Public/	as % of undergrad	undergraduate				
Year	+	+	+	+	(Yr. beginning)	chg.	Private/CCs) +	tuition revenue +	students (millions) +				
2012	61%	74%	33%	11033	\$8,268	3%	42.4%	15%	\$117.1				
2013	63%	73%	33%	11882	\$8,558	4%	42.9%	15%	\$123.9				
2014	63%	74%	33%	11182	\$8,833	3%	45.1%	16%	\$132.5				
2015	65%	74%	34%	11603	\$9,389	6%	45.9%	17%	\$141.0				
2016	65%	74%	35%	11544	\$9,606	2%	47.4%	17%	\$144.7				
2017				12154	\$9,843	2%	48.2%	17%	\$151.3				
Benchmark	59%	75%	26%	1									

		Faculty			Economic Development			kforce Develop	ment	Funding		
	S21-1	S21-2	S22	S32	S34	S38	S35	S36	S37	S48	S49	
	Aver.	Aver.	Wgtd. aver		Licenses &		Upper division			Operating expendit.	Funding	
	faculty salary	faculty salary	faculty salary	U.S. Patents	options	Number of	STEM	Number of	Number of	per FTE stdt.	guideline %	
	(Research univ.)	(Master's univ.)	%ile	issued	executed	start-up companies	enrollment	teaching graduates	nursing graduates	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	achieved (FY)	
Year	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
2012	\$106,733	\$71,850	68	67	38	52	17043	1701	1,201	\$27,624	74%	
2013	\$107,715	\$71,872	67	68	42	67	18098	1718	1,276	\$28,120	74%	
2014	\$116,024	\$77,233	80	70	52	131	20130	1713	1,339	\$30,185	76%	
2015	\$119,120	\$78,951	81	89	58	141	20717	1111	1,459	\$29,549	72%	
2016	\$118,385	\$80,799	75	76	61	114	23177	1102***	1,427	\$29,857	72%	
2017	\$124,169	\$81,812	75	91	68	87	23538				72%	
Benchmark	\$107,222	\$80,806	85%							\$30,556	100%	

				Stewardship		Effectiveness & Efficiency					
	S41	S42	S43	S44	S45	S46	S47	S51	S52	S53	S54
	State	System Office admin	Unrestricted	Fund balance		% of annual	Total funds		Facilities	% of undergrad.	Time
	appropriations	as % of System's tota	net assets to	increase:	Credit rating	fundraising	raised (annual)	Classroom	renewal \$ as % of	credits from	to
	per FTE student	operating expend.	debt ratio	goal achievement	(Moody's)	dedicated to	(000s)	utilization rate	replacemt. value	non-tradit. methods	Degree
Year	+	NC	+	+	NC	endowment +	+	+	+	+	-
2012	\$8,150	0.4%	113%	Met goal	Stable	12.5%	\$242,056	66%	1.3%	14.0%	4.4
2013	\$8,136	0.4%	121%	Met goal	Stable	14.2%	\$232,150	66%	1.4%	14.5%	4.2
2014	\$8,591	0.5%	111%	Met goal	Stable	12.5%	\$256,528	65%	1.1%	16.9%	4.2
2015	\$9,063	0.4%	74%**	Met goal	Stable	14.2%	\$335,074	64%	0.9%	12.2%	4.1
2016	\$9,370	0.6%*	82%	Met goal	Stable	16.3%	\$276,594	63%	1.0%	12.6%	4.1
2017		•	86%	Met goal	Stable	18.3%	\$299,078	64%	1.3%	12.9%	_
Benchmark	\$7,597	Rank 26 of 29						66%	0.2% increase	10.0%	

^{*} Change in IPEDS finance reporting

^{**} Recalibrated for new accounting standard on pensions

^{***} Only include undergraduates

External Fiscal

Funding guideline % achieved (FY)											
	BSU	CSU	FSU	SU	TU	UB	UMB	UMBC	UMCP	UMES	UMUC
2005	53%	64%	73%	63%	77%	84%	56%	61%	65%	70%	43%
2006	51%	70%	78%	74%	80%	80%	53%	64%	67%	72%	34%
2007	94%	108%	90%	104%	100%	141%	72%	81%	82%	99%	40%
2008	74%	93%	82%	79%	90%	132%	73%	74%	78%	88%	61%
2009	87%	101%	93%	78%	88%	107%	75%	72%	82%	82%	39%
2010	74%	112%	77%	65%	68%	50%	61%	65%	73%	69%	46%
2011	62%	101%	67%	63%	63%	45%	57%	64%	72%	62%	43%
2012	70%	111%	69%	63%	66%	46%	69%	62%	75%	71%	37%
2013	77%	116%	75%	70%	76%	45%	71%	65%	76%	75%	54%
2014	84%	127%	90%	75%	87%	55%	60%	62%	78%	97%	40%
2015	95%	126%	86%	70%	65%	66%	72%	62%	80%	85%	53%
2016	89%	128/%	85%	71%	60%	64%	68%	59%	75%	78%	53%
2017	86%	138%	85%	74%	68%	63%	71%	61%	80%	78%	26%
2018	93%	138%	85%	81%	67%	68%	74%	62%	79%	64%	24%

	Operating expend. per FTE student (Excl. auxil./hosp.)											
	BSU	CSU	FSU	SU	TU	UB	UMB	UMBC	UMCP	UMES	UMUC	
2005	\$13,554	\$15,562	\$11,363	\$10,391	\$11,108	\$13,191	\$46,596	\$23,059	\$31,270	\$20,605	\$17,266	
2006	\$13,885	\$13,736	\$12,764	\$10,859	\$11,881	\$14,230	\$48,802	\$23,979	\$33,087	\$21,009	\$18,961	
2007	\$14,770	\$18,924	\$13,637	\$11,217	\$12,275	\$15,090	\$50,438	\$25,720	\$33,645	\$18,214	\$17,569	
2008	\$14,778	\$18,114	\$14,843	\$10,973	\$12,608	\$15,625	\$55,374	\$26,326	\$34,538	\$18,473	\$17,585	
2009	\$15,269	\$19,617	\$15,102	\$12,499	\$13,743	\$14,629	\$55,333	\$26,522	\$36,444	\$19,233	\$18,534	
2010	\$15,821	\$21,749	\$14,598	\$11,892	\$13,009	\$15,606	\$56,458	\$25,759	\$36,281	\$18,353	\$18,704	
2011	\$14,766	\$23,063	\$14,706	\$11,556	\$13,052	\$15,698	\$57,345	\$26,620	\$37,303	\$18,385	\$19,153	
2012	\$15,381	\$24,627	\$15,533	\$12,899	\$14,794	\$14,848	\$55,889	\$25,011	\$38,981	\$20,600	\$18,299	
2013	\$16,942	\$22,270	\$16,103	\$13,088	\$13,639	\$15,608	\$56,435	\$25,690	\$40,232	\$21,036	\$19,399	
2014	\$17,984	\$23,900	\$17,335	\$13,888	\$14,219	\$17,031	\$69,623	\$26,464	\$42,959	\$22,377	\$20,718	
2015	\$17,118	\$25,800	\$17,811	\$14,026	\$14,918	\$18,108	\$73,671	\$27,319	\$42,972	\$24,293	\$15,550	
2016	\$18,161	\$29,484	\$18,259	\$14,098	\$14,635	\$19,210	\$73,830	\$27,355	\$43,796	\$22,626	\$15,928	
Benchmark	\$19,782	\$19,745	\$18,178	\$20,238	\$16,451	\$19,225	\$60,122	\$29,879	\$62,566	\$21,012	\$16,506	

