STATE OF MARYLAND

Single Audit with Independent Auditors' Report

Year Ended June 30, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	
Independent Auditors' Report on Financial Statements Audited in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> and Supplementary Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	1
Compliance and Internal Controls	
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Financial Statements in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	4
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	7
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	10
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	46
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	
Schedule I – Summary of Auditors' Results Schedule II – Financial Statement Findings Schedule III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs	52 56 59
Schedule IV – Schedule of Prior-Year Findings	107

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS AND SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS



ABRAMS, FOSTER, NOLE & WILLIAMS, P.A. Certified Public Accountants
2 Hamill Road, Suite 241
West Quadrangle
Baltimore, Maryland 21210-1886
(410) 433-6830/Fax (410) 433-6871

Member American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and Maryland Association of Certified Public Accountants

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

The Honorable Peter Franchot Comptroller of Maryland

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the State of Maryland (the State), as of June 30, 2007 and for the year then ended, which collectively comprise the State's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements, schedules and supplementary information are the responsibility of the State's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We did not audit the financial statements of (1) certain Economic Development – Loan Programs; (2) Unemployment Insurance Program; (3) Maryland State Lottery Agency; (4) Maryland Transportation Authority; (5) Economic Development Insurance Programs; (6) certain foundations included in the higher education component unit; (7) Maryland Environmental Service; (8) Maryland Food Center Authority; (9) Maryland Technology Development Corporation; (10) Investment Trust Fund; and (11) Deferred Compensation Plan, which represent the percentages of the assets, net assets and operating revenues or additions of the opinion units listed below.

	Percentage of Opinion Unit						
	Total Assets	Total Net Assets	Total Operating Revenues				
Business type activities:							
Economic Development-Loan Programs	50.57 %	35.11 %	7.5 %				
Unemployment Insurance Program	12.00	22.30	16.02				
Maryland State Lottery Agency	2.79	0.27	60.83				
Maryland Transportation Authority	30.97	35.54	13,44				
Economic Development-Insurance							
Programs	1.15	2.02	0.09				
Total percentage of business type activities	97.48 %	95.24 %	97.88 %				

4.19	%
3.00	
0.13	
0.29	
7.61	%
7.20	%
3.31	
0.51	%
	4.19 3.00 0.13 0.29 7.61 7.20 3.31 0.51

Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose reports thereon have been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the abovementioned funds and component units, is based on the reports of the other auditors.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller the general of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall basic financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit and the reports of the other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the State of Maryland as of June 30, 2007, and the respective changes in the financial position and cash flows where applicable, thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 10, 2007, on our consideration of the State's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of Federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the United States Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The schedule of expenditures of Federal awards is prepared on the basis of accounting described in Note 2 to the schedule of expenditures of Federal awards and excludes the expenditures associated with the Federal financial assistance programs for the Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration, an administration of the Maryland Department of Environment that had a separate OMB Circular A-133 audit. The information in the schedule of expenditures of Federal awards has been subject to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of other auditors, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The management's discussion and analysis, required supplemental schedules of funding progress for the Pension and Retirement System and the Maryland Transit Administration Pension Plan, and the respective budgetary comparison for the budgetary general, special and Federal funds as listed in the table of contents are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the State of Maryland's basic financial statements. The combining financial statements, schedules, introductory and statistical sections, as listed in the table of contents are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The combining financial statements and schedules have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied by us and the other auditors in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of the other auditors, are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The introductory and statistical sections of this report and the financial schedules are not required by law have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied by us or the other auditors in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them

Abrams, Foster, Nole & Williams, P.A.

abramo, Foster, Note - Williams P.A.

Certified Public Accountants

Baltimore, Maryland

December 10, 2007

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



ABRAMS, FOSTER, NOLE & WILLIAMS, P.A. Certified Public Accountants 2 Hamill Road, Suite 241 West Quadrangle Baltimore, Maryland 21210-1886 (410) 433-6830/Fax (410) 433-6871

Member American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and Maryland Association of Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Honorable Peter Franchot Comptroller of Maryland

We have audited the basic financial statements of the State of Maryland (State), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, and have issued our report thereon dated December 10, 2007. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our report on the basic financial statements included disclosures regarding our references to the reports of other auditors. The financial statements of the Economic Development – Loan Programs (except for the Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration), an administration of the Maryland Department of the Environment; the Maryland State Lottery Agency; the Maryland Transportation Authority; the Economic Development Insurance Programs; certain foundations included in the higher education component unit; the Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, the Maryland Local Government Investment Pool, the Maryland Transit Administration Pension Plan, and the Deferred Compensation Plan were not audited in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the State's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the State's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the State's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses to be significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting identified as finding 2007- GAS 1.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that the significant deficiency described above is not a material weakness.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the State's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted other matters involving the internal control over financial reporting, which we have reported to the management of the University System of Maryland, Baltimore City Community College and Morgan State University in separate letters dated October 26, 2007, October 11, 2007 and December 20, 2007.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of State management, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (cognizant agency), Federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Abrams, Foster, Nole & Williams, P.A.

Alrana, Foster, Nok-William, P.A.

Certified Public Accountants

Baltimore, Maryland

December 10, 2007

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133



ABRAMS, FOSTER, NOLE & WILLIAMS, P.A. Certified Public Accountants 2 Hamill Road, Suite 241 West Quadrangle Baltimore, Maryland 21210-1886 (410) 433-6830/Fax (410) 433-6871

Member American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and Maryland Association of Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

The Honorable Peter Franchot Comptroller of Maryland

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the State of Maryland (State) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major Federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2007. The State's major Federal programs are identified in the Summary of Auditors' Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major Federal programs is the responsibility of the State's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the State's compliance based on our audit.

The State's basic financial statements include the operations of the Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration, an administration of the Maryland Department of the Environment, which received Federal awards that are not included in the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of this administration because the State engaged other auditors to perform a separate audit in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major Federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the State's compliance

with those requirements and performing such other procedures, as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit and the reports of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the State's compliance with those requirements. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the State's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the State complied in all material respects with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to its major Federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2007. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed other instances of noncompliance with those requirements that are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs section of this report as 2007-1 through 2007-17.

Internal Control over Compliance

The management of the State is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to Federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the State's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major Federal program to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. According, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the State's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the entity's internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses as defined below. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A control deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2007-1 through 2007-17 to be significant deficiencies.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

The State's responses to the findings indentified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of State management, others within the State, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (cognizant agency), federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Abrams, Foster, Nole & Williams, P.A.

Certified Public Accountants

Baltimore, Maryland

December 10, 2007

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

RESEARCH

STUDENT FINANCIAL

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA Number	Al	ARCH ND OPMENT	FI	TUDENT NANCIAL SISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT (AID)								
Agency for International Development	O2.RD	\$	(129)	\$	-	\$ l e	\$ (129)	-
Pass-Through United Negro College Fund	O2.RD		-		•	(54)	 (54)	
TOTAL AID							 (183)	
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (DOA)	10 50 4005 7							
Contract / Other	10.58-1225-7- 001		17,217			-	17,217	
Pass-Through North Carolina State University	10.2005-1995- 01		9,306			-	9,306	
Agricultural Research: Basic and Applied Research Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control and Animal	10.001		-		-	642,781	642,781	
Care	10.025		-		•	4,082,941	4,082,941	
Commodity Loans and Loan Deficiency Payments	10.051		-		-	4,550	4,550	
Conservation Reserve Program	10.069		-		-	11,157	11,157	
Federal-State Marketing Improvement Program	10.156					40,464	40,464	
Market Protection and Promotion Grants for Agricultural Research: Competitive	10.163					134,098	134,098	
Research Grants	10.200		-		-	624,173	624,173	
Pass thru University of Vermont Payments to 1890 Land-Grant Colleges and Tuskegee	10.200					36,608	36,608	
University Grants for Agricultural Research: Competitive	10.205		-		-	1,215,131	1,215,131	
Research Grants	10.206		-		-	92,191	92,191	
Food and Agricultural Sciences National Needs Graduate Fellowship Grants	10.210		-		-	43,486	43,486	
1890 Institution Capacity Building Grants	10.216		*		•	910,134	910,134	
Higher Education Multicultural Scholars Program	10.220		-		-	21,167	21,167	
Agricultural and Rural Economic Research	10.250		~		~	15,493	15,493	
Integrated Programs	10.303		-			776,533	776,533	
Pass-Through Pennsylvania State University	10.303				_	14,814	14,814	
Homeland Security Agricultural	10.304		-			297	297	
·			-					
Pass-Through Bay Friendly Chicken Corp.	10.352		-		-	10,000	10,000	
National Rural Development Partnership	10.353		-		-	3,660	3,660	
Outreach and Assistance for Socially Disadvantage Far	10.443		-		~	79,153	79,153	
Crop Insurance	10.450		-		-	431,412	431,412	
Egg Products Inspection	10.476		-		•	39,678	39,678	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE TOTAL TOTALS OTHER DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (DOA), cont. Cooperative Extension Service 10.500 5,334,724 5,334,724 Pass-Through University of Delaware 10.500 15,358,528 15,358,528 Pass-Through University of Vermont 10.500 5,321 5,321 Food Stamps Cluster: Food Stamps 10.551 349.190.463 349,190,463 State Administrative Matching Grants for Food Stamp Program 10.561 40,759,431 40.759.431 **Total Food Stamp Cluster** 389.949.894 **Child Nutrition Cluster:** School Breakfast Program 10.553 25,922,356 25,922,356 National School Lunch Program 10.555 93,679,200 93,679,200 Special Milk Program for Children 10.556 399,839 399,839 Summer Food Service Program for Children 10.559 4,456,803 4,456,803 Total Child Nutrition Cluster 124,458,198 Special Supplemental Nutrition Program - WIC 10.557 74,597,174 74,597,174 Child and Adult Care Food Program 10.558 31.171.778 31,171,778 Administrative Expenses for Child Nutrition 10.560 2,106,138 2.106.138 **Emergency Food Assistance Cluster:** Emergency Food Assistance Program (Administrative 10.568 693,357 693,357 Emergency Food Assistance Program (Food Commodities) 10.569 2,662,083 2,662,083 Total Emergency Food Asst. Cluster 3,355,440 WIC Farmers' Market Nutrition Program (FMNP) 10.572 304,912 304,912 Team Nutrition Training 10.574 (4,659)(4,659)Farmers Market Nutrition Program (SFMNP) 10.576 110,076 110,076 Food Stamp Program Outreach Grant 552,374 10.580 552,374 10.601 Market Access Program 57,777 57,777 Agricultural Mediation program 10.645 93,262 93,262 Cooperative Forestry Assistance 10.664 2,182,154 2,182,154 Rural Development, Foresty and Communities 10.672 5,000 5,000

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

DERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA Number	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
PARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (DOA), cont.						
Forest Legacy Program	10.676	, des	-	9,706	9,706	
Forest Land Enhancement Program	10.677	-	-	29,705	29,705	
Forest Stewardship Program	10.678	-	*	38,241	38,241	
Rural Cooperative Development Grants	10.771	Ψ	-	62,028	62,028	
1890 Land Grant Institution Rural Entrepreneurial Outreach Program	10.856	-	-	84,889	84,889	
Environmental Quality	10.912	-	-	625,389	625,389	
Pass-Through Pennsylvania State University	10.912	, ma	-	4,189	4,189	
Agriculture Statistical Reports	10.950		-	34,113	34,113	
Technical Agricultural Assistance	10.960	-	-	1,039,200	1,039,200	
Agricultural Research Service	10.RD	2,176,703	-		2,176,703	
Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service (CSREES)	10.RD	9,054,754			9,054,754	
Pass-Through BouMatic	10.RD	49,094	*	-	49,094	
Pass-Through Colorado State University	10.RD	28,537		_	28,537	
Pass-Through Cornell University	10.RD	22,245	_	-	22,245	
Pass-Through Kansas State University	10.RD	107,126	_	-	107,126	
Pass-Through Mt. Sinai School of Medicine	10.RD	4	-	-	4	
Pass-Through Pennsylvania State University	10.RD	103	-		103	
Pass-Through Roger Williams University	10.RD	31,645	-	m	31,645	
Pass-Through University of Delaware	10.RD	92,876	-	-	92,876	
Pass-Through University of Missouri-Columbia	10.RD	3,754	-	-	3,754	
Pass-Through University of Vermont	10.RD	107,845	-	-	107,845	
Pass-Through University of Washington	10.RD	13,155	-	_	13,155	
Economic Research Service	10.RD	267,375		-	267,375	
Farm Service Agency	10.RD	32,937	-	-	32,937	
Foreign Agricultural Service	10.RD	2,578,024	-	-	2,578,024	
Forest Service	10.RD	136,523	*	-	136,523	
Natural Resources Conservation Service	10.RD	157,639	-	-	157,639	
National Agricultural Library	10.RD	84,940	-	-	84,940	
Other Department of Agriculture	10.RD	8,981	-	<u>.</u>	8,981	
TOTAL DOA				Williams	675,776,255	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT **FINANCIAL** AND CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL TOTALS **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (DOC)** Contract / Other LSB134106W09 1,479 1,479 Contract / Other .EU133C06SE40 23.752 23,752 Contract / Other 11.8405IPA01 37,560 37,560 Census Special Tabulations and Services 11 005 82,022 82,022 Economic Development: Technical Assistance 11.303 190,999 190,999 Public Works and Economic Development Cluster: Economic Adjustment Assistance 11.307 7,911,467 7,911,467 Total Public Works & Econ. Devel. Cluster 7.911,467 Interjurisdictional Fisheries Act of 1986 11,407 107,291 107,291 Sea Grant Support: Pass-Through Virginia Institute of Maine Science 11.417 375 375 Coastal Zone Management Administration Awards 11.419 4,123,391 4,123,391 Coastal Zone Management Estuarine Research 11 420 372,289 372,289 Financial Assistance for National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science 11.426 263,997 263,997 Marine Fisheries Initiative 11.433 105,936 105,936 Environmental Sciences, Applications, Data and Education 11.440 38.134 38,134 Chesapeake Bay Studies 11.457 582,415 582,415 Unallied Science Program 11.472 32,869 32,869 Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Cooperative Management Act 11.474 237,245 237,245 Coastal Ocean Research Program 11.478 29,952 29,952 Educational Partnership Program 11.481 2,459,768 2,459,768 Calibration Program 11.601 3,828 3.828 Weights and Measures Service 11.606 76,751 76,751 Measurement and Engineering Research and Standard: 11.609 159,396 159,396 Manufacturing Extension Partnership 11.611 443,416 443,416 Minority Business Development Centers 11.800 119,417 119,417 Bureau of the Census 11.RD 41,572 41,572 Pass-Through ABT Associates Inc. 11.RD 723 723 National Institute for Standards and Technology 11.RD 10,000,692 10,000,692 Pass-Through Booz Allen and Hamilton, Inc. 11.RD 273 273 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 11.RD 14,735,679 14,735,679 Pass-Through Chesapeake Research Consortium 11.RD 323,769 323,769