State appropriations per FTE student											
	BSU	CSU	FSU	SU	TU	UB	UMB	UMBC	UMCP	UMES	UMUC
2005	\$5,074	\$6,161	\$5,231	\$4,199	\$4,012	\$4,380	\$11,249	\$6,667	\$9,955	\$6,396	\$1,277
2006	\$5,362	\$6,104	\$5,843	\$4,359	\$4,183	\$4,771	\$12,119	\$7,200	\$10,364	\$6,629	\$1,365
2007	\$7,418	\$9,482	\$6,691	\$4,957	\$4,783	\$5,420	\$12,966	\$8,094	\$11,735	\$7,593	\$1,492
2008	\$7,558	\$10,266	\$6,853	\$5,021	\$4,939	\$5,260	\$13,641	\$8,451	\$12,220	\$8,374	\$1,890
2009	\$7,586	\$10,715	\$6,731	\$5,201	\$4,842	\$5,219	\$11,162	\$8,404	\$12,003	\$8,072	\$2,034
2010	\$6,733	\$11,457	\$5,804	\$4,475	\$4,281	\$4,422	\$11,771	\$7,217	\$10,524	\$7,135	\$1,776
2011	\$7,521	\$12,150	\$6,475	\$5,001	\$4,796	\$4,859	\$13,231	\$8,534	\$12,035	\$7,589	\$1,972
2012	\$7,817	\$12,849	\$6,858	\$4,989	\$4,944	\$5,038	\$13,253	\$8,540	\$12,187	\$7,907	\$1,804
2013	\$8,177	\$13,006	\$6,943	\$5,043	\$4,887	\$4,996	\$13,232	\$8,339	\$12,218	\$7,902	\$1,850
2014	\$8,319	\$14,726	\$7,246	\$5,088	\$4,848	\$5,176	\$16,544	\$8,399	\$12,567	\$8,919	\$2,010
2015	\$8,651	\$16,869	\$7,725	\$5,571	\$5,359	\$5,696	\$19,007	\$9,096	\$13,520	\$9,512	\$1,793
2016	\$9,304	\$18,154	\$8,080	\$6,069	\$5,697	\$6,146	\$19,879	\$9,538	\$13,822	\$9,740	\$1,751
Benchmark	\$8,725	\$9,462	\$6,965	\$8,246	\$5,855	\$7,100	\$9,536	\$10,323	\$9,265	\$9,035	\$3,994

University System of Maryland Dashboard Indicators, May 2018

As of 5/21/18

Italicized figures are figures against which national comparisons should be made.

				Workforce	Development					
	E1	E30	E2	E4	E5	E6	E12	E14	ı	
	% of Maryland	% of Maryland	Doctoral scientists,				Persons in science			
	residents	residents	engineers, &				& engineering			
	with at least a	with advanced	health professionals	Science & engineering	Per capita	Unemployment	occupations	Average		
	bachelor's degr.	degree or more	employed in MD	doctorates awarded	personal income	rate (June)	as % of workforce	high-tech wage		
Year	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+		
2012	36.9%	16.9%	NA	900	\$54,007	7.0%	7.2%	\$96,500		
2013	37.4%	17.1%	32,600	1,124	\$52,792	6.7%	7.4%	NA		
2014	38.2%	17.5%	34,250	1,066	\$54,783	5.8%	7.4%	\$101,849		
2015	38.8%	17.7%	NA	1,194	\$56,916	5.2%	7.5%	\$104,659		
2016	39.3%	18.5%	NA	NA	\$58,504	4.2%	7.6%	\$107,193		
2017					\$60,171	4.2%				
enchmark	30.3%	11.5%	5th (MD's rank)	11th (MD's rank)	7th (MD's rank)	4.0%	2nd (MD's rank)	10th (MD's rank)		

E23
Current population
estimates
(as of July 1)
(for comparison purposes)
+
5,884,868
5,928,814
5,976,407
6,006,401
6,016,447
6,052,177
19th (MD's rank)

	R&D							
·	Academic R&D expenditures in science & engin.	E22 University R&D expenditures in life sciences						
Year	(millions) +	(millions)						
2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017	\$3,308 \$3,376 \$3,515 \$3,705 \$3,744	\$1,557 \$1,622 \$1,737 \$1,656						
Benchmark								

Economic Development						
E7	E16	E15				
	Venture capital	High-tech				
	disbursed per \$1,000	establishments				
SBIR awards	of Gross Domestic	as % of business				
(\$ millions)	Product (\$)	establishments				
+	+	+				
	\$1.07	11.87%				
245	\$1.55	12.11%				
234	\$1.55	12.33%				
	\$2.37					
188	\$1.47					
4th (MD's rank)	12th (MD's rank)	5th (MD's rank)				

Economic Dovolonment

Support of Higher Education					
E17	E18	E19			
St. gen. funds for higher educ. per \$1,000 of personal income (FY)	higher educ. per capita	State gen. funds for higher educ. per headcount student +			
		\$4,453			
\$5.39	\$274.25	\$4,074			
\$5.58	\$306.81	\$4,838			
\$5.60	\$302.57	\$4,846			
\$5.56	\$310.54	\$5,049			
\$5.66	\$326.52	\$5,307			
23rd (MD's rank)	11th (MD's rank)	13th (MD's rank)			

Q:\Dashboard Indicators\2017\Data

Bowie State University Dashboard Indicators, May 2018

As of 5/21/18

^{*} Benchmark = Comparison to external standard (P = peers; B = BOR policy; N = national standard; S = State policy; I = institutional goal)

		Studen	t: Access, Afford	lability, and Attai	inment			Alumni	
Year	1 Average SAT	6-year graduation rate	3 Average (3-yr.) 2nd year retention rate	AfrAmer., Hispan., Nat. Amer. as % of UGs	5 % of applicants admitted (new freshmen & transfer students)	MD comm. college transfers +		Alumni giving rate	
2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017	899 890 881 874 868	35% 35% 33% 41% 37%	72% 72% 72% 72% 72% 74%	92% 92% 90% 89% 89%	52% 54% 57% 60% 45% 38%	315 353 310 419 227 495	·	4.8% 5.9% 5.7% 5.5% 4.7%	
Benchmark*	844-1030 P (25th & 75th %ile)	49% P	76% P	50% P	45% I	500			
		Faculty			Affordability			Workforce Dvlp.	
Year	Aver. faculty salary	22 Wgtd. aver. faculty salary %ile	24 Student to Faculty Ratio	7 Resident UG tuition & fees (Yr. beginning) % chg.	8 % of undergrads receiving financial aid	9 Average* undergraduate debt burden upon graduation -		35 Upper division STEM enrollment	
2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017	\$69,364 \$69,115 \$73,818 \$75,770 \$78,882 \$80,598	60 53 69 71 70 67	16 16 16 16 16	\$6,639 5% \$6,971 5% \$7,299 5% \$7,657 5% \$7,880 3% \$8,064 2%	81% 82% 86% 86% 86% 85%	\$25,972 \$27,833 \$30,300 NA NA	1	271 280 319 294 309 336	
Benchmark*	\$80,806 P	85% B	16.6 P	P	68%	\$24,571			
		Stewa	dship			Effe	ctiveness & Effic	iency	
Year	Expend. for instr. as % of oper. expend. (Excl. auxil./hosp.)	Expend. for admin. as % of oper. expend. (Excl. auxil./hosp.)	Fund balance increase: goal achievement	44 % of fundraising goal achieved +	51 Classroom utilization rate	Facilities renewal \$ as % of replacemt. value	53 % of undergrad. credits from non-tradit. methods	Time to degree in years	55 Tching. workload courses per FTE faculty
2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017	38% 40% 38% 41% 44%	17% 18% 18% 20% 22%	Met goal	76% 138% 89% 113% 88% 108%	65% 66% 65% 64% 65% 67%	4.0% 4.6% 3.0% 1.6% 1.5%	11.1% 13.5% 12.7% 17.2% 17.0% 17.6%	4.6 4.7 4.9 4.8 4.9 4.8	7.7 8.0 7.8 7.3 8.0 7.6
Benchmark*	50% P	17% P	В	100% I	66%	0.2% increase B	10.0%		7.5

Coppin State University Dashboard Indicators, May 2018

As of 5/21/18

^{*} Benchmark = Comparison to external standard (P = peers; B = BOR policy; N = national standard; S = State policy; I = institutional goal)

		Student: Access, Affordability, and Attainment					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	
			Average (3-yr.)	AfrAmer.,	% of		
		6-year	2nd year	Hispan., Nat. Amer.	applicants admitted	MD comm. college	
	Average SAT	graduation rate	retention rate	as % of UGs	(new freshmen &	transfers	
Year	+	+	+		transfer students)	+	
2012	882	17%	64%	86%	36%	236	
2013	877	14%	64%	85%	39%	238	
2014	890	18%	65%	85%	43%	256	
2015	895	18%	66%	84%	39%	186	
2016	862	17%	66%	81%	39%	267	
2017					37%	219	
Benchmark*	863-1054 P 25th & 75th %ile)	51% P	76% P	48% P	53% I	225 I	

Alumni	
10	
Alumni giving rate	
	+
6.3% 11.0% 9.6% 3.9% 4.2%	

	Faculty			Affordability			
	21 Aver. faculty	22 Wgtd. aver faculty salary	24 Student to	7 Resident UG tuition & fees		8 % of undergrads receiving	9 Average* undergraduate
	salary	%ile	Faculty Ratio	(Yr. beginning)	%	financial aid	debt burden
Year	+	+			chg.	+	upon graduation -
2012	\$67,399	56	14	\$5,720	4%	83%	NA
2013	\$67,647	55	14	\$6,252	9%	86%	NA
2014	\$72,201	68	14	\$6,132	-2%	88%	NA
2015	\$73,809	67	13	\$6,362	4%	92%	NA
2016	\$75,843	62	13	\$6,448	1%	85%	NA
2017	\$77,185	61		\$6,536	1%	86%	
Benchmark*	\$80,806 P	85% B	19.1 P	l	P	82%	\$23,922

Workforce Dvlp.
35
Upper division
STEM
Enrollment
97
99
111
120
114
102

	Stewardship					Effe	ctiveness & Effici	ency	
	41	42	43	44	51	52	53	54	55
	Expend. for instr.	Expend. for admin.	Fund balance	% of		Facilities	% of undergrad.	Time	Tching. workload
	as % of oper. expend.	as % of oper. expend.	increase: goal	fundraising	Classroom	renewal \$ as % of	credits from	to degree	courses per
	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	achievement	goal achieved	utilization rate	replacemt. value	non-tradit. methods	in years	FTE faculty
Year	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	=	+
2012	33%	22%	Did not meet goal	139%	67%	0.3%	13.0%	5.0	9.0
2013	35%	23%	Met goal	115%	69%	0.4%	13.9%	4.8	9.0
2014	35%	25%	Met goal	92%	NA	0.2%	16.3%	5.8	8.5
2015	34%	24%	Met goal	103%	NA	0.2%	25.7%	5.8	8.1
2016	39%	30%	Met goal	121%	71%	0.5%	19.9%	6.0	9.0
2017			Met goal	70%	70%	0.6%	25.1%	6.3	9.3
Benchmark*	46% P	16% P	В	100%	66%	0.2% increase B	10.0%		7.5 B

Frostburg State University

Dashboard Indicators, May 2018

As of 5/21/18

^{*}Benchmark = Comparison to external standard (P = peers; B = BOR policy; N = national standard; S = State policy; I = institutional goal)

	Student: Access, Affordability, and Attainment					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
			Average (3-yr.)	AfrAmer.,	% of	
		6-year	2nd year	Hispan., Nat. Amer.	applicants admitted	MD comm. college
	Average SAT	graduation rate	retention rate	as % of UGs	(new freshmen &	transfers
Year	+	+	+	+	transfer students)	+
2012	985	44%	72%	29%	62%	379
2013	980	47%	73%	29%	62%	412
2014	985	49%	75%	34%	62%	476
2015	969	51%	76%	36%	66%	564
2016	942	47%	76%	37%	65%	525
2017	_	_			73%	532
Benchmark*	863-1067 P 25th & 75th %ile)	53% P	76% P	29% P	73% I	282 I