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL TOTALS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (DOC), (cont.) Pass-Through Florida State University 11.RD 3,255 3,255 Pass-Through I.M. Systems Group, Inc. 11.RD 8,796 8,796 Pass-Through Oyster Recovery Partnership 11.RD 343,676 343,676 Pass-Through Research Foundation of City University of New York 11.RD 92,273 92,273 Pass-Through Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey 11.RD 27,428 27,428 Pass-Through Smithsonian Institute 11.RD 7,628 7,628 Pass-Through Texas A&M Research Foundation 11.RD 7,111 7,111 Pass-Through University of New Hampshire 11.RD 176,217 176,217 Pass-Through University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill 11.RD 41,056 41,056 Pass-Through Virginia Institute of Marine Science 11.RD 90,402 90,402 Department of Commerce - Other 11.RD (10)(10)**Total DOC** 43,304,289 **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD)** 12.HM1582-07-Contract / Other C-0009 18,279 18,279 12.HR98230-06-Contract / Other 01-0239 1,036 1,036 12.H98230-35-1-Contract / Other 0118 14,374 14,374 12.IPA-Contract / Other Agreement 71,255 71,255 12.MDA904-03-Contract / Other C-0465 22,684 22,684 12.HC1047-05-Contract/Other - Defense Information Systems Agency D-4015 1,749,448 1,749,448 Contract/ Other - IPA Contract 12.0509028285 124,067 124,067 Contract/Other - IPA Contract 12.0511159442 207,709 207,709 Contract/Other - IPA Contract 12.0701027003 87.252 87,252 Contract/Other - National Defense University 2.W9137B06P01 54,109 54,109 Contract/Other 12.unknown 196,011 196,011 Procurement Technical Assistance for Business Firms 12.002 351,119 351,119 Planning Assistance to States 12.110 152,701 152,701 State Memo of Agreement Prog for Reimb of Tech Service 12.113 571,134 571,134 Basic and Applied Scientific Research 12.300 2,345,756 2,345,756 Pass-Through WILLCOR, Inc. 12.300 82,822 82,822

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE TOTAL OTHER TOTALS **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD) (cont.)** Military Construction, National Guard 12.400 336,155 336,155 National Guard Military Operations & Maint 12.401 11,318,208 11,318,208 National Guard Civilian Youth Opportunities 12.404 1,632,721 1,632,721 Military Medical Research and Development 12.420 4,295 4,295 Basic Scientific Research 12.431 186,713 186,713 Pass-Through Academy of Applied Science 12,431 5,021 5,021 Basic, Applied, and Advanced Research in Science and Engineering 12 630 1,548,953 1,548,953 Motor Week Energy 12.678 162,301 162.301 Air Force Defense Research Sciences 12.800 283,275 283,275 Language Grant Program 12.900 113,725 113,725 Pass-Through Bryn Mawr College 12.900 46,194 46,194 Mathematical Sciences Grant Program 12.901 465,303 465.303 Information Security Grant Program 12.902 458,541 458,541 Research and Technology Development: Pass-Through Telecordia Technologies 12,910 88,619 88,619 Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers 12.RD 283,534 283,534 Pass-Through Bellcore Company 12.RD 742,395 742,395 Pass-Through Oyster Recovery Partnership 12.RD 15.451 15,451 Pass-Through Virginia Institute of Marine Science 12.RD 2.023 2,023 U.S. Army, Material Command 12.RD 6,701,745 6,701,745 Pass-Through Boston University 12.RD 53,977 53,977 Pass-Through Georgetown University 12.RD 26,539 26,539 Pass-Through Nevada System of Higher Education 12.RD 663 663 Pass-Through Temple University 12.RD 33,528 33,528 Pass-Through University of California 12 RD 147,396 147,396 Pass-Through University of California, Los Angeles 12.RD 122,530 122,530 Pass-Through University of Rochester Institute of Optics 12.RD 307,051 307,051 U.S. Army, Medical Command 12.RD 3,827,418 3,827,418 Department of the Navy, Office of Chief of Naval Research 12.RD 13.020.211 13.020.211 Pass-Through Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Lab 12.RD 28,473 28,473

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA Number	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD) (cont.)						
Pass-Through Johns Hopkins University	12.RD	86,943	-	· w	86,943	
Pass-Through Micro Analysis and Design, Inc.	12.RD	16,031	-	-	16,031	
Pass-Through Surface Optics	12.RD	41,630	_	-	41,630	
Pass-Through University of Texas	12.RD	109,212	-	-	109,212	
Department of the Air Force, Material Command	12.RD	5,850,799	-	-	5,850,799	
National Security Agency	12.RD	30,657,509	-	-	30,657,509	
Pass-Through BAE Systems of North America	12.RD	622,538	-		622,538	
Pass-Through Battelle	12.RD	49,709	· -		49,709	
Pass-Through George Mason University	12.RD	132	-		132	
Pass-Through IBM Corporation	12.RD	942,878	-		942,878	
Pass-Through New Mexico State University	12.RD	217,593			217,593	
Pass-Through RABA Technologies, LLC	12.RD	45,751	-		45,751	
Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency;	12.RD	755,613	-	-	755,613	
Pass-Through Drexel University	12.RD	52,478	-	-	52,478	
Pass-Through Princeton University	12.RD	18,967	-	-	18, 96 7	
Pass-Through SRI International	12.RD	1,025	-	- pas	1,025	
Pass-Through Stanford University	12.RD	53,228	-	-	53,228	
Pass-Through University of Southern California	12.RD	93,710	~	-	93,710	
Office of the Secretary of Defense	12.RD	711,981	-	-	711,981	
Pass-Through University of Itlinois	12.RD	101,438		-	101,438	•
Other Department of Defense	12.RD	674,957	-	-	674,957	
Pass-Through Battelle	12.RD	176,422	-	-	176,422	
Pass-Through Henry M. Jackson Foundation	12.RD	496,801	-	-	496,801	
Pass-Through Rutgers University	12.RD	83,006	-	-	83,006	
Pass-Through University of Illinois at Urbana- Champaign	12.RD	204,433	-	-	204,433	
Pass-Through US Civilian Research & Development Foundation	12.RD	21,518	-		21,518	
Total Department of Defense					90,099,016	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL TOTALS **CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY (CIA)** Contract / Other .2005*H370900*(201,425 201,425 Central Intelligence Agency 13.RD 91,902 91,902 Total CIA 293,327 **DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING & URBAN DEVELOPMENT (HUD)** Department of Housing and Urban Development 14.000 684,356 684,356 Section 8 Project-Based Cluster: Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments Program 14.195 142,474,313 142,474,313 Moderate Rehab 14.856 409,146 409,146 **Total Section 8 Project-Based Cluster** 142,883,459 CDBG Entitlement & (Hud Admin.) Small Cities Cluster Community Development 14.218 53,542 53,542 Community Development Block Grant/Small Cities 14.219 8,861,724 8,861,724 Total CDBG Entitlement & (Hud Admin.) Small Cities Cluster 8,915,266 Community Development Block Grant/State's Program 14.228 2,280,851 2,280,851 Supportive Housing Program 14.235 698,396 698,396 Shelter Plus Care 14.238 2,716,172 2,716,172 HOME Investment Partnership Program 14.239 8,958,495 8,958,495 Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS 14.241 305,309 305,309 Community Dev. Block Grants/Brownfield's Economic Development Initiate 14.246 526,853 526,853 Fair Housing Assistance Program: State and Local 340,300 14,401 340,300 Historically Black Colleges and Universities Programs 14.520 36,530 36.530 Community Outreach Partnership Center 14.511 39,028 39,028 **HBCU Program** 14.520 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers 14.871 12,612,956 12,612,956 Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control in Privately-Owned Housing 14.900 599,386 599,386 Office of Policy Development and Research 14.RD 80,260 80,260 Other Research and Development 14.RD (104)(104)Pass-Through Charles County Economic 14.RD Development Department 50,780 50,780 Total HUD 181,728,293

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND FINANCIAL. CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL **TOTALS** DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (DOI) Contract / Other .1443CA3097012 5.368 5,368 Contract / Other 15.06CRCNOO2; 64.414 64,414 Contract / Other/National Park Service 15.CO-OP 142 142 Pass-Through National Fish & Wildlife Foundation 15. Unknown 5,453 5,453 Cultural Resource Management 15.224 620 620 Wildland Urban Interface Community Fire Assistance 15.228 15,379 15,379 Regulation of Surface Coal Mining and Surface Effects of Underground Coal Mining 15.250 695,710 695,710 Abandoned Mine Land Reclamation (AMLR) Program 15.252 1,188,191 1,188,191 Fish and Wild Life Cluster: Sport Fish Restoration 15.605 2,771,035 2,771,035 Wildlife Restoration 15.611 2,267,055 2,267,055 Total Fish and Wild Life Cluster 5,038,090 Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund 15.615 36,780 36,780 Clean Vessel Act 15.616 576,703 576,703 Wildlife Conservation Appreciation 15,617 2.030 2,030 Sportfishing and Boating Safety Act 15.622 1,022,431 1,022,431 Landowner incentive 15.633 440,003 440,003 State Wildlife Grants 15.634 1,016,431 1.016,431 Pass-Through North Dakota Game and Fish Department 15.634 95,424 95,424 US Geological Survey: Research and Data Acquisition 15.808 50,769 50,769 Pass-Through American View, Inc. 15.809 6,694 6,694 Disposal of Surplus Wildlife 15.900 8,376 8,376 Historic Preservation Fund Grants-In-Aid 15.904 803,494 803,494 Technical Preservation Services 15,915 666 666 Outdoor Recreation - Acquisition, Development and Pla 15.916 615,000 615,000 National Center for Preservation Technology & Training 15.923 14,444 14.444 National Park Service 15.RD 525.392 525,392 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 15.RD 95,598 95,598 Pass-Through National Fish and Wildlife Foundation 15.RD 346 346

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL TOTALS **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (DOI),(cont.)** 15.RD Geological Survey 346,871 346,871 Other Department of Interior - Research and Developme 30,734 15.RD 30.734 Pass-Through University of Southern California 15.RD 52,243 52,243 Total DOI 12,753,796 **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ)** Contract / Other 16.Unknown 620,192 620,192 Contract / Other i.2006-DD-BX-03 42,789 42,789 Contract / Other 6.2007-DG-R-03 18,850 18.850 Marijuana Eradication 16.004 85,497 85,497 Offender Reentry Program 16.202 1,280,544 1,280,544 Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grants 16.523 1,028,446 1,028,446 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention: Allocation to States 802,702 16.540 802,702 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention 16.541 98,293 98,293 Missing Children's assistance 16.543 216,662 216,662 Title V: Delinquency Prevention Program 16.548 100,486 100,486 National Criminal History Improvement Program (NCHIP) 16.554 430,144 430,144 National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation, and Development Project Grants 16.560 2,624,718 2,624,718 Forensic DNA Lab Improvement 16 564 4,132,183 4.132.183 Crime Victim Assistance 16.575 8,847,011 8,847,011 Crime Victim Compensation 16.576 1,600,000 1,600,000 Byrne Formula Grant Program 16.579 2,273,148 2,273,148 Byrne Memorial State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance Discretionary Grant Program 16.580 2,245,529 2,245,529 Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program 16.585 155,803 155,803 Violent Offender Incarceration and Truth in Sentencing Incentive Grants 16.586 532,170 532,170 Violence Against Women Formula Grants 16.588 2,344,222 2,344,222 Grant to Encourage Arrest Policies and Enforcement Of Protection Orders 16.590 495,904 495,904 Local Law Enforcement Block Grants Program 16.592 139,897 139,897 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners 16.593 1.112.852 1,112,852