Alumni	
10	
Alumni	
giving rate	
	+
5.4%	
4.7%	
4.7%	
5.0%	
5.4%	

		Faculty		Affordability			
	21	22	24	7	8	9	
	Aver.	Wgtd. aver		Resident UG	% of undergrad	s Average*	
	faculty	faculty salary	Student to	tuition & fees	receiving	undergraduate	
	salary	%ile	Faculty Ratio	(Yr. beginning)	% financial aid	debt burden	
Year	+	+		c	hg.	+ upon graduation -	
2012	\$69,914	43	16	\$7,436	1% 81%	\$20,736	
2013	\$69,213	39	15	\$7,728	1% 80%	\$20,058	
2014	\$74,693	52	15	\$7,982	81%	\$24,916	
2015	\$76,281	57	16	\$8,488	5% 80%	\$25,463	
2016	\$77,035	50	15	\$8,702	80%	\$24,827	
2017	\$78,644	52		\$8,914	2% 81%		
Benchmark*	\$80,806 P	85% B	17.8 P		P 72%	\$29,266 P	

Workforce Dvlp.	Economic Dvlp.
35	38
Upper division	
STEM	Number of
enrollment	start-up companies
	+
432	1
423	3
445	4
399	3
481	6
394	5

		Stewa	rdship		Effectiveness & Efficiency					
	41	42	43	44	51	52	53	54	55	
	Expend. for instr.	Expend. for admin.	Fund balance	% of		Facilities	% of undergrad.	Time	Tching. workload	
	as % of oper. expend.	as % of oper. expend.	increase: goal	fundraising	Classroom	renewal \$ as % of	credits from	to degree	courses per	
	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	achievement	goal achieved	utilization rate	replacemt. value	non-tradit. methods	in years	FTE faculty	
Year	+		+	+	+	+	+	-	+	
2012	40%	16%	Did not meet goal	71%	62%	1.0%	14.9%	4.6	7.4	
2013	40%	17%	Did not meet goal	92%	60%	1.2%	16.7%	4.5	7.4	
2014	38%	15%	Did not meet goal	118%	55%	0.6%	21.6%	4.3	7.3	
2015	40%	15%	Met goal	109%	55%	0.4%	18.2%	3.7	7.4	
2016	45%	19%	Met goal	91%	56%	1.2%	17.9%	3.8	7.2	
2017			Met goal	129%	53%	0.7%	18.3%	3.8	7.1	
Benchmark*	49% P	16% P	В	100% I	66%	0.2% increase B	10.0%		7.5 B	

Salisbury University Dashboard Indicators, May 2018

As of 5/21/18

 $^{* \} Benchmark = Comparison \ to \ external \ standard \ (P = peers; \ B = BOR \ policy; \ N = national \ standard; \ S = State \ policy; \ I = institutional \ goal)$

		Student: Access, Affordability, and Attainment									
	1	1 2		4	5	6					
			Average (3-yr.)	AfrAmer.,	% of						
		6-year	2nd year	Hispan., Nat. Amer.	applicants admitted	MD comm. college					
	Average SAT*	graduation rate	retention rate	as % of UGs	(new freshmen &	transfers					
Year	+	+	+	+	transfer students)	+					
2012	1155	67%	83%	16%	57%	736					
2013	1160	67%	82%	16%	58%	915					
2014	1156	66%	82%	17%	60%	730					
2015	1160	67%	81%	18%	64%	847					
2016	1150	69%	83%	18%	68%	726					
2017	_				67%	786					
Benchmark*	939-1130 P	62% P	79% P	23% P	60% I	530					
((25th & 75th %ile)										

Alumni	
10	
Alumni giving rate	+
15.0%	
7.3% 6.4%	
6.7%	
6.0%	

		Faculty		Affordability					
	21 22		24	7		8	9		
	Aver.	Wgtd. aver		Resident U	G	% of undergrads	Average		
	faculty	faculty salary	Student to	tuition & fe	ees	receiving	undergraduate		
	salary	%ile	Faculty Ratio	(Yr. beginnin	: beginning) % financial aid		debt burden		
Year	+	+	·		chg.	+	upon graduation -		
2012	\$71,437	53	17	\$7,700	5%	79%	\$23,159		
2013	\$72,039	51	16	\$8,128	6%	75%	\$23,545		
2014	\$77,848	69	16	\$8,560	5%	74%	\$24,567		
2015	\$79,589	70	16	\$9,086	6%	76%	\$25,376		
2016	\$80,756	62	16	\$9,364	3%	76%	\$26,940		
2017	\$81,802	62		\$9,582	2%	77%	_		
Benchmark*	\$80,806 P	85% B	16.4 P		P	64%	\$28,700 P		

Workforce Dvlp.	Economic Dvlp.
35	38
Upper division	
STEM	Number of
enrollment	start-up companies
	+
578	11
612	5
658	3
641	15
628	24
626	11

		Stewa	rdship		Effectiveness & Efficiency					
	41	42	43	44	51	52	53	54	55	
	Expend. for instr.	Expend. for admin.	Fund balance	% of		Facilities	% of undergrad.	Time	Tching. workload	
	as % of oper. expend.	as % of oper. expend.	increase: goal	fundraising	Classroom	renewal \$ as % of	credits from	to degree	courses per	
	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	achievement	goal achieved	utilization rate	replacemt. value	non-tradit. methods	in years	FTE faculty	
Year	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	
2012	45%	14%	Met goal	92%	67%	3.7%	16.0%	4.3	7.8	
2013	47%	14%	Met goal	295%	68%	2.6%	17.0%	4.3	7.4	
2014	45%	14%	Met goal	146%	68%	1.2%	17.9%	3.9	7.3	
2015	47%	14%	Met goal	103%	68%	1.7%	11.4%	4.0	7.1	
2016	56%	18%	Met goal	172%	65%	2.1%	11.5%	4.2	7.3	
2017		_	Met goal	104%	69%	1.6%	11.5%	4.1	7.5	
Benchmark*	53% P	17% P	В	100%	66%	0.2% increase B	10.0%		7.5 B	

Towson University Dashboard Indicators, May 2018

As of 5/21/18

Italicized figures are figures against which peer comparisons should be made.