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL TOTALS **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ) (cont.)** 25,000 Correctinions Training and Staff Development 16.601 25,000 State Criminal Alien Assistance Program 16.606 850,000 850.000 Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program 16.607 24,051 24,051 Gun Violence Prosecution Program 16.609 10,881 10,881 Info Sharing 16.610 132,324 132,324 Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing 16.710 77,947 77,947 Enforcing Underage Drinking Laws Program 16.727 237,978 237,978 Drug Prevention Program 16.728 32,526 32,526 Protecting Inmates and Safeguarding Communities Dis 16.735 33,813 33,813 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program 3,633,452 16.738 3.633.452 Anti-Gang Initiative Program 16.744 60,831 60,831 Pass-Through American Statistical Association 16.RD 12,657 12.657 Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention 16.RD Pass-Through University of Colorado 16.RD Pass-Through University of Pennsylvania 16.RD 69,907 69,907 Office of Justice Programs 16.RD 278,077 278,077 Pass-Through Police Foundation 16.RD 25,870 25,870 Office of National Drug Control Policy 16.RD 8,138,886 8,138,886 National Institute of Justice 16.RD 33,764 33,764 Total DOJ 44,906,006 **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (DOL)** Labor Force Statistics 17.002 1,117,541 1,117,541 Compensation and Working Conditions 17.005 175,303 175,303 Labor Certification for Alien Workers 17,203 934,889 934,889 Workforce Employment Cluster: Workforce Investment Act: Adult Program 17.258 8,770,743 8,770,743 Workforce Investment Act: Youth Activities 17.259 9,500,332 9,500,332 Workforce Investment Act: Dislocated Workers 17.260 11,274,021 11,274,021 **Total Workforce Employment Cluster** 29,545,096

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE TOTAL TOTALS FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE OTHER DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (DOL). (cont.) Work Incentives Grant 17.266 598,514 598,514 WIA Incentive Grants_Section 503 Grants to States 17.267 382,803 382,803 Employment Programs for People with Disabilities 17.720 170,139 170,139 **Employment Service Cluster:** 12,934,610 12,934,610 **Employment Service** 17.207 Disabled Veterans' Outreach Program (DVOP) 1,430,220 1,430,220 17.801 Local Veterans' Employment Representative Program 17.804 1,463,521 1,463,521 **Total Employment Service Cluster** 15,828,351 Employment and Training Administration Pilots, Demon 17.261 69,509 69,509 Occupational Safety and Health: State Program 17.503 4,068,178 4,068,178 Unemployment Insurance (Note 2) 524,069,250 524,069,250 17.225 Senior Community Service Employment Program 1.188.837 1.188.837 17.235 Trade Adjustment Assistance: Workers 3,622,712 3,622,712 17.245 GWIB - Health Worker Initiative 17.257 1,318,281 1,318,281 1,041,901 1,041,901 Consultation Agreements 17.504 Occupational Illness & Injury Prevention 17.600 80,173 80,173 Dol/Mine Safety Digitizing Mine Maps 17.601 3,364 3,364 Employment and Training Administration 17.RD 190,716 190,716 Total DOL 584,405,557 **DEPARTMENT OF STATE (DOS)** Contract / Other/ Office of Medical Services 3LMAQM-01-D-0 9,801 9,801 International Education Training and Research 292,598 19,430 292,598 **Total Department of State** 302,399 **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)** Contract/Other 20.Unknown 2,288,436 2,288,436 Pass-Through South Carolina State University 20.57-6000950 39.471 39,471 Airport Improvement Program 16,949,013 16,949,013 20.106 Aviation Research Grants 20.108 53,198 53.198 Highway Planning & Construction Cluster: Highway Planning and Construction 20.205 608,419,215 608,419,215 Appalachian Development Highway System 23.003 134,048 134,048 **Total Highway Planning & Construction Cluster** 608,553,263

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT **FINANCIAL** AND CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL TOTALS **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT), (cont.)** Highway Training and Education 20.215 101,394 101,394 National Motor Carrier Safety 20.218 756.209 756,209 Fra - Maglev 20.312 787,390 787,390 Federal Transit Cluster: Federal Transit: Capital Investment Grants 20.500 47,432,518 47,432,518 Federal; Transit: Formula Grants 20.507 80,302,418 80,302,418 **Total Federal Transit Cluster** 127,734,936 Highway Planning and Construction Cluster: Federal Transit: Metropolitan Planning Grants 20.505 7,803;710 7,803,710 Total Highway Planning & Constr. Cluster 7,803,710 Formula Grants for Other Than Urbanized Areas 20.509 2,670,085 2.670.085 Capital Assistance Program for Elderly Persons and Persons with Disabilities 20.513 1.296.141 1,296,141 Job Access: Reverse Commute 20.516 2,117,716 2,117,716 Alternative Analysis 20.522 2,683,690 2,683,690 Highway and Safety Cluster: State and Community Highway Safety 20.600 6.224.618 6.224.618 **Total Highway and Safety Cluster** 6,224,618 Pipeline Safety 20.700 286,393 286,393 University Transportation Centers Program 20.701 82,548 82,548 Interagency Hazardous Materials Public Sector Training and Planning 20.703 171,177 171,177 Development and Promotion of Ports and Intermodal Transportation 20.801 3,033,523 3,033,523 20.RD 868,801 Federal Aviation Administration 868,801 Federal Highway Administration 20.RD 46,666 46,666 Pass-Through National Cooperative Highway Research Program 20.RD 6,157 6,157 Pass-Through University of North Carolina at Chapel 20.RD (7,130)(7,130)National Highway Traffic Safety Administration 20.RD 554,235 554,235 Pass-Through American Occupational Therapy 20.RD 17,734 17,734 Maritime Administration 20.RD 11,654 11,654 Other Research & Development 20.RD 191,649 191,649 Total DOT 785,322,677

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT
AND FINANCIAL

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA Number I	AND DEVELOPMENT	FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY (DOTR)						
Pass-Through MD Volunteer Lawyers Service Inc	21.RD	24,566	-		24,566	
Total DOTR					24,566	
APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COUNCIL (ARC)						
Appalachian Regional Development	23.001	-	-	57,687	57,687	
Appalachian Local Access Roads	23.008	-	~	9,420	9,420	
Appalachian State Research, Technical Assistance	23.011	-	-	191,487	191,487	
Total ARC				_	258,594	
OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT		-	-	-		
Office of Personnel Management	27.RD	78,040	-		78,040	
Total Office of Personnel Management					78,040	
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMITTEE (EEO Employment Discrimination: State and Local Fair Employment Practices Agency Contracts	<u>C)</u> 30.002	-	_	438,498 _	438,498	
Total EEOC				_	438,498	
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION (GSA)-NON CASI	H EXPENDITURE	<u>s</u>				
Business Services (now CFDA 90.401)	39.001	-	-	317,791	317,791	
Donation of Federal Surplus Property Program (Note 3)	39.003	-	•	217,729 _	217,729	
Total GSA					535,520	
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS						
Library of Congress	42.RD	244,148	-	-	244,148	
Total Library of Congress					244,148	.*
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS & SPACE ADMINISTRATION (N	(ASA)					
Contract / Other	43.W30977	-	-	103,050	103,050	
Contract / Other	43.W30951	-	-	36,572	36,572	
Pass-Through New Mexico State University	43.Unknown	-	-	1,874	1,874	
Aerospace Education Services Program	43.001	3,662,420	-	1,136,561	4,798,981	
Pass-Through Infonetic	43.001	-	-	22,596	22,596	
Pass-Through Jet Propulsion Lab	43.001	-	-	95,160	95,160	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL TOTALS NATIONAL AERONAUTICS & SPACE ADMINISTRATION (NASA) (cont.) Pass-Through Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute 43.001 31,524 31,524 Technology Transfer 43.002 2,154,038 2,154,038 NASA 43.RD 59,091,323 59,091,323 Pass-Through AdTech Photonics, Inc. 43.RD 14,097 14,097 Pass-Through Apeak, Inc. 43.RD 11,187 11,187 Pass-Through Ball State University 43.RD (35,493)(35,493)Pass-Through California Institute of Technology 43.RD 7,669 7,669 Pass-Through Carnegie Institution 43.RD 34,871 34,871 Pass-Through Columbia University 43.RD 73.130 73,130 Pass-Through George Mason University 43 RD 55,390 55,390 Pass-Through Georgia Institute of Technology 43.RD 22,522 22,522 Pass-Through Institute for Global Environment and Society 43.RD 82.858 82,858 Pass-Through Jet Propulsion Lab 43.RD 633,226 633,226 Pass-Through Johns Hopkins University 43.RD 10,310 10,310 Pass-Through Johns Hopkins University/Applied Physics Lab 43.RD 199,448 199,448 Pass-Through Lunar and Planetary Institute 43.RD 10,548 10,548 Pass-Through Massachutes Intitute of Technology 43.RD 87,382 87,382 Pass-Through Michigan State University 43.RD 238,238 238,238 Pass-Through Mississippi State University 43.NNSO6AA65E 17,647 17,647 Pass-Through National Institute of Aerospace 43.RD 471,477 471,477 Pass-Through Oregon State University 43.RD 66.077 66.077 Pass-Through Science and Engineering Service Inc 43.RD 154,911 154,911 Pass-Through Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory 43.RD 73,046 73,046 Pass-Through Southwest Research Institute 43.RD 72,389 72,389 Pass-Through Space Telescope Science Institute 43.RD 248,878 248,878 Pass-Through SP Systems, Inc. 43.RD 20,954 20,954 Pass-Through Texas Tech University 43.RD 39,597 39,597 Pass-Through University of Arizona at Tucson 43.RD 34,902 34,902

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL TOTALS NATIONAL AERONAUTICS & SPACE ADMINISTRATION (NASA) (cont.) Pass-Through University of California 43 RD 18,971 18,971 Pass-Through University of California, Los Angeles 43.RD 91,273 91,273 Pass-Through University of California, Santa Barbara 43.RD 9.955 9,955 Pass-Through University Corporation for Atmospheric Research 43.RD 17,091 17,091 Pass-Through University of Delaware 43.RD 4,413 4,413 Pass-Through University of Oregon 43.RD 48,376 48,376 Pass-Through University of Texas-Austin 43.RD 104,744 104,744 Pass-Through University of Wisconsin 43.RD 9,413 9,413 Pass-Through Virginia's Center for Innovative Technology 43.RD 40,603 40,603 Pass-Through Yale University 43.RD 107,002 107,002 **TOTAL NASA** 69,432,220 NATIONAL FOUND ON THE ARTS & HUMANITIES (NFAH) Promotion of the Arts: Grants to Organizations and Individuals 45.024 20,358 20,358 Promotion of the Arts: Partnership Agreements 45.025 704,437 704,437 Pass-Through Arts Midwest 45.025 1,740 1,740 Pass-Through Mid-Atlantic Arts Foundation 45.025 13,900 13,900 Promotion of the Humanities: Division of Preservation and Access 45.149 139,299 139,299 Promotion for the Humanitities - Research 45.161 57,774 57,774 Promotion of the Humanities Public Programs 45.164 168,722 168,722 Promotion of the Humanities Digital Humanities Initiative 45.169 (7,307)(7,307)Conservation Project Support 45.303 66.909 66,909 State Library Program 45.310 3,796,246 3,796,246 Librarians for the 21st Century 45.313 49,321 49,321 Institute of Museum and Library Services 45.RD 4,763 4,763 National Endowment for the Arts 45.RD 46,291 46,291 Pass-Through Maryland Humanities Council 45.RD 3 3 **Total NFAH** 5,062,456 NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (NSF) Contract / Other 47.DMS0552427 163,891 163,891

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL TOTALS NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (NSF) (cont.) Contract / Other 47.IIS0540645 136,859 136,859 **Engineering Grants** 47.041 851,884 851,884 Pass-Through Johns Hopkins University 47.041 54,373 54,373 Pass-Through Alfred P Sloan Foundation 47.041 885 885 Mathematical and Physical Sciences 47 049 143,264 143,264 Pass-Through National Physical Science Consortium 47.049 (4,000)(4,000)Pass-Through Alfred P Sloan Foundation 47.049 (9,506)(9,506)Geosciences 47.050 17.210 17,210 Pass-Through Consortium of Universities for the Advancement of Hydrologic Science 47.050 13,058 13,058 Computer and Information Science and Engineering 47.070 81,238 81,238 Biological Sciences 47.074 364,655 364,655 Pass-Through American Heart Association 47.074 1,070 1,070 Pass-Through Ecological Society of America 47.074 19,004 19,004 Pass-Through George Washington University 47.074 102,594 102,594 Pass-Through Institute of Ecosystem Studies 47 074 107,792 107,792 Pass-Through Leakey Foundation 47.074 599 599 Pass-Through Southeastern Universities Research Association/Jefferson Lab 47.074 1.229 1.229 Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences 47.075 985,614 985,614 Pass-Through American Psychological Association 47.075 17,135 17,135 Pass-Through Florida Education Fund 47.075 22,500 22,500 Pass-Through The William & Flora Hewlett Foundation 47.075 40 40 Pass-Through Gilder Lehrman Institute 47.075 10,783 10,783 Pass-Through Alfred P Sloan Foundation 47.075 7,635 7,635 Education and Human Resources 15,423,661 47.076 15,423,661 Pass-Through Howard University 47 076 155,819 155,819 Pass-Through Baltimore County Public Schools 47.076 (197,589)(197,589)Pass-Through Prince George's Community College 47.076 24,103 24.103 Pass-Through University of Missouri-St. Louis 47,076 1,227 1,227 National Science Foundation (NSF) 47.RD 50,683,273 50,683,273

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER AND FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL TOTALS NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (NSF), cont. Pass-Through Association for Institutional Research 47.RD 25,739 25,739 Pass-Through Auburn University 47.RD 4,386 4,386 Pass-Through Boston College 47.RD 3,945 3,945 Pass-Through Boston University 47.RD 76,682 76,682 Pass-Through California Institute of Technology 47.RD 20,826 20,826 Pass-Through Carnegie Institution of Washington 47.RD 80,488 80,488 Pass-Through Clark University 47.RD 15,019 15,019 Pass-Through Colorado School of Mines 47.RD 1.314 1,314 Pass-Through Columbia University 47.RD 9,208 9,208 Pass-Through Dartmouth College 47.RD 57,208 57,208 Pass-Through Drexel University 47.RD 58,481 58,481 Pass-Through Duke University 47.RD 125,863 125,863 Pass-Through Florida State University 47.RD 8,867 8,867 Pass-Through George Mason University 47.RD 739 739 Pass-Through Harvard University 47.RD 47,963 47,963 Pass-Through Institute for Systems Biology 47.RD 21.715 21,715 Pass-Through Iowa State University 47.RD 298,570 298,570 Pass-Through National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc. 47.RD 17,039 17,039 Pass-Through National Research Council 47.RD Pass-Through New Jersey Institute of Technology 47.RD 7.919 7,919 Pass-Through New Mexico State University 47.RD 25.524 25,524 Pass-Through North Carolina A&T State University 47.RD Pass-Through Pennsylvania State University 47.RD 1,302 1.302 Pass-Through Purdue University 47.RD 154,743 154,743 Pass-Through RioRey, Inc. 47.RD 32,998 32,998 Pass-Through Siena College 47.RD 54,140 54,140 Pass-Through SPIE 47.RD 11,313 11,313 Pass-Through University of California, Irvine 47.RD 17,407 17,407 Pass-Through University of California, Los Angeles 210,559 47.RD 210,559

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND FINANCIAL. CLUSTER CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE OTHER TOTAL TOTALS NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (NSF), cont. Pass-Through University of California, San Diego 47.RD 66,347 66,347 Pass-Through University of Colorado 47.RD 51,404 51,404 Pass-Through University of Delaware 47.RD 68,350 68,350 Pass-Through University of Miami 47.RD 36,471 36,471 Pass-Through University of Michigan 47.RD 211,208 211,208 Pass-Through Univesity of New Hampshire 47.RD 81,363 81,363 Pass-Through University of Southern California 47 RD 159,480 159,480 Pass-Through University of Virginia 47.RD (5,891)(5,891)Pass-Through Univesity of Washington 47,RD 135,498 135,498 Pass-Through University of Western Michigan 47.RD 59,694 59,694 Pass-Through University of Wisconsin 47.RD 1,401,327 1,401,327 Pass-Through Venture Group 47.RD (92)(92)Pass-Through Virginia Commonwealth University 47.RD 99,622 99,622 Pass-Through Virginia Institute of Marine Science 47.RD 20,954 20,954 **Total National Science Foundation** 72,955,992 SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION (SBA) Contract/Other 59 Unknown 365,999 365,999 7(i) Technical Assistance 59,007 212,697 212,697 Small Business Development Center 59.037 1,118,232 1,118,232 **Total Small Business Administration** 1,696,928 **VETERANS ADMINISTRATION (VA)** 64.IPA-Contract/Other **AGREEMENT** 144,883 144,883 64 IPA-Contract/Other AGREEMENT 52,587 52,587 Veterans Health Administration - Research and 64.RD Development 4,401,684 4,401,684 Veterans State Domiciliary Care 64.014 7,880,132 7,880,132 64.101 681,179 681,179 **Burial Expenses Allowance** Vocational and Educational Counseling for Service Members and Veterans 64.125 322,752 322,752 Total VA 13,483,217

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT

ERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA Number	AND DEVELOPMENT	FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
RONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA)						
Pass-Through RTI International Research Triangle Institute	36.GS-10F-0283F	4,546	-	ü	4,546	
Spec. Purpose Activities	66.034	-	-	20,067	20,067	
MD Transit Bus Retofit Program	66.034	÷	-	618,695	618,695	
Congressionally Mandated Projects	66.202	-	•	251,015	251,015	
Water Pollution Control: State and Interstate Program Support	66,419	-	-	40,864	40,864	
Surveys, Studies, Investigations, Demonstrations	66.436	-	-	163,101	163,101	
Construction Management Assistance	66.438		•	173,970	173,970	
Nater Quality Management Planning	66,454	-	-	179,370	179,370	
Nonpoint Source Implementation Grants	66.460	-	-	2,384,668	2,384,668	
Wetlands Protection: Development Grants	66.461	-	-	151,353	151,353	
Nater Quality Cooperative Agreements	66.463	-	-	121,268	121,268	
Chesapeake Bay Program	66.466	÷	-	3,968,618	3,968,618	
Operator Certification Expense Reimbursement	66.471	-	-	22,905	22,905	
Beach Monitoring and Notification Program mplementation Grants	66.472	-	-	420,128	420,128	
Vater Protection Grants to the States	66.474	-	-	93,763	93,763	
ID Regulatory Wetland Program Enhancement	66.479	-	-	151,290	151,290	
ssessment & Watershed Prot	66.480	-	-	142,368	142,368	
Environmental Protection Consolidated Research	66.500			13,970	13,970	
Off. of Res. and Dev. Cons Res.	66.511		-	52,887	52,887	
Science to Achieve Results Fellowship Program	66.514	-	-	9,129	9,129	
Performance Partnership Grants (PPGs	66.605		-	10,399,551	10,399,551	
Surveys, Studies, Investigations and Special Purpose Grants	66.606	-	-	286,459	286,459	
Environmental Information Exchange Network Grant Program	66.608	•	-	189,738	189,738	
Consolidated Pesticide Enforcement Cooperative Agreements	66.700	-	-	301,492	301,492	
Pollution Prevention Grants Program	66.708	-	-	96,640	96,640	
Superfund State Site: Specific Cooperative Agreements	66.802	-		701,207	701,207	
Solid Waste Management Assistance	66.808	-	-	1,308,882	1,308,882	
Superfund State and Indian Tribe Core Program: Cooperative Agreements	66.809	-	-	416,295	416,295	
Cooperative Agreements	66.809	-	-	416,295	416,295	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

STUDENT RESEARCH FINANCIAL CLUSTER AND FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL TOTALS **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA) (cont.)** State and Tribal Response Program Grants 66.817 623,866 623,866 Brownfield's Assessment and Cleanup Cooperative Agreements 66.818 62,145 62,145 Environmental Protection Agency 66.RD 450,119 450,119 Pass-Through Chesapeake Research Consortium, inc 66.RD 39,918 39,918 Office of Research and Development 66.RD 1,018,236 1,018,236 Pass-Through Center for Watershed Protection 66.RD 40.037 40,037 Pass-Through Johns Hopkins University 66.RD 67,033 67,033 Pass-Through Institute of Ecosystem Studies 66.RD 3,557 3,557 Pass-Through Menzie Cura and Associates 66.RD 16,629 16,629 Pass-Through Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments 66.RD 34,509 34,509 Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances 66.RD 11,122 11,122 Office of Water 66.RD 83,941 83,941 **Total Environment Protection Agency** 25,135,351 NATIONAL REGULATORY COMMISSION (NRC) Other National Regulatory Commission - Research and Development 77.RD 231,391 231,391 **Total National Regulatory Commission** 231,391 DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE) Contract / Other 81.050913825 153,354 153,354 81.DE-FG02-Contract / Other 05ER64082 150,137 150,137 81.DE-FG02-Contract / Other 01ER63230 12,515 12,515 81.DE-FG02-Contract / Other 99ER62859 60,648 60,648 81.DE-FG02-Contract / Other 07ER64399 19,888 19,888 State Energy Program 81.041 597,372 597,372 Weatherization Assistance for Low-Income Persons 81.042 2,456,517 2,456,517 Institutional Conversation Program 81.052 29,968 29,968 University Coal Research 81.057 1,011,222 1,011,222 State Heating Oil and Propane Programs 81.090 8,301 8,301 Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy 81,117 141,082 141,082 State Energy Program Special Projects 81,119 461,623 461.623

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA Number	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE) (cont.)						
Office of Fossil Energy	81.RD	41,181	-	₩ .	41,181	
Office of Nuclear Energy	81.RD	52,441	-	-	52,441	
Pass-Through North Carolina State University	81.RD	288,410	-	-	288,410	
Office of Science	81.RD	6,099,308	-		6,099,308	
Pass-Through HyperV Technologies, Inc	81.RD	17,970	· . <u>-</u>	-	17,970	
Pass-Through Northern Arizona University	81.RD	147,076	-	-	147,076	
Pass-Through Pennsylvania State University	81.RD	84,738	-		84,738	
Pass-Through University of Massachusetts	81.RD	40,935	-	-	40,935	
Other Department of Energy – Research and Development	81.RD	2,858,221	-	-	2,858,221	
Pass-Through Battelle Corporation	81.DE-AC05- 76RL01830	5,819	-	-	5,819	
Pass-Through Battelle Energy Alliance	81.RD	58,201	-	-	58,201	
Pass-Through Harvard University	81.RD	14,935	~		14,935	
Pass-Through Sandia National Labs	81.RD	11,024	-	-	11,024	
Pass-Through University of California	81.RD	63,741	-		63,741	
Pass-Through University of Wisconsin-Madison	81.RD	17,143	-		17,143	
Total DOE					14,903,770	
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA)					4
Contract / Other	83.Unknown	-	-	13,420	13,420	
National Fire Academy Educational Program	83.010		-	5,500	5,500	
Crisis, Counseling (Hurricane Isabel)	83.105	-	-	432,500	432,500	
FY'03 State Dam Safety Program	83.550	-	-	32,512	32,512	
Total Federal Emergency Mgmt. Agency				_	483,932	
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (DED)						
Contract/Other	4.ED-04-CO-013	-	-	2,890	2,890	
Adult Education: State Grant Program	84.002	-	v -	10,480,886	10,480,886	
Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	-	-	164,789,196	164,789,196	
Pass -Through Baltimore City Public Schools	84.010	-	-	121,240	121,240	
Migrant Education: State Grant Program	84.011	-	-	190,198	190,198	
Title Program for Neglected and Delinquent Children	84.013	-	-	2,047,831	2,047,831	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

ERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA Number	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
ARTMENT OF EDUCATION (DED), cont.						
International Research & Studies	84.017	-	_	75,086	75,086	
International: Overseas: Group Projects Abroad	84.021	-	-	172,825	172,825	
Idea - Part E Innovation & Development	84.023	-	-	2,651,016	2,651,016	
Idea-Part C Services for Children with Deaf-Blindness	84.025	-	"	93,411	93,411	
Special Education Cluster:						
Special Education-Grants to States (IDEA Part B)	84.027	-	-	207,181,905	207,181,905	
Pass-Through Government of the District of Columbia	84.027	-		93,368	93,368	
Pass-Through North Carolina State Board of Education	84.027	-	-	25,447	25,447	
Special Education -Preschool Grts. (IDEA Preschool)	84.173		-	7,572,659	7,572,659	
Total Special Ed Cluster						214,873,379
DED Student Financial Aid Cluster:						
Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants	84.007	-	7,380,923		7,380,923	
Federal Family Educational Loans	84.032	w	284,322,315	у.	284,322,315	
Federal Work-Study Program	84.033		7,368,133	-	7,368,133	
Federal Perkins Loan Program: Federal Capital Contributions	84.038	-	76,177,342	••	76,177,342	
Federal Pell Grant Program	84.063	~	67,621,386		67,621,386	
Federal Direct Student Loans	84.268	*	199,413,612	*	199,413,612	
Academic Competitiveness Grants	84.375	_	763,331	<u></u>	763,331	
National Science and Mathematics Access to Retain Talent (Smart) Grants	84.376		587,208	-	587,208	
Total DED SFA Cluster	04.070		001,200	~~	001,200	643,634,250
Loan Cancellations	84.037		-	499,761	499,761	
TRIO Cluster:						
TRIO: Student Support Services	84,042	-	-	1,513,560	1,513,560	
TRIO: Talent Search	84.044	M*		761,014	761,014	
TRIO: Upward Bound	84.047		-	4,685,681	4,685,681	
TRIO: Educational Opportunity Centers	84.066			264,304	264,304	
TRIO: McNair Post Baccalaureate Achievement	84.217	-	-	724,412 _	724,412	
Total Trio Cluster				_		7,948,971
Higher Education: Institutional Aid	84.031	_	_	9,704,738	9,704,738	.,

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE TOTAL TOTALS OTHER DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (DED), cont. Vocational Education: Basic Grants to States 84.048 18,141,844 18,141,844 Consumer and Homemaking Education 84.049 224 224 Leveraging educational assistance Partnership 84.069 548,739 548,739 Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education 84,116 402,206 402,206 Pass-Through Baylor University 84.116 (188)(188)Minority Science and Engineering Improvement 84.120 261,828 261,828 Rehabilitation Services: Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States 84 126 43,218,571 43,218,571 Rehabilitation Long-Term Training 84,129 733,640 733.640 National Institute of Disability and Rehabilitation 30,698 30,698 Research 84.133 Federal, State, and Local Partnership for Educational Improvement 84.151 (16,780)(16,780)Business and International Education Projects 84.153 50,426 50,426 Pass-Through Galludette University 84.160 118 118 Rehabilitation Services: Client Assistance Program 243,222 243,222 84,161 Eisenhower Mathematics and Science Education: State Grants 84.164 (38, 335)(38, 335)Independent Living: State Grants 180,197 180,197 84.169 Rehabilitation Service: Independent Living Services for 541,975 Older Blind Individuals 541,975 84.177 Special Education: Grants for Infants and Families with Disabilities 84.181 7.817.269 7.817.269 Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities 126,116 National Programs 84.184 126.116 Robert C. Byrd Honors Scholarships 634.107 634.107 84.185 Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities: State 5,131,884 5,131,884 84,186 Supported Employment Services for Individuals with Severe Handicaps 84.187 329,956 329,956 Bilingual Education: Professional Development 84.195 289,549 289,549 Education of Homeless Children & Youth 84.196 707,087 707,087 Graduate Assistance in Areas of National Need 84.200 1,278,034 1,278,034 Javits G/T 84.206 166,809 166,809 Even Start: State Educational Agencies 84.213 2,743,984 2,743,984 Fund for the Improvement of Education 84.215 533,507 533,507 Pass-Through Baltimore County Public Schools 112,399 84,215 112,399