* Measure used by U.S. News

		Studen	t: Access, Afford	lability, and Attai	inment	
	1	2	3	4	5	6
			Average (3-yr.)	AfrAmer.,	% of	
		6-year	2nd year	Hispan., Nat. Amer.	applicants admitted	MD comm. college
	Average SAT	graduation rate	retention rate	as % of UGs	(new freshmen &	transfers
Year	+	+	+	+	transfer students)	+
2012	1087	66%	85%	19%	70%	2430
2013	1088	65%	85%	21%	62%	2848
2014	1084	68%	86%	22%	61%	2142
2015	1087	70%	86%	24%	67%	1937
2016	1080	71%	86%	26%	74%	2311
2017		_			72%	2552
Benchmark*	974-1160 P (25th & 75th %ile)	64% P	83% P	20% P	65% I	1300 I

Alumni	
10	
Alumni giving rate	
	+
3.9%	
4.3%	
3.8%	
2.070	
5.1%	

		Faculty				Affordability	
	21 22		24	7		8	9
	Aver.	Wgtd. aver		Resident U0	G	% of undergrads	Average*
	faculty	faculty salary	Student to	tuition & fee	es	receiving	undergraduate
	salary	%ile	Faculty Ratio	(Yr. beginning	g) %	financial aid	debt burden
Year	+	+			chg.	+	upon graduation -
2012	\$72,400	60	17	\$8,132	3%	71%	\$23,812
2013	\$72,444	59	17	\$8,342	3%	70%	NA
2014	\$78,288	73	17	\$8,590	3%	70%	\$25,936
2015	\$79,751	73	17	\$9,182	7%	71%	\$25,785
2016	\$80,786	66	17	\$9,408	2%	71%	\$25,483
2017	\$82,260	65		\$9,694	3%	71%	
Benchmark*	\$80,806 P	85% B	18.7 P		P	56%	\$29,305 P

Workforce Dvlp.	Economic Dvlp.
35	38
Upper division	
STEM	Number of
enrollment	start-up companies
	+
1390	2
1461	1
1530	0
1672	0
1732	0
1907	0

		Stewa	rdship		Effectiveness & Efficiency					
	41	42	43	44	51	52	53	54	55	
	Expend. for instr.	Expend. for admin.	Fund balance	% of		Facilities	% of undergrad.	Time	Tching. workload	
	as % of oper. expend.	as % of oper. expend.	increase: goal	fundraising	Classroom	renewal \$ as % of	credits from	to degree	courses per	
	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	achievement	goal achieved	utilization rate	replacemt. value	non-tradit. methods	in years	FTE faculty	
Year	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	
2012	40%	13%	Met goal	78%	65%	3.0%	8.3%	4.4	7.4	
2013	42%	14%	Met goal	112%	67%	3.0%	8.7%	4.3	7.3	
2014	38%	14%	Met goal	116%	65%	2.3%	11.1%	4.1	7.2	
2015	40%	14%	Met goal	99%	63%	1.4%	8.1%	4.0	7.1	
2016	46%	17%	Met goal	87%	60%	2.1%	8.2%	4.2	7.1	
2017			Met goal	68%	63%	2.3%	6.6%	4.2	7.0	
Benchmark*	54% P	14% P	В	100%	66%	0.2% increase B	10.0%		7.5 B	

University of Baltimore Dashboard Indicators, May 2018

Italicized figures are figures against which peer comparisons should be made.

As of 5/21/18

* Measure used by U.S. News

 $^{* \} Benchmark = Comparison \ to \ external \ standard \ (P = peers; \ B = BOR \ policy; \ N = national \ standard; \ S = State \ policy; \ I = institutional \ goal)$

			Student: Access	s, Affordability, a	nd Attainment			Alumni
l	1-UB	3	4	5	6	4-UB	5-UB	10
	% of graduates	Average (3-yr.)	AfrAmer.,	% of		Number of minority		•
	who pass bar exam	2nd year	Hispan., Nat. Amer.	applicants admitted	MD comm. college	students graduating	% of economically	Alumni
	on initial attempt	retention rate	as % of UGs	(new freshmen &	transfers	annually	disadvantaged students	giving rate
Year	+	+	NC	transfer students)	+	(UG & Grad/Prof) +	+	+
2012	80%	75%	50%	71%	654	514	74%	5.6%
2013	84%	72%	51%	75%	690	604	75%	5.6%
2014	83%	73%	53%	70%	630	635	70%	5.0%
2015	80%	72%	53%	67%	651	676	78%	4.7%
2016	66%	74%	53%	64%	655	716	66%	4.2%
2017	67%			55%	567	789	49%	
Benchmark*	75% I	79%	48% P			426	75% I	
		Faculty			Affordability		Workforce Dvlp.	Economic Dvlp.
•	2-UB	3-UB	24	7	8	9	35	38
				Resident UG	% of undergrads	Average*	Upper division	
	Sponsored research \$		Student to	tuition & fees	receiving	undergraduate	STEM	Number of
	per F-T faculty (000s)	% part-time faculty	Faculty Ratio	(Yr. beginning) %	financial aid	debt burden	enrollment	start-up companies
Year	+	-	1.0	chg.	+	upon graduation -	+	+
2012	\$33	54%	19	\$7,664 2%	87%	NA	287	8
2013	\$35	54%	16	\$7,838 2%	86%	NA	289	9
2014	\$37	52%	15	\$8,018 2%	86%	\$23,627	286	0
2015	\$38	52%	15	\$8,326 4%	86%	\$17,032	287	l
2016	\$41	53%	15	\$8,596 3%	85%	NA	275	0
2017	\$58	55%		\$8,824 3%	85%	\$21,500	286	0
Benchmark*		49%	17.5 P	P	58%	\$21,677 P		
		Stewa				Effectiveness &		
	41	42	43	44	51	52	7-UB	55
	Expend. for instr.	Expend. for admin.	Fund balance	% of		Facilities	% of stdts. involved	Tching. workload
	as % of oper. expend.	as % of oper. expend.	increase: goal	fundraising	Classroom	renewal \$ as % of	with non-traditional	courses per
	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	achievement	goal achieved	utilization rate	replacemt. value	learning activities	FTE faculty
Year	+	- 220/	+	+	+	+	+	+
2012	40%	23%	Met goal	131%	55%	0.7%	44%	6.5
2013	39%	23%	Met goal	304%	48%	1.0%	44%	6.4
2014	40%	21%	Did not meet goal	111%	52%	0.6%	44%	7.3
2015 2016	41% 44%	21% 21%	Met goal	107% 98%	46% 51%	1.4%	45% 49%	6.9
2016	44%	21%	Met goal	98% 114%	51% 54%	0.5% 1.1%	53%	6.7 7.0
2017			Met goal	114%	34%	1.1%	33%	7.0
Benchmark*	52%	14% P	В	100%	66%	0.2% increase B		7.5 B

 $Note: Institutional\ goals\ are\ usually\ taken\ from\ institution's\ MFR\ and\ are\ usually\ set\ for\ FY\ 2008.$

Q:\Dashboard Indicators\2017\Data

University of Maryland, Baltimore Dashboard Indicators, May 2018

Italicized figures are figures against which peer comparisons should be made.

* Measure used by U.S. News

As of 5/21/18

			Student: Access,	Affordability, a	and Attainment				Economic Dvlp.
	1-UMB	2-UMB	3-UMB	4-UMB	10-UMB	11-UMB	12-UMB	1	38
			Passing rate on	Passing rate on		AfrAmer., Hispan.,	Graduate & 1st prof.		
	Passing rate on	Passing rate on	nursing	dentistry	Total	& Nat. Amer. as % of	as % of total hdct.		Number of
	Bar (Law) exam	medical licensure exam	licensure exam	licensure exam	headcount enrollmt.	total headcount	enrollment		start-up companies
Year	+	+	+	+	+	enrollment +	NC		+
2012	86%	99%	88%	97%	6,368	19%	87%		9
2013	88%	99%	93%	96%	6,284	19%	89%		8
2014	81%	99%	97%	99%	6,276	20%	87%		15
2015	83%	97%	90%	94%	6,329	22%	86%		18
2016	78%	96%	93%	98%	6,482	24%	86%		13
2017	76%	97%	88%	99%	6,703	24%	86%		19
Benchmark*	93% P	96% N	93% N	NA N	22,915 P	17% P	40% P		
		Facı	ılty			Eco	nomic Developm	nent	
	5-UMB	6-UMB	7-UMB	24	13-UMB	14-UMB	32	33	34
	Natl. ranking	Natl. ranking: NIH	No. of specialty law		Grant & contract	Total R&D	-	Adjusted gross	Licenses &
	NIH awards to	awards to public &	programs ranked in	Student to	awards	expenditures in	U.S. Patents	license income	options
	public medical schls.	priv .dental schls.	top 10 nationally	Faculty Ratio	(millions)	medicine per F-T	issued	received	executed
Year	+	+	+	·	+	medical faculty +	+	+	
2012	13	6	3	6	\$525	\$249,379	30	\$955,703	21
2013	14	5	3	6	\$479	\$245,876	25	\$835,817	23
2014	15	6	2	7	\$499	\$226,765	28	\$1,120,101	30
2015	16	11	2	7	\$498	\$224,977	33	\$1,215,991	39
2016	17	9	2	7	\$494	\$246,865	32	\$1,276,140	34
2017	15	10	2		\$553		30	\$1,492,785	39
Benchmark*	Top 10	Top 10	Top 10	15.9 P		\$289,651	5% annually	5% annually	
		Stewar	dship		Effectiveness	& Efficiency	Wol	rkforce Developi	nent
	41	42	43	44	52		16-UMB	17-UMB	18-UMB
	Expend. for instr.	Expend. for admin.	Fund balance	% of	Facilities		Number of	Number of	Number of
	as % of oper. expend.	as % of oper. expend.	increase: goal	fundraising	renewal \$ as % of		nursing graduates	pharmacy graduates	dentistry grads
	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	achievement	goal achieved	replacemt. value		(BSN, MS, PhD)	(PharmD)	(DDS)
Year	+	-	+	+	+		+	+	+
2012	24%	9%	Met goal	100%	0.6%		646	156	123
2013	25%	9%	Met goal	129%	0.9%		632	163	127
2014	25%	9%	Met goal	66%	0.8%		614	153	128
2015	25%	9%	Met goal	96%	0.5%		666	164	127
2016	27%	10%	Met goal	71%	0.6%		636	152	124
2017			Met goal	106%	0.8%		614	157	130
Benchmark*	38% P	10% P	В	100%	0.2% increase B	l	5% annually	5% annually	5% annually

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Dashboard Indicators, May 2018

As of 5/21/18

Italicized figures are figures against which peer comparisons should be made.

* Measure used by U.S. News

				Student: Access	s, Affordability,	and Attainment				Alumni
Ī	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			Average (3-yr.)	AfrAmer.,	% of		Resident UG	% of undergrads	Average*	•
		6-year	2nd year	Hispan., Nat. Amer.	applicants admitted	MD comm. college	tuition & fees	receiving	undergraduate	Alumni
	Average SAT	graduation rate	retention rate	as % of UGs	(new freshmen &	transfers	(Yr. beginning) %	financial aid	debt burden	giving rate
Year	+	+	+	+	transfer students)	+	chg.	+	upon graduation -	+
2012	1223	61%	85%	22%	66%	1368	\$9,764 3%	68%	\$22,601	3.7%
2013	1218	65%	86%	22%	67%	1418	\$10,068 3%	70%	\$22,755	3.7%
2014	1214	61%	87%	22%	64%	1351	\$10,384 3%	70%	\$25,831	3.6%
2015	1210	63%	87%	23%	63%	1350	\$11,006 6%	69%	\$26,534	3.7%
2016	1217	64%	87%	24%	61%	1380	\$11,264 2%	70%	\$25,505	3.6%
2017					64%	1311	\$11,518 2%	70%		
Benchmark*	1097-1296 P (25th & 75th %ile)	72% P	89% P	22% P	73% I	958	P	61%	\$27,639 P	

		Faci	ulty			Eco	onomic Developn	ient		Workforce Dvl r
Ī	21	22	23	24	31	32	33	34	38	35
	Aver.	Wgtd. aver	Awards per		Total R&D		Adjusted gross	Licenses &		Upper division
	faculty	faculty salary	100 FTfaculty	Student to	expendit. per	U.S. Patents	license income	options	Number of	STEM
	salary	%ile	(5 yrs.)	Faculty Ratio	FT faculty	issued	received	executed	start-up companies	enrollment
Year	+	+	+		+	+	+		+	+
2012	\$87,769	58	2.1	19	\$168,277	10	\$182,626	4	4	3048
2013	\$87,894	56	2.8	20	\$157,612	5	\$191,721	1	10	3284
2014	\$94,379	75	2.5	19	\$160,823	7	\$284,153	1	4	3582
2015	\$96,271	73	2.9	19	\$164,116	12	\$180,366	2	7	3745
2016	\$97,492	69	3.1	19	\$165,642	7	\$124,645	4	8	3793
2017	\$99,376	70	3.8			12	\$272,647	4	6	3819
Benchmark*	\$92,576	85% B		17.3 P	\$190,689 P	NA	NA			

		Stewar	dship		Effectiveness & Efficiency						
	41	42	43	44	51	52	53	54	55		
	Expend. for instr.	Expend. for admin.	Fund balance	% of		Facilities	% of undergrad.	Time	Tching. workload		
	as % of oper. expend.	as % of oper. expend.	increase: goal	fundraising	Classroom	renewal \$ as % of	credits from	to degree	courses per		
	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	achievement	goal achieved	utilization rate	replacemt. value	non-tradit. methods	in years	FTE faculty		
Year	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	=	+		
2012	35%	9%	Met goal	119%	62%	0.2%	17.1%	4.5	6.9		
2013	34%	11%	Met goal	238%	60%	0.6%	18.4%	4.3	6.9		
2014	34%	11%	Met goal	84%	65%	0.7%	18.3%	4.5	6.9		
2015	34%	11%	Met goal	199%	62%	0.8%	12.6%	4.3	7.2		
2016	37%	13%	Met goal	107%	59%	0.6%	13.1%	4.6	7.0		
2017		_	Met goal	108%	58%	0.7%	13.2%	4.7	6.5		
Benchmark*	46% P	13% P	В	100% I	66%	0.2% increase B	10.0%		5.5 B		