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT **FINANCIAL** AND CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE TOTAL OTHER TOTALS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (DED), cont. Pass-Through Wicomico County Board of Education 84.215 145,262 145,262 Centers for International Business Education 84.220 139,586 139,586 Assistive Technology 84.224 518,560 518,560 Rehabilitation Services Demonstration and Training 84.235 687,365 687,365 Tech--Prep Education 84.243 1,462,938 1,462,938 Rehabilitation Training: Continuing Education 84.264 20,698 20,698 Rehabilitation Training: State Vocational Rehabilitation Unit In-Service Training 84.265 184,417 184,417 Pass-Through United Negro College Fund 84.269 78,211 78,211 Goals 2000: State and Local Education Systemic Improvement Grants 84.276 (31,563)(31,563)Eisenhower Professional Development State Grants 84.281 (18,834)(18,834)The Charter School Program 84.282 4,748,256 4,748,256 Ready-to-Teach Grant Program 84.286 342,953 342,953 Twenty-First Century Community Learning Centers 84.287 9,665,176 9.665,176 Innovative Education Program Strategies 84.298 2,236,610 2.236.610 Even Start Statewide Family Literacy 84.314 (84,608)(84,608)Technology Literacy Challenge Fund Grants 84.318 5,045,689 5,045,689 Special Education: State Program Improvement Grants for Children with Disabilities 84.323 1,090,105 1,090,105 Special Education: Research and Innovation to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities 84.324 41,252 41,252 Special Education: Personnel Preparation to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities 84.325 2,528,779 2.528,779 Special Education: Technical Assistance and Dissemination to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities 84.326 766.834 766.834 Advanced Placement Incentive Program 84.330 1,312,051 1,312,051 Grants to States for incarcerated Youth Offenders 84.331 85,379 85.379 Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration 84.332 1,303,961 1,303,961 Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness for Undergraduate Programs 84.334 3,615,616 3.615.616 Pass-Through Allegany County Board of Education 84.334 (3,421)(3,421)

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL **TOTALS** DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (DED), cont. Child Care Means Parents in School 84,335 28,070 28,070 Teacher Quality Enhancement Grants 84.336 2,492,339 2,492,339 Pass-Through Baltimore County Public Schools 84.336 29,756 29,756 Reading Excellence Program 84.338 (14,412)(14,412)Class Size Reduction 84.340 (11,841)(11,841)Preparing Tomorrow's Teachers to Use Technology 84.342 296,494 296,494 Underground Railroad Educational and Cultural 84.345 90,790 90,790 Occupation and Employment Information State Grants 84.346 179,890 179,890 Transition to Teaching 84.350 372,647 372,647 School Renovation 84.352 (18,878)(18,878)Reading First State Grants 84.357 10,822,718 10,822,718 English Language Acquisition Grants 84.365 7,283,022 7,283,022 Mathematics and Science Partnerships 84.366 1.683,437 1.683.437 Improving Teacher Quality State Grants 84.367 41,896,601 41,896,601 Pass-Through State of Delaware 84.367 230,077 230,077 Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities 84.369 5,049,786 5,049,786 Statewide Longitudinal Data System 84.372 478,852 478,852 Pass-Through University of California 84.928 155,546 155,546 Temp Emergency Aid - Impact Aid Katrina 84.938 1,871,805 1,871,805 2004 Unsolicited Grants 84.955 622 563 622,563 Pass-Through Anne Arundel County Public Schools 84.RD 99,026 99,026 Pass-Through Baltimore City Public Schools 84.RD 91,111 91,111 Institute of Education Sciences 84.RD 2,359,349 2,359,349 Pass-Through George Mason University 84.RD 31,568 31,568 Postsecondary Education 84.RD 2,531,068 2,531,068 Special Education and Rehabilitative Services 84.RD 269.362 269,362 Pass-Through Georgia Tech Research Corp 84.RD 33,462 33,462 Pass-Through University of California, San Francisco 84.RD 33,090 33,090 **Total Department of Education** 1,256,323,334

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT

		RESEARCH AND	STUDENT FINANCIAL			CLUSTER
FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA Number D			OTHER	TOTAL	TOTALS
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION						
Smithsonian Institution Fellowship Program	85.601	-	-	6,858 _	6,858	
Total Smithsonian Institution					6,858	
NATIONAL ARCHIVES & RECORDS ADMINISTRATION						
National Historical Publications & Records Grants	89.003	_	•	54,777	54,777	
National Archives and Records Administration – Research and Development	89.RD	187,732	-		187,732	
Total National Archives and Records Administration					242,509	
ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION						
Help America Vote Act Election Assistance Commission - Research &	90.401			. 361,250	361,250	
Development	90.RD	34,196	•	TV Advisors	34,196	
Total Election Assistance Commission					395,446	
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES (HHS)						
Contract / Other	93.Unknown	-	-	1,591,962	1,591,962	
Contract / Other	93.263MK608863	-	-	29,988	29,988	
Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund	93.003	-		171,928	171,928	
COOP agreement to Improve	93.004	155,697	-	-	155,697	
Minority Health State Partnership	93.006	-	· •	118,363	118,363	
Demonstration Proj For Med Reserve Corporation	93.008	-	-	43,022	43,022	
Programs for Prevention of Elder Abuse	93.041	-	-	110,507	110,507	
Long term care ombudsman services for older indiv.	93.042	-	-	322,343	322,343	
Special Programs for the Aging: Title III, Part F: Disease Prevention and Health Promotion Services	93.043	-	-	356,094	356,094	
Aging Cluster: Special Programs for the Aging: Title III, Part B: Grant:	S				-	
for Supportive Services and senior Centers Special Programs for the Aging: Title III, Part C:	93.044			6,564,743	6,564,743	
Nutrition Services	93.045			8,805,429	8,805,429	
Nutrition Services Incentive Program	93.053			929,772 _	929,772	
Total Aging Cluster				•		16,299,944
Special Programs for the Aging: Title IV: Training, Research and Discretionary Projects & Programs	93.048	-	-	218,141	218,141	
Alzheimer's Disease Demonstration Grants to States	93.051	~	-	289,899	289,899	
Nation Family Caregiver Support Program	93.052	-	-	2,437,025	2,437,025	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER AND FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL **TOTALS** DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES (HHS) (cont.) Healthy Marriage Promotion and Responsible Fatherhor 93.086 30,725 30,725 Food and Drug Administration: Research 93.103 228,848 228,848 Pass-Through American Psychological Association 93.106 (57)(57)Maternal and Child Health Federal Consolidated Programs 93,110 275,334 275,334 Maternal and Child Health Federal Consolidated Programs 93,110 28.847 28,847 Biological Response to Environmental Health Hazards 93.113 449,044 449,044 Project Grants and Cooperative agreements for Tuberculosis Control Programs 93.116 1,301,756 1,301,756 Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Activity 93.118 239,892 239,892 Emergency Medical Services for Children 93.127 78,797 78,797 Primary Care Services: Resource Coordination and Development: Primary Care Offices 93.130 234,625 234,625 Centers for Research and Demonstration for Health Promotion and Disease Prevention 93.135 (57)(57)Injury Prevention and Control Research and State and Community Based Programs 93.136 1,255,262 1,255,262 Community Program to Improve Minority Hith 93.137 154,331 154,331 Project for assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) 93.150 1,008,997 1,008,997 Coordinated HIV Services and Access to Research for Children, Youth, Women and Families 93,153 1,221,674 1,221,674 Grants for State Loan Repayments 93.165 127.338 127,338 Research related to Deafness and Communication Disorders 93.173 274,396 274,396 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES (HHS) (cont.) Nursing Workforce Diversity 93.178 140,587 140,587 National Research Services Awards 93.186 179,696 179,696 Allied Health Special Projects 93.191 15,079 15,079 Quentin N. Burdick Programs for Rural Interdisciplinary Training 93.192 3,991 3,991 Pass-Through Western Maryland Area Health Education Center 93.192 2.284 2,284 Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention and Surveillance of blood Lead Levels in Children 93.197 1,014,628 1,014,628 Family Planning: Services 93.217 4,362,110 4.362,110 Research on Healthcare Costs, Quality and Outcomes 93.226 1,504,510 1,504,510 Traumatic Brain Injury State Demonstration Grant Program (TBI) 93.234 83.333 83,333 Abstinence Education 93.235 644,831 644,831 Mental Health Research Grants 93.242 155,022 155,022

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL TOTALS **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES (HHS) (cont.)** Pass-Through Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory 93.242 25,016 25,016 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services 93.243 2,367,183 2,367,183 Suicide/Trama Subgrant 93.243 2,523 2,523 Advanced Education Nursing Grant Program 93.247 315.875 315,875 Public Health Training Centers Grant Program 93.249 (1,550)(1,550)Universal Newborn Hearing Screening 93.251 136,066 136,066 Rural Access to Emergency Devices Grant 93.259 31,137 31,137 Immunization Grants 93.268 5,336,634 5,336,634 Drug Abuse Research Program 93.279 203,919 158,837 362,756 Mental Health National Research Service Awards for Research Training 93.282 505,086 505,086 Center for Disease Control and Prevention: Investigations and Technical Assistance 93.283 14,973 28,283,394 28,298,367 Pass-Through DHMH CDC 93.283 80,920 80,920 HHS Student Financial Aid Cluster: Health Professions Student Loans, Including Primary Care Loans/Loans for Disadvantaged Students 93.342 11.925.005 11.925.005 Nursing Student Loans 93.364 2,567,290 2.567.290 Scholarships for Health Prof. Students from Disadvantaged Backgrounds 93.925 355,629 355,629 **Total HHS SFA Cluster** 14,847,924 Small Rural Hospital Improvement Grants 93.301 26,802 26,802 General Clinical Research Centers 93.333 33,832 33,832 Nurse Education Practice and Retention Grants 93.359 63,807 63,807 Advanced Education Nursing Traineeships 93.358 18,902 18,902 Minority Biomedical Research Support 93.375 190,251 190,251 Research Infrastructure 93.389 1,262,847 1,484,845 221,998 Cancer Biology Research 93.396 345,245 345,245 Food Safety and Security Monitoring Project 93.448 194,909 194,909 Promoting Safe and Stable Families 93.556 3,552,811 3,552,811 Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) 93.558 206,234,101 206,234,101 Child Support Enforcement (CSE) 93.563 74.208.139 74,208,139 Child Support Enforcement Research 93.564 134,387 134,387

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT

ERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE	CFDA Number	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL.	CLUSTER TOTALS
ARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES (HHS) (c	cont.)					
Refugee and Entrant Assistance: State Administered Programs	93.566	-		5,098,741	5,098,741	
Low-Income Home Energy Assistance (LIHEAP)	93.568	-	-	48,791,644	48,791,644	
Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)	93.569	_	ŭ	6,649,133	6,649,133	
Community Services Block Grant Discretionary Awards	93.570	124,443			124,443	
Pass-Through NYSP	93.570	-	-	54,977	54,977	
CCDF Cluster:						
Child Care and Development Block Grant	93.575			17,427,691	17,427,691	
Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the Child Care and Development Fund	93.596			56,837,681	56,837,681	
Total CCDF Cluster						74,265,
Refugee and Entrant Assistance: Discretionary Grants	93.576	-		558,516	558,516	
Targeted Assist	93.584	-		428,057	428,057	
State Court Improvement Program	93.586	-	-	196,735	196,735	
Pass-through R&D Kennedy Institute	93.591	84,041	-	_	84,041	
Family Violence Prevention and Services	93.592	-	-	64,196	64,196	
Grants to States for Access and Visitation Programs	93.597	•	-	195,297	195,297	
Education and Training Vouchers	93.599	-	-	1,172,849	1,172,849	
Head Start Program	93.600	1,497,038	-	508,518	2,005,556	
Basic Center Grant for Runaway and Homeless Youth	93.623	-	-	153,258	153,258	
Development Disabilities Basic Support and Advocacy Grants	93.630	-	-	1,047,353	1,047,353	
Development Disabilities Projects of National Significan	93.631	-		97,440	97,440	
Children's Justice Grants to States	93.643	-	-	309,567	309,567	
Child Welfare Services: State Grants	93.645	-	~	4,429,433	4,429,433	
Social Services Research and Demonstration	93.647	-	-	92,379	92,379	
Foster Care: Title IV-E	93.658		-	151,115,882	151,115,882	
Adoption Assistance	93.659	-	-	20,915,592	20,915,592	
Social Services Block Grant (SSBG)	93.667	-	-	55,222,339	55,222,339	
Child Abuse and Neglect State Grants	93.669	-	-	614,205	614,205	
Family Violence Prevention and Services/Grants for Battered Women's Shelters: States & Indian Tribes	93.671	-	-	1,460,987	1,460,987	
Chafee Foster Care Independent Living	93.674	-	-	3,610,191	3,610,191	