University of Maryland, College Park Dashboard Indicators, May 2018

As of 5/21/18

 ${\it Italicized\ figures\ are\ figures\ against\ which\ peer\ comparisons\ should\ be\ made.}$

* Measure used by U.S. News

^{*} Benchmark = Comparison to external standard (P = peers; B = BOR policy; N = national standard; S = State policy; I = institutional goal)

				Student: Acces	s, Affordability,	and Attainmen	t			Alumni
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			Average (3-yr.)	AfrAmer.,	% of		Resident UG	% of undergrads	Average*	·
		6-year	2nd year	Hispan., Nat. Amer.	applicants admitted	MD comm. college	tuition & fees	receiving	undergraduate	Alumni
	Average SAT	graduation rate	retention rate	as % of UGs	(new freshmen &	transfers	(Yr. beginning) %	financial aid	debt burden	giving rate
Year	+	+	+	+	transfer students)	+	chg.	+	upon graduation -	+
2012	1290	82%	95%	20%	46%	1695	\$8,908 3%	66%	\$25,276	6.3%
2013	1299	84%	95%	21%	47%	1930	\$9,161 3%	66%	\$25,254	5.8%
2014	1305	85%	95%	22%	49%	2234	\$9,427 3%	66%	\$25,131	6.6%
2015	1306	86%	95%	22%	47%	2142	\$9,996 6%	67%	\$26,818	6.6%
2016	1305	87%	95%	23%	49%	1911	\$10,182 2%	66%	\$27,559	6.1%
2017					47%	1986	\$10,399 2%	65%		_
Benchmark*	1191-1413 P (25th & 75th %ile)	86% P	95% P	14% P	Note 1 I	No specific goal I	P	Note 2 I	\$25,156 P	

		Fac	ulty			I	Economic Develop	ment		Workforce Dvlp
'	21	22	23	24	31	32	33	34	38	35
	Aver.	Wgtd. aver	Awards per		Total R&D		Adjusted gross	Licenses &		Upper division
	faculty	faculty salary	100 FTfaculty	Student to	expendit. per	U.S. Patents	license income	options	Number of	STEM
	salary	%ile	(5 yrs.)	Faculty Ratio	FT faculty	issued	received	executed	start-up companies	enrollment
Year		+			+		+ +	+	+	+
2012	\$112,050	83	4.7	18	\$358,316	27	\$662,148	13	11	5580
2013	\$113,372	84	4.6	18	\$348,602	38	\$575,485	18	29	5846
2014	\$122,160	94	4.3	18	\$334,681	35	\$727,424	21	103	6161
2015	\$125,559	95	4.9	17	\$329,693	44	\$847,046	17	94	6201
2016	\$124,155	86	4.4	17	\$337,551	37	\$836,035	23	62	7200
2017	\$131,316	88	4.3			49	\$676,102	25	45	6950
				•		_		_		
Benchmark*	\$113,264	P 85%		16.4 P	\$313,542 P	NA	P NA P			

		Stewa	rdship		Effectiveness & Efficiency					
	41	42	43	44	51	52	53	54	55	
	Expend. for instr.	Expend. for admin.	Fund balance	% of		Facilities	% of undergrad.	Time	Tching. workload	
	as % of oper. expend.	as % of oper. expend.	increase: goal	fundraising	Classroom	renewal \$ as % of	credits from	to degree	courses per	
	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	achievement	goal achieved	utilization rate	replacemt. value	non-tradit. methods	in years	FTE faculty	
Year	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	
2012	32%	7%	Met goal	120%	71%	1.5%	16.6%	4.2	5.6	
2013	32%	8%	Met goal	109%	69%	1.7%	17.7%	4.0	5.6	
2014	32%	8%	Did not meet goal	127%	71%	1.4%	21.0%	4.2	5.6	
2015	33%	8%	Met goal	145%	71%	1.2%	10.6%	4.1	5.4	
2016	32%	8%	Met goal	105%	70%	1.1%	11.6%	4.1	5.5	
2017			Met goal	94%	72%	1.8%	12.6%	4.2	5.7	
Benchmark*	39% P	9% P	В	100%	66%	0.2% increase B	10.0%		5.5 B	

Note 1: Institutional goal on this measure is not appropriate to the enrollment management process used at UMCP.

Note 2: Institution awards financial aid on more specific institutional aid priorities; therefore, a goal for this measure is inappropriate for UMCP.

University of Maryland, Eastern Shore *Dashboard Indicators, May 2018*

As of 5/21/18

^{*} Benchmark = Comparison to external standard (P = peers; B = BOR policy; N = national standard; S = State policy; I = institutional goal)

		Stude	nt: Access, Affor	dability, and Attai	nment	
	1	2 6-year	3 Average (3-yr.) 2nd year	4 AfrAmer., Hispan., Nat. Amer.	5 % of applicants admitted	6 MD comm. college
Year	Average SAT +	graduation rate +	retention rate +	as % of UGs	(new freshmen & transfer students)	transfers +
2012	879	32%	67%	76%	58%	86
2013	880	32%	68%	75%	57%	135
2014	861	37%	70%	75%	63%	181
2015	844	33%	70%	76%	51%	152
2016	921	36%	66%	78%	39%	114
2017	_				39%	97
Benchmark*	823-1009 P 25th & 75th %ile)	44% P	75% P	53% P	62% I	53

Alumni	
10	
Alumni	
giving rate	
	+
3.0%	
2.5%	
3.3%	
2.9%	
1.9%	

		Faculty			Affordability		Econom	nic Dvlp.	Workforce Dvlp.
	21	22	24	7	8	9	31	38	35
	Aver.	Wgtd. aver		Resident UG	% of undergrads	Average*	Total R&D		Upper division
	faculty	faculty salary	Student to	tuition & fees	receiving	undergraduate	expendit. per	Number of	enrollment
	salary	%ile	Faculty Ratio	(Yr. beginning) %	financial aid	debt burden	FT faculty	start-up companies	enrollment
Year	+	+		chg.	+	upon graduatio: -	+	+	
2012	\$72,172	65	16	\$6,713 4%	88%	\$27,215	\$51,162	5	391
2013	\$70,881	61	14	\$6,998 4%	88%	\$28,486	\$54,774	2	403
2014	\$70,881	72	14	\$7,287 4%	86%	\$20,375	\$54,442	1	425
2015	\$76,049	68	15	\$7,625 5%	87%	\$21,000	\$52,655	0	369
2016	\$84,202	82	14	\$7,804 2%	85%	\$21,000	\$46,091	0	355
2017	\$85,752	76		\$8,042 3%	84%			0	353
Benchmark*	\$84,448 P	85% B	16.2 P	P	89%	\$27,752 P	\$24,904 P		