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT FINANCIAL CLUSTER AND FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL **TOTALS DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES (HHS) (cont.)** State Children's Insurance Program (CHIP) 93.767 167,615,779 167.615.779 Medicaid Infrastructure Grants To Support the Competitive Employment of People with disabilities 93.768 433,502 433,502 Hurricane Katrina Relief Program 93.776 395,007 395,007 Medicald Cluster: State Survey and Certification of Health Care Providers and Suppliers 93.777 5,191,406 5,191,406 Medical Assistance Program (Medicaid) 93.778 2,784,440,732 2,784,440,732 State Medicaid Fraud Control Units 93.775 1,591,677 1,591,677 **Total Medicaid Cluster** 2,791,223,815 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Research, Demonstrations and Evaluations 520,773 93.779 520,773 Seed Grants to States for Qualified High-Risk Pools 93.781 2,997,813 2,997,813 State Pharmaceutical Assistance Program 93.786 1,744,174 1.744.174 Reimbursement of State Costs for Provisions of Part D Drugs 93.794 1,762,155 1,762,155 Health Careers Opportunity Program: Pass-Western Maryland Area Health Education Program 93.822 225,655 225,655 Pass-Through Western Maryland Area Health Education Center 93.822 8,900 8,900 Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders 93.853 78,624 78,624 Minority Biomedical Research 93.859 (131,789)(131,789)Biomedical Research and Research Training 93.859 566,571 645,899 1,212,470 Pass-Through American Psychological Association 93.859 3,676 3,676 Center for Research for Mothers and Children 93.865 98,839 98,839 Child Health & Human Development 34,245 93.865 34,245 Aging Research 93.866 254,939 254.939 Medical Library Assistance 93.879 21,002 21.002 Minority Access to Research Careers 93.880 413,022 413,022 National Bioterrorism Hospital Preparedness 93.889 7,667,837 7,667,837 Rural Health Outreach - Rural Network Development Program 93.912 123,906 123,906 Grants to States for Operation of Offices of Rural Health 93.913 129,984 129,984 HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants 93.914 243,084 243,084 HIV Care Formula Grants 93.917 7,208,283 7,208,283 HIV Prevention Activities: Health Department Based 41,306,236 93.940 41,306,236

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE **CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE** TOTAL OTHER TOTALS DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES (HHS) (cont.) HIV Demonstration, Research, Public and Professional Education 93.941 120,009 120,009 Epidemiologic Research Studies of Acquired AIDS 93.943 138,132 138,132 HIV/AIDS Surveillance 93.944 2,498,292 2,498,292 Pregnancy Risk Assessment 93.946 103,425 103,425 Improving EMS/Trauma Care in Rural Areas 93.952 4,751 4,751 Block Grants for Community Mental Health svcs 93.958 7,184,418 7,184,418 Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse 93.959 30,751,868 30,751,868 Preventive Health Services: Sexually Transmitted Diseases Control Grants 93.977 1,504,955 1,504,955 Disaster Assistance 93 982 82.831 82.831 Control Programs & Evaluation of Surveillance 93.988 Systems 312,067 312,067 Preventive Health & Health Services Block Grant 93.991 1,758,093 1.758.093 Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the 93.994 12,779,248 12,779,248 Agency for Health Care Policy and Research 93.RD 674,325 674,325 Center for Disease Control 93.RD 28,359,866 28,359,866 Pass-Through Johns Hopkins University 93.RD 5,627 5,627 Pass-Through University of North Texas 93.RD 7,172 7,172 Food and Drug Administration 93.RD 1,217,669 1,217,669 Health Resources and Services Administration 93.RD 3,328,846 3,328,846 National Institutes of Health 93.RD 215,210,958 215,210,958 Pass-Through American Registry of Pathology 93.RD 36,463 36,463 Pass-Through Boston University 93.RD 287,007 287,007 Pass- Through Calibrant Biosystems, Inc. 93.RD 110,069 110,069 Pass-Through Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh 93.RD 3,282 3,282 Pass-Through Childrens Research Institute 93.RD 24,070 24,070 Pass-Through Columbia University 93.RD 68,370 68,370 Pass-Through Cornell University 93.RD 171,807 171,807 Pass-Through Daedalus 93.RD 46,644 46,644 Pass-Through Fidelity 93.RD (1,770)(1,770)

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT AND FINANCIAL CLUSTER CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE **TOTALS** DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES (HHS), cont. Pass-Through Georgetown University Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center 93.RD 98,141 98,141 Pass-Through Hartford Hospital 93.RD 29,467 29,467 Pass-Through Imperial College School of Medicine 93 RD 104,999 104,999 Pass-Through Indiana University 144,223 93.RD 144,223 Pass-Through Institute for Genomic Research 93.RD 163,640 163,640 Pass-Through Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory 93.RD 188,506 188,506 Pass-Through Johns Hopkins University 93.RD 820,887 820,887 Pass-Through Kennedy Kreiger Institute 93.RD 253,451 253,451 Pass-Through New York University 93.RD 405,907 405,907 Pass-Through Oregon Health Sciences 93.RD 17,862 17,862 Pass-Through Pennsylvania State University 93.RD 16,282 16,282 Pass-Through Profectus, Inc. 93.RD 24,064 24,064 Pass-Through RAND Corp 93.RD 5.398 5,398 Pass-Through Seahorse Bioscience, Inc. 93.RD 13,720 13,720 Pass-Through University of Alabama at Birmingham 93.RD 192,159 192,159 Pass-Through University of Chicago 93.RD 48,803 48,803 Pass-Through University of Cincinnati 93.RD 135,082 135,082 Pass-Through University of Colorado 93.RD 34,341 34,341 Pass-Through University of Massachusetts 93.RD 230,980 230,980 Pass-Through University of Mississippi 93.RD 13,677 13,677 Pass-Through University of Pennsylvania 93.RD 33,016 33,016 Pass-Through University of Pittsburg 93.RD 166,015 166,015 Pass-Through University of Rhode Island 93.RD (15,726)(15,726)Pass-Through University of Texas 93.RD 125,386 125,386 Pass-Through University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center 93.RD 49,600 49,600 Pass-Through University of Toronto 93.RD 312,897 312,897 Pass-Through Virginia Commonwealth University 93.RD 159,659 159,659 Administration for Children and Families 93.RD 1,333,048 1,333,048

14,902

14,902

93.RD

Pass-Through University of Chicago

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT **FINANCIAL** CLUSTER AND FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL TOTALS DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES (HHS), cont. Pass Through Admin. For Children and Families 93.RD 46,206 46,206 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services 93.RD 124,201 124,201 Pass-Through Delmarva Foundation for Medical Care 93 RD 6 747 6,747 Office of Population Affairs 93.RD 310,410 310,410 Office of the Secretary 93.RD 148,027 148,027 Pass-Through Morehouse University 93.RD 97,950 97,950 Other Research and Development: Pass-Through UC Davis M.I.N.D. Institute 93.RD 32,792 32,792 Total Dept. of Health and Human Services 4,092,982,031 **COMMISSION ON NATIONAL & COMMUNITY SERVICE (CNCS)** State Commissions 94.003 388,021 388,021 Learn and Serve America: School and Community Based Programs 94.004 291,242 291,242 AmeriCorps 94.006 (66,727)3,468,319 3,401,592 Planning and Program Development Grants 94.007 162,782 162,782 Training and Technical Assistance 94.009 70,398 70,398 Foster Grandparent/Senior Companion Cluster: Foster Grandparents 94.011 336,725 336,725 Total Foster Grandparent/Senior Companion Cluster 336 725 Volunteers in Service to America (VISTA) 94.013 29,010 29,010 Corporation for National and Community Service 94.RD 1,047,174 1,047,174 Total Comm. on National & Com. Services 5,726,944 SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION (SSA) Unallocated Federal Funds 96.Unknown 146,164 146,164 Disability Insurance Cluster: Social Security: Disability Insurance 26,805,528 96.001 26,805,528 Supplemental Security Income (SSI) 96,006 1,796,334 1,796,334 **Total Disability Insurance Cluster** 28,601,862 Social Security Benefits Planning, Assistance, and Outreach Program (SSA Benefits Planning, Assistance, and Outreach Program) 96.008 361,452 361,452 Developmental Disabilities Project 96.631 93,382 93,382 **Total Social Security Administration** 29,202,860

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

RESEARCH STUDENT CLUSTER AND **FINANCIAL** TOTAL **TOTALS** FEDERAL DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM TITLE CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE OTHER **DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY** Department of Homeland Security 97.RD 6.783,244 6,783,244 Pass-Through DC Office of the Deputy Mayor for Public Safety and Justice 97.RD 1.000.000 1,000,000 Homeland Security Cluster: State Domestic Preparedness Equipment Support 97.004 Program Homeland Security Grant Program 97.067 15,105,120 15,105,120 **Total Homeland Security Cluster** 15,105,120 Pilot Demonstration or Earmarked Projects 97.001 100,000 100,000 9,906,748 Urban Areas Security Initiative 97.008 9,906,748 Boating Safety Financial Assistance 97.012 3,109,453 3,109,453 Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) Competitive Grants. 97.017 12,855 12,855 43,590 97.018 43.590 National Fire Academy Training Assistance Community Assistance Program State Support 90,706 Services Element (CAP-SSSE) 97.023 90,706 Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA2005) 97.026 79,813 79,813 Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) 97.029 36,780 36,780 97.036 11,778,358 11,778,358 Public Assistance Grants 97.039 374,577 374,577 Hazard Mitigation Grant (HMGP) Chemical Stockpile Emergency Preparedness Program 97.040 (5,618)(5.618)12,859 12,859 National Dam Safety Program 97.041 3,771,202 **Emergency Management Performance Grants** 97.042 3,771,202 State Fire Training Systems Grant 97.043 28,000 28,000 97.045 727.548 Emergency Management - Cooperating Technical Partn 727,548 97.053 266,113 266,113 Citizen Corps Port Security Grant Program for Critical National Seapor 97.056 95,658 95,658 Map Modernization Mgmt. Support Program (MMMS) 97.070 192,797 192,797 Metropolitan Medical Response Program 97.071 227,592 227,592 K-9 Grant 97.072 778,132 778,132 Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program 97.074 5,855,363 5,855,363 97.075 1,602,868 1,602,868 Homeland Security - MDOT Grant 97.078 Buffer Zone Protection Program 1,555,971 1,555,971 **Total Homeland Security** 63,529,729

[&]quot;See notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards"

	RESEARCH AND VELOPMENT	STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	OTHER	TOTAL	CLUSTER TOTALS
98.001	-	-	126,817	126,817	
98.001	-	-	37,534	37,534	
98.004	-	-	50,226	50,226	
98.009	-	-	113,301	113,301	
AOCO5P0836	4	-		4	
				327,882	
	98.001 98.001 98.004 98.009	98.001 - 98.001 - 98.004 - 98.009 -	AND CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE 98.001 - 98.001 - 98.004 - 98.009 -	AND CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE OTHER 98.001 - - 126,817 98.001 - - 37,534 98.004 - - 50,226 98.009 - - 113,301	AND CFDA Number DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE OTHER TOTAL 98.001 - - 126,817 126,817 98.001 - - - 37,534 37,534 98.004 - - - 50,226 50,226 98.009 - - 113,301 113,301 AOCO5P0836 4 - - 4

\$ 533,969,534 \$ 658,482,174 \$ 6,880,141,940 \$ 8,072,593,648 \$ 5,285,339,150

1. SINGLE AUDIT REPORTING ENTITY

The State includes expenditures in its Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for all Federal programs administered by the funds, agencies, boards and commissions, including component units, included in the State's reporting entity used for its basic financial statements, including the component unit higher education funds—the University System of Maryland, the Baltimore City Community College, Morgan State University, and St. Mary's College of Maryland. However, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards excludes the Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration of the Maryland Department of the Environment. A separate single audit is conducted for this entity, which is part of an enterprise fund of the State of Maryland.

2. BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards has been presented on the accrual basis of accounting. Expenditures are recorded, accordingly, when incurred rather than when paid.

The noncash expenditures of \$15,318,000 reported under CFDA No. 10.550, Food Donation, represent the value of food commodity distributions calculated using the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service Commodity Price List in effect as of July 1, 2006. These food commodities were received by the Maryland Department of Education from the U.S. Department of Agriculture for the year ended June 30, 2007.

The noncash expenditures of \$2,662,083 relating to the Emergency Food Assistance Program reported under CFDA No. 10.569, Emergency Food Assistance Program (Food Commodities), represent the value of food commodity distributions calculated using the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service Commodity Price List in effect as of July 1, 2006. The food commodities were received by the Maryland Department of Human Resources from the U.S. Department of Agriculture for the year ended June 30, 2007.

Expenditures of \$349,190,463 reported under CFDA No. 10.551, Food Stamps, represent the fair market value of food stamps distributed for participants' food-stamp purchases during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.

Noncash expenditures of \$217,729 for CFDA No. 39.003, Donation of Federal Surplus Personal Property, represents the average fair market value percentage, per the General Services Administration (GSA) of 25 percent of the federal government original acquisition cost (OAC) of the federal property transferred to recipients by the State.

3. CATEGORIZATION OF EXPENDITURES

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards reflects Federal expenditures for all individual grants that were active during the year. The categorization of expenditures by program included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is based on the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA). Changes in the categorization of expenditures occur based on revisions to the CFDA, which are issued in June and December of each year. In accordance with the State's policy, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 reflects CFDA changes issued through December 2006.

4. STATE NONMONETARY FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

The State distributes Federal surplus food to institutions (schools, hospitals, and prisons) and to the needy. The total inventory balance of Federal surplus food on hand as of June 30, 2007 was \$31,169 for CFDA No. 10.550, Food Donation Program and \$33,506 for CFDA No. 10.569, Emergency Food Assistance Program (Food Commodities). The surplus food was valued using the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service Commodity Price List in effect as of July 1, 2006.

The value of donated Federal surplus property on hand as of June 30, 2007 was \$217,729, which represents the GSA's OAC of the property. When the related surplus property is transferred to recipients, it is valued at 25 percent of its OAC, which represents an estimated fair market value of the property transferred. The adjusted amount is reported as noncash expenditure in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards under CFDA No. 39.003, Donation of Federal Surplus Personal Property Program.

5. OTHER AUDIT FINDINGS

Other audit reports exist that have also identified findings and questioned costs affecting the State's various Federal programs during the year ended June 30, 2007. Because those issues have been previously reported to the affected Federal agencies and based on guidance received from the State's cognizant agency, the issues identified in other audit reports have not been repeated in the single audit Findings and Questioned Costs for the year ended June 30, 2007.

The State believes that none of the matters questioned will have a significant impact on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

6. UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

In accordance with the Department of Labor, Office of Inspector General instructions, the State recorded State Regular Unemployment Compensation (UC) benefits under CFDA No. 17.225 on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. The individual State and Federal portions are as follows:

State Regular UC benefits	\$452,228,963
Federal UC benefits	14,861,869
Federal UC administrative costs	56,978,418
Total benefits	<u>\$524,069,250</u>

7. FEDERAL MORTGAGE PLANS

The State operates several programs that purchase Federally guaranteed loans, primarily mortgages, from the originators. As the State has no responsibility for determining eligibility or compliance, these guarantees are not considered Federal financial assistance for purposes of the single audit.

8. LOAN PROGRAMS

St. Mary's College of Maryland

The College administers the Federal Perkins Loan Program – Federal Capital Contributions (CFDA No. 84.038). The College received no Federal funds under the Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The outstanding loan balance of \$386,966 as of June 30, 2006, and the outstanding loan balance of \$311,949 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 are not considered current year Federal expenditures. The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes \$17,000 for loans issued during fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, the College processed the following amount of new loans under the Federal Family Education Loan Program, which includes the Stafford Loan and PLUS Loan. Since this program is administered by outside financial institutions, new loans made during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 relating to this program are considered current-year Federal expenditures, whereas the outstanding loan balances are not. The new loans made during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 are reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

8. LOAN PROGRAMS (Continued)

St. Mary's College of Maryland (Continued)

CFDA Number		Loan Expenditures For Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007
84.032	Stafford Loan Program	\$3,778,717
84.032	PLUS Loan	5,704,748
		<u>\$9,483,465</u>

Baltimore City Community College

The College administers the Federal Perkins Loan Program – Federal Capital Contributions (CFDA No. 84.038) and Nursing Student Loans (CFDA No. 93.364). The outstanding loan balances as of June 30, 2007 were \$201,682 and \$14,460, respectively. There were no new loans made in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The outstanding balances are considered current-year Federal expenditures. These amounts are reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

Morgan State University

The University administers the Federal Perkins Loan Program – Federal Capital Contributions (CFDA No. 84.038). The outstanding loan balance of \$3,241,230 as of June 30, 2006, and the loan expenditures of \$508,087 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, and the administrative cost allowance of \$25,404 are considered current-year Federal expenditures. These amounts are reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, the University processed \$25,611,393 of new loans under the Federal Direct Loan Program (CFDA No. 84.268). Since this program is administered by outside financial institutions, new loans made in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 relating to this program are considered current-year Federal expenditures, whereas the outstanding loan balances are not. The new loans made in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 are reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

8. LOAN PROGRAMS (Continued)

University System of Maryland

During the year ended June 30, 2007, the System processed the following amount of new loans under the Direct Loan Program and Federal Family Education Loan Program, which includes the Stafford Loan, Plus Loan, School as Lender Program, Guarantor Flow Program and Graduate PLUS Loan Program. Since these loan programs are administered by outside financial institutions, new loans made in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 related to these programs are considered current-year Federal expenditures, whereas the outstanding loan balances are not. The new loans made in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 are reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

CFDA Number		Loan Expenditures For Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007
84.032	Stafford Loan Program	\$178,622,681
84.032	PLUS Loan	40,705,020
84.032	School as Lender Program	41,787,329
84.032	Guarantor Flow	4,053,631
84.032	Graduate PLUS Loan	9,670,189
84.268	Subsidized Ford Federal Direct Student Loan	87,245,215
84.268	Unsubsidized Ford Federal Direct Student Loan	86,557,004
	Total	<u>\$448,641,069</u>

The System also administers loans under the Economic Adjustment Assistance Program (CFDA No. 11.307). Under this program, the System uses revolving loan funds to enhance economic activity. The revolving loan fund assists business development and expansion. The outstanding loan balance as of June 30, 2006 of \$6,514,584 and new loans made in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 of \$1,200,000 are considered current-year Federal expenditures and are reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

The System administers the following Federal Student Financial Assistance Programs:

8. LOAN PROGRAMS (Continued)

University System of Maryland (Continued)

CFDA Number	Outstanding Balance as of June 30, 2006	Loan Expenditures For Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007
84.038 Perkins Loan Programs	\$59,235,097	\$12,932,604
93.364 Federal Nursing Loan – Undergraduate	1,814,261	566,103
93.364 Federal Nursing Loan – Graduate	172,466	-()-
93.342 Health Professional Loan – Dental	5,221,716	239,600
93.342 Health Professional Loan – Medical	244,689	-0-
93.342 Health Professional Loan – Pharmacy	1,290,190	170,600
93.342 Primary Care	4,450,978	307,232
Total	<u>\$72,429,397</u>	<u>\$14,216,139</u>

The outstanding loan balances as of June 30, 2006 and the loan expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 are considered current-year Federal expenditures. These amounts are reported on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Section I – Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued	<u>Unqualified</u>
Internal control over financial reporting:	
• Material weakness(es) identified?	yesX_ no
• Significant Deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?	Xyesno
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	yes <u>X</u> no
Federal Awards	
Internal control over major programs:	
Material weakness(es) identified	yesX_no
• Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?	Xyesno
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs	Unqualified
Any audit findings disclosed that are	
required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of Circular A-133?	Xyesno

Section I

Summary of Auditors' Results, Cont.

Identification of Major Programs

CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program or Cluster	Federal Expenditures		
10.551, 10.561	Food Stamp Cluster	\$ 389,949,894		
12.630	Basic, Applied, and Advanced Research in Science and Engineering	1,548,953		
17.207, 17.801, 17.804	Employment Service Cluster	15,828,351		
17.258, 17.259, 17.260	WIA Cluster	29,545,096		
17.503	Occupational Safety and Health	4,068,178		
20.106	Airport Improvement Program	16,949,013		
20.205, 23.003	Highway Planning & Construction Cluster	608,553,263		
20.505	Metropolitan Planning & Appalachian Dev'l Grants	7,803,710		
20.600	State and Community Highway Safety	6,224,618		
66.605	Performance Partnership Grant (PPG)	10,399,551		
84.002	Adult Education State grant	10,480,886		
84.181	Grants for Children & Families with Disabilities	7,817,269		
84.186	Safe & Drug Free Schools and Communities	5,131,884		
84.282	The Charter School Program	4,748,256		
84.287	Twenty First Century Community Learning Center	s 9,665,176		
93.283	CDC Prevention and Investigation	28,283,394		
93.563	Child Support Enforcement	74,208,139		
93.566	Refugee & Entrant Assistance	5,098,741		

Section I

Summary of Auditors' Results, Cont.

Identification of Major Programs

CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program or Cluster	Federal Expenditures
93.645	Child Welfare Service	4,429,433
93.659	Adoption Assistance	20,915,592
93.767	State Children Insurance Program	167,615,779
93.775, 93.777, 93.778	Medicaid Cluster	2,791,223,815
93.994	Maternal Child Health Service Block Grant	12,779,248
97.036	Public Assistance Grants	11,778,358
97.074	Law Enforcement & Terrorism	5,855,363
84.007, 84.032, 84.033, 84,038, 84, 063, 84.268, 84.375, 84.376, 93.342, 93.364, 93.925	Student Financial Assistance Cluster	658,482,174
Various	Research and Development Programs	533,969,534
None	Passenger Facility Charges	34,957,967
	Total Major Programs	\$ 5,478,311,635

The Passenger Facility Charge relates to collections by the Maryland Aviation Administration accordance with Section 158.67 © of 14 Code of federal Regulations Part 158, "Passenger Facility Charge" and are not technically considered to be Federal Financial Assistance as defined by OMB Circular A-133, but have been included in the scope of the audit.

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B Programs:	\$ 24,217,781
Auditee qualifies as low-risk Auditee?	yes _X_ no

Section II

Financial Statement Findings

GAS 2007-1

Section III

Federal Awards Findings and Questioned Costs

See Findings Number 2007-1 through 2007-17

Section IV

Schedule of Prior-Year Findings

See 2006- 1 through 2006-26 See 2005- 1 through 2005- 3 2005- 5 through 2005-14 2005-16 through 2005-21 2005-26 through 2005-33

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

Finding - GAS 2007-1

Morgan State University

Morgan State University has ineffective oversight of the University's financial reporting and internal controls

Condition:

During the audit we observed several significant weaknesses in internal controls; namely.

- a. Management was unable to locate student files when requested for testing of certain Maryland Higher Education Commission report criteria. This may cause concern over funding amounts provided to the University.
- b. Management was unable to provide financial data that reconciled with schedules provided for the audit. This condition required numerous adjusting entries to correct financial data, subsequent to report submission to the State.
- c. Management did not timely locate financial statement errors until the audit was underway, indicating inadequate oversight by management.
- d. Management did not discover errors in grants receivable detail reports. Significant audit procedures were required to identify corrections.

Criteria:

Statement of Auditing Standards (SAS 112) states in part, that a control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the financial statements this is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. This significant deficiency did not result in a material misstatement in financial reporting for the State of Maryland.

Cause:

Ineffective oversight of the University's financial reporting and internal control by those charged with governance. The audit disclosed several errors identified in financial data provided to the

Section II – Financial Statement Findings, (Continued)

Finding - GAS 2007-1 (continued)

Cause (continued)

auditors, which created a need for management to correct and update the financial statements. Additionally, audit entries were required to adjust certain financial statement account balances, which occurred subsequent to submission to the State.

Effect:

The University does not submit audited financial statements by predetermined timelines set by the State of Maryland, General Accounting Department. The need for significant adjusting entries indicate a need to strengthen internal controls over financial reporting for the University, and contributes to some inaccurate balances being submitted to the State for the CAFR.

Questioned costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend the following:

- a. Periodic reviews take place for balance sheet accounts to determine that all transactions are accurate and complete.
- b. The University place priority on the audit by designating authority and control to the Controller and/or Vice President of Finance who will coordinate and instruct other department heads on audit requirements and resolution of related issues.
- c. The University should analyze all balance sheet accounts monthly and continue to identify and timely resolve system problems and issues in order to achieve a truly automated and reliable accounting system.
- d. The University should review file maintenance procedures and implement additional procedures to safeguard student files and records to prevent future noncompliance concerns.
- e. The University should consider engaging dedicated staff whose responsibility would include timely identification of errors, preparing monthly reconciliations, and documenting the cause of reconciling entries to continue to strengthen and improve internal controls over financial reporting.

Section II - Financial Statement Findings (Continued)

Finding - GAS 2007-1 (continued)

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

The University agrees with the auditors' finding and will take appropriate actions to enhance the audit process for future audits. In the future, the Assistant Vice President for Finance will be primarily responsible for coordinating and managing the audit process with the goal of addressing and resolving the issues raised by the auditors.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Based on the above, the finding remains as stated.

	·	
•		
SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD I	FINDINGS AND QUESTIO	NED COSTS
		·
•		
•		

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding 2007-1

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

Medical Assistance Program-Medicaid Cluster CFDA No. 93.778 State Children's Insurance Program (SCHIP) CFDA No. 93.767

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control deficiency over the eligibility determination process

Condition:

We reviewed Supervisory Review (SRS) cases from the Local Health Department (LHD) for all twenty-four Maryland jurisdictions to determine whether SRS review (MCHIP Quality Review) forms were timely submitted to the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) and onsite reviews were conducted to ensure the LHD's are meeting quotas according to SRS standards, case file accuracy, and case processing time limits. We noted that Howard County LHD did not submit MCHIP Quality Review forms from June 2006 through May 2007. We also noted DHMH failed to follow its procedures outlined in its January 25, 2006 Memorandum which provides that failure to submit the required SRS review forms in a timely manner would be reported to the Office of Eligibility Services.

Criteria:

The Supervisory Review System requirement was implemented March 2001 to ensure the Local Health Departments are meeting their quotas in regards to review standards, case file accuracy, and case processing time limits.