		Stew	ardship		Effectiveness & Efficiency					
	41	42	43	44	51	52	53	54	55	
	Expend. for instr.	Expend. for admin.	Fund balance	% of		Facilities	% of undergrad.	Time	Tching. workload	
	as % of oper. expend.	as % of oper. expend.	increase: goal	fundraising	Classroom	renewal \$ as % of	credits from	to degree	courses per	
	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	achievement	goal achieved	utilization rate	replacemt. value	non-tradit. methods	in years	FTE faculty	
Year	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	
2012	37%	12%	Met goal	138%	69%	0.6%	10.9%	4.6	7.6	
2013	41%	12%	Did not meet goal	75%	69%	0.7%	13.9%	4.7	8.1	
2014	39%	13%	Did not meet goal	115%	69%	0.2%	14.8%	4.8	7.4	
2015	39%	13%	Met goal	95%	69%	0.2%	17.2%	4.9	7.2	
2016	44%	16%	Met goal	116%	67%	0.2%	19.5%	5.0	8.2	
2017			Did not meet goal	148%	67%	0.1%	21.2%	5.0	7.0	
Benchmark*	50% P	16% P	В	100% I	66%	0.2% increase B	10.0%		7.5 B	

University of Maryland University College Dashboard Indicators, May 2018

Italicized figures are figures against which peer comparisons should be made.

As of 5/21/18

* Measure used by U.S. News

^{*} Benchmark = Comparison to external standard (P = peers; B = BOR policy; N = national standard; S = State policy; I = institutional goal)

				Stateside				Worldwide
	1-UMUC	4	2-UMUC	3-UMUC	4-UMUC	6	6-UMUC	7-UMUC
	Total	AfrAmer.		% of students who are	% of students who are			Number of worldwide
	undergraduate	Hispan., Nat. Amer.	African-Amer.	economically	25 years of age	MD comm. coll.	Number of stateside	online enrollments
	headcount	as % of UGs	as % of total UGs	disadvantaged	or older	transfers	online courses	(students x
Year	enrollment +	+	+	+	NC	+	+	classes enrolled in
2012	28,119	45%	33%	43%	83%	2,997	941	262,708
2013	28,273	44%	31%	47%	83%	2,840	978	261,101
2014	26,740	46%	29%	50%	83%	2,574	981	243,303
2015	35,154	43%	27%	49%	80%	3,075	956	248,104
2016	42,892	44%	26%	48%	80%	3,131	923	265,520
2017	44,219		26%	44%	80%	3,303	862	274,581

	Affordability				
	7		8		
	Resident UG tuition & fees		% of undergrads receiving		
	(Yr. beginning)	%	financial aid		
Year	, ,	chg.		+	
2012	\$6,474	4%	47%		
2013	\$6,642	3%	47%		
2014	\$6,834	3%	52%		
2015	\$7,146	5%	51%		
2016	\$7,266	2%	42%		
2017	\$7,386	2%	43%		
Benchmark*		P	25-30%		

Economic Dvlp.	Workforce Development				
Worldwide	Stateside				
8-UMUC	10-UMUC	35			
Total no. of	No. of technology &	Upper division			
off campus or	management	STEM			
distance education	post-baccalaureates	enrollment			
enrollments +	awarded +	+			
327,608	2,816	4969			
318,074	2,864	5401			
294,226	3,225	6613			
294,568	3,283	6989			
309,768	3,523	8290			
317,094	3,622	8765			
>251,000	≥1300				

Alumni	
10	
., .	
Alumni	
giving rate	
	+
2.4%	
2.0%	
1.8%	
1.7%	
1.2%	

	Stewardship				Effectiveness & Efficiency	
	Worldwide			Stateside	Stateside	
!	41	42	43	44	11-UMUC	
	Expend. for instruction	Expend. for admin.	Fund balance	% of	Operating budget	
	as % of oper. expend.	as % of oper. expend.	increase: goal	fundraising	savings as % of state-	
	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	(Excl. auxil./hosp.)	achievement	goal achieved	supported budget	
Year	+	-	+	-	+ +	
2012	29%	13%	Met goal	52%	2%	
2013	28%	13%	Met goal	90%	2%	
2014	29%	14%	Met goal	133%	2%	
2015	27%	20%	Met goal	52%	2%	
2016	27%	22%	Met goal	67%	2%	
2017			Met goal	40%	2%	
						
Benchmark*	43%	24% P	В	100%	2%	

University of Maryland Center for Environmental Sciences Dashboard Indicators, May 2018

As of 5/21/18

		National	Eminence/Quality			
	Stud	ents	Faculty			
Year	1-UMCES Average GRE score of incoming students directed by UMCES faculty		2-UMCES Number of peer reviewed publications by UMCES faculty	3-UMCES Number of citations per peer reviewed publication	9 - UMCES Total R&D expendit. per Core faculty**	
2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017	1297 1232 1250 1250 1250 312 new score system		155 168 200 164 200 156	35.7 35.9 38.3 40.5 43.9 44.8	\$688,914 \$675,770 \$686,676 \$705,405 \$702,712	
Benchmark*	I		I	I	I	
	V	Vorkforce & Econon	nic Development		l	
Year	5-UMCES Number of UMCES-sponsored Chesapeake Bay restoration projects	6-UMCES Number of K-12 teachers trained in UMCES environmental projects	7-UMCES Number of K-12 students involved in UMCES environmental education projects	8-UMCES Total R&D expenditures (000s)		
2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017	209 183 229 214 212 194	377 442 608 888 1309 1753	11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000	\$48,224 \$53,683 \$50,814 \$52,200 \$52,000	· I	
Benchmark*	I	I	I	I		
	Stewar	dship	l I	Effectiveness	& Efficiency	
Year	43 Fund balance increase: goal achievement	44 % of fundraising goal achieved			52 Facilities renewal \$ as % of replacemt. value	
2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017	Met goal Did not meet goal Met goal Met goal Met goal Met goal Met goal	238% 180% 95% 99% 54%	•		0.4% 0.8% 0.4% 0.3% 0.4% 0.3%	
Benchmark*	В	100%			0.2% increase B	

Q:\Dashboard Indicators\2017\Data

^{**} Core Faculty = TTT + Research Professor Lines