There are two (2) phases associated with the Supervisory Review System process. The first phase of the process requires all Local Health Departments Maryland Children's Health Program (MCHP) supervisors to review a minimum of two cases per case worker each month and submit the completed MCHP Quality Review Form to the Office of Inspector General/Program Integrity (OIG/PI) staff no later than the 5th of each month to ensure sufficient time for staff review. OIG staff reviews each of the Quality Review Forms submitted by the Local Health Departments and, at the end of each month of review, submits a written report with all pertinent documentation to the OIG Special Projects Supervisor for approval.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-1 (continued)

Criteria: (continued)

During the second phase OIG/PI review staff selects and schedules visits with two LHDs for Supervisory Review each month; this ensures that all 23 counties and Baltimore City will be reviewed during the course of a calendar year. The OIG review staff visits the selected Health Departments and reviews the actual case files and SRS review forms submitted from the prior month to OIG/PI. At the end of each month of review, a written report including all pertinent documentation is submitted to the OIG Special Projects Unit Supervisor for approval.

Cause:

DHMH failed to follow procedures for reporting a non-compliant LHD as described in the Memorandum dated January 25, 2006.

Effect:

Because the reports from Howard County's LHD were not timely submitted and, therefore, were not reviewed by OIG/PI's review staff during FY 07, DHMH was unable to determine whether Howard County's LHD was adhering to the Review System standards, ensuring case file accuracy, and processing cases within the prescribed time limits. Therefore, a control deficiency exists in DHMH's Internal Control for eligibility.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that when DHMH discovers that LHD reports have not been timely submitted, the OIG/PI review staff immediately notifies his/her supervisor and that the supervisor take appropriate action to ensure compliance. We also recommend that DHMH adhere to the criteria set forth in the Memorandum dated January 25, 2006 when an LHD is non-compliant by notifying the Office of Eligibility Services.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-1 (continued)

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

We agree that the Department did not inform the Office of the Eligibility Services (OES) that Howard County was not in compliance with the Memorandum, dated January 25, 2006.

On November 28, 2007, a letter was sent to all local health departments reinstating the Supervisor Review System report deadlines. It also outlined corrective action plans if a local health department failed to meet the deadline or fails to submit the report. In addition, Office of Inspector General/Program Integrity (OIG/PI) will ensure that OES is notified when a Local Health Department is in non-compliance with the Memorandum.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Based on the above, the finding remains as stated.

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-2

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

Medical Assistance Program Medicaid Cluster CFDA No. 93.778

US Department of Health and Human Services

Compliance and Internal control deficiency over the eligibility determination process.

Condition:

On July 1, 1985 the Maryland State Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) entered into an agreement with the Maryland State Department of Human Resources (DHR). DHR agreed to determine eligibility for Medical Assistance on a uniform basis throughout the State for persons who are indigent or medically indigent according to regulations, guidelines and procedures established by DHMH. In addition, DHMH's Local Health Departments are responsible for determining eligibility for the Maryland Children's Health Insurance Program (MCHIP) covered under Title XIV of the Social Security Act.

We selected a total of 65 Medical Assistance claim files to review for eligibility determination. These 65 files were comprised of 13 files from each of the following five Maryland Jurisdictions: Baltimore City, Charles County, Howard County, Cecil County, and Frederick County. The test was composed of a selection of 8 newly established recipients and 5 existing recipients. All claims were processed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. We noted the following exceptions:

Baltimore City

DHR's Department of Social Services failed to maintain the original signed application. We noted the application date was changed from 10/27/07 to 10/27/06 for one of the eight newly eligible files. The change on the application appeared to have been made in order to support the initial eligibility decision date of 11/09/06. However, the information contained in the application was inconsistent with the date of 10/27/06.

Howard County

Internal control deficiency in that one of the eight newly eligible files was not located.

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-2 (continued)

Frederick County

Internal control deficiency in that one of the five existing eligible files could not be located.

Cecil County

No signed application, in that the signature page of the application for one of the eight newly eligible files was missing.

This is, in part, a repeat finding from Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Single Audit Report finding number 2004-24, 2005-5, and 2006-14 respectively.

Criteria:

42 CFR 435.907 (a) states, "The agency must require a written application from the applicant, an authorized representative, or if the applicant is incompetent or incapacitated, someone acting responsibly for the applicant."

42 CFR 435.948 (a) states, "Except as provided in paragraphs (d), (e), and (f) of this section, the agency must request information from the sources specified in this paragraph for verifying Medicaid eligibility and the correct amount of medical assistance payments for each applicant (unless obviously ineligible on the face of his or her application) and recipient. The agency must request, among other things:

- (1) State wage information maintained by the SWICA during the application period and at least on a quarterly basis.
- (2) Any additional income, resource, or eligibility information relevant to determinations concerning eligibility or correct amount of medical assistance payments available from agencies in the State or other States administering the following programs as provided in the agency's State plan:
 - i. AFDC;
 - ii. Medicaid:
 - iii. State-administered supplementary payment programs under Section 1616(a) of the Act;

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-2 (continued)

Criteria: (continued)

- iv. SWICA;
- v. Unemployment compensation;
- vi. Food stamps; and
- vii. Any State program administered under a plan approved under Title 1 (assistance to the aged), X (aid to the blind), XIV (aid to the permanently and totally disabled), or XVI (aid to the aged, blind, and disabled in Puerto Rico, Guam, and the Virgin Islands) of the Act."

42 CFR 435.913 (a) states, "The agency must include in each applicant's case record facts to support the agency's decision on his application"

Cause:

DHR and/or LHD personnel did not obtain or maintain the necessary documentation of facts to support the agency's decision on the application.

Effect:

Since documentation and verifications were not performed in accordance with program requirements, DHMH does not have adequate assurance that eligibility for medical assistance benefits is being properly determined.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that DHR and the LHD's comply with established Federal regulations for determining eligibility to include obtaining the required documentation and performing verifications to support eligibility decisions.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-2 (continued)

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

We agree with the recommendation. The Department followed up with the Department of Human Resources Local Department of Social Services offices in order to obtain the details of the four cases cited above:

- ➤ The Cecil County case was closed for failure to complete the interview process, which would explain why a signed application was missing.
- The file for the Frederick County case was found.
- The file for Howard County could not be located. The Medical Care Program Administration (MCPA) will send a letter requesting an investigation to the Family Investment Administration (FIA) at DHR referencing these issues and requesting that the documentation be obtained or that the case be closed.
- ➤ In addition, Baltimore City did not maintain the original signed application for the application filed on October 27, 2006. In that case, a new application was requested and received to support the eligibility decision made on November 9, 2006.

MCPA will ensure that DHR's LDSS maintain documentation to support the agency's eligibility determination by conducting ongoing trainings and by working with DHR and the LDSS to perform Supervisory Review System (SRS) and internal audits by the Bureau of Continuous Improvement.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-3

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

State Children's Insurance Program (SCHIP) CFDA No. 93.767

US Department of Health and Human Services

Compliance and Internal control deficiency over the eligibility determination process

Condition:

The Local Health Departments (LHD) are responsible for determining eligibility under the Maryland Children's Health Insurance Program on a uniform basis throughout the State for persons who are apply for the expanded State Children's Insurance Program under Title XXI of the Social Security Act.

We selected a total of 65 SCHIP claims to review files for eligibility determination. We tested 13 files from each of the following five Maryland Jurisdictions: Baltimore City, Frederick County, Howard County, Cecil County and Charles County. The test was composed of 8 newly established recipients and five existing recipients. All claims were processed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. We noted the following exceptions:

Baltimore City

Did not comply with the requirement to verify and maintain proof of citizenship in one of the five existing files tested.

Frederick County

Internal control deficiency in that one of the five existing files could not be located

Howard County

Internal control deficiency in that two of the eight newly eligible files could not be located.

This is, in part, a repeat finding from fiscal year 2006, Finding 2006-18.

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-3 (continued)

Criteria:

OMB A-133 states that "States are required to include in their State plans a description of the standards used to determine eligibility of targeted low-income children." Under the State plan, only targeted low-income children who are ineligible for Medicaid or not covered under a group health plan or health insurance coverage (including access to a state health benefits plan) are furnished child health assistance under the state child health plan.

The following are standards for eligibility determinations per OMB A-133 and Maryland's State Plan:

- 1. Children under age 19
- 2. Countable income is at or below 200% of the federal poverty level (FPL)
- 3. Pregnant women of any age whose countable income is at or below 250% FPL
- 4. Current resident of the State of Maryland
- 5. Applicants are required to provide a Social Security Number or apply for a Social Security Number
- 6. A U.S. Citizen
- 7. Qualified aliens, as defined at 8 USC 1641, who entered the US on or after August 22, 1996, are not eligible for SCHIP for a period of five years, beginning on the date the alien became a qualified alien, unless the alien is exempt from this five year bar under the terms of 8USC 1613.
- 8. Eligibility must be redetermined at least every 12 months.

Cause:

LHD personnel did not obtain or maintain the necessary documentation to support the eligibility determinations.

Effect:

Since documentation and verifications were not performed in accordance with program requirements and cases could not be located, DHMH does not have adequate assurance that eligibility for SCHIP is being properly determined.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-3 (continued)

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that DHMH's Local Health Departments comply with established Federal and State regulations for determining eligibility to include obtaining and maintaining the required documentation and performing verifications to support eligibility decisions.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

We agree with the recommendation. The Department followed up with the Local Health Department (LHDs) offices in order to obtain the details of the four cases cited.

- > The Frederick County and Howard County case was found and the files were reviewed by the auditor.
- The other Howard County case was never in the LHD office, as the application was made to the Howard County Local Department of Social Services (LDSS.) The case is still active at that LDSS, but the file could not be located.
- ➤ Baltimore City case We confirmed that the case manager did not acquire documentation of citizenship. The Department has received the LHD's assurance that the error will be corrected within 3 days.

Training will be conducted by DHMH to ensure that the Local Health Departments adhere to the established Federal and State regulations for determining eligibility to include obtaining and maintaining the required documentation and performing verifications to support eligibility decisions.

Auditor's Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding 2007-4

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

State Children's Insurance Program (SCHIP) CFDA No. 93.767

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control deficiency over the Financial Reporting Process

Condition:

The State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) uses form CMS-21 (Quarterly SCHIP Statement of Expenditures for Title XXI), which is a sub-report of form CMS-64 (Quarterly Medicaid Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistant Program), to report its expenditures to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). The CMS 64 reports are reviewed by supervisors. After this review is completed, the reports are forwarded to upper level management to review for completeness and accuracy. A final oversight review is performed by the Director, who also signs the report.

We selected the four quarterly CMS-21 Base Reports for fiscal year 2007 for testing. We noted there was no signature by the Director, which is indicative of final review and approval, for the quarters ended 9/30/06, 3/31/07, and 6/30/07 prior to submission to CMS.

Criteria:

OMB Circular A-133 Sub part C Section .300(b) requires, "The auditee to maintain internal controls over compliance for federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its federal programs." In addition, AICPA's Audit Guide on Government Auditing Standards and Circular A-133 Audits provides in Chapter 10, section 10.05, page 181, that one of the objectives of internal control pertaining to compliance requirements for federal programs is that "transactions are properly recorded and accounted for to permit the preparation of reliable financial statements and federal reports."

Cause:

DHMH failed to follow established procedures for review of the CMS-21 Base reports.

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-4 (continued)

Effect:

Failure to review and sign the CMS-21 Base quarterly reports could result in the submission of inaccurate and unreliable financial data.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that DHMH follow established procedures to ensure final oversight review and approval of the CMS-21 Base reports prior to submission to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

We agree with the recommendation. Even though the CMS-21 reports were not signed, they were reviewed and approved by the Medicaid Director of Finance before submission. Procedures have now been established to ensure sign off of the CMS-21 by the Director, Office of Finance.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-5

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

State Children's Insurance Program (SCHIP) CFDA No. 93.767

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control deficiency over the sub recipient monitoring process.

Condition:

The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene's (DHMH) Office of Operations and Eligibility and Pharmacy Beneficiary Services Administration (Office of Operations) requires that each Local Health Departments (LHD) submit annual statistical reports by August 31st of each year. This requirement is contained in the Office of Operations' instruction manual entitled Instructions for Preparing Narrative and Budget Maryland Children's Health Program Eligibility Determination (Instructions). These Instructions specifically state, "if a local department does not comply with the Annual Report requirement DHMH could deny the next years funds as stated in the budget instructions and a condition of receiving a fiscal award."

Of the twenty-four Local Health Departments reviewed, we noted Charles and Cecil Counties LHDs did not submit their annual statistical reports by August 31st. Despite this non-compliance, DHMH awarded SCHIP funds to these two counties.

This is a repeat finding from Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006 Finding 2006 -17.

Criteria:

OMB Circular A-133, Part 3-M states, "A pass-through entity is responsible for monitoring the sub-recipient's use of Federal awards through reporting, site visits, regular contact, or other means to provide reasonable assurance that the sub recipient administers Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contract or grant agreements and the performance goals are achieved."

Cause:

DHMH failed to comply with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133 and the provisions of its related instructions by awarding funds to LHD's that failed to comply with its reporting requirements and deadlines.

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007- 5 (continued)

Effect:

Funds were awarded to LHD's that were not eligible to receive those funds.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that DHMH monitor its adherence to the requirements of OMB-133 and the enforcement of the requirements set forth in its Budget Instructions given to the Local Health Departments for awarding SCHIP funding.

Auditee's Response and Corrective Action Plan:

DHMH agrees with the recommendation. We are now in receipt of the reports from the two local health departments. The primary causes for the delays in submission of the reports are ongoing staffing shortages and the untimely illness of Local Health Department Staff.

A corrective Action Plan has been discussed with the Maryland Children's Health Program (MCHP) Supervisors within the State of Maryland. We will amend procedures in the future to state that Local Health Departments must be in receipt of an approved extension if the report is not received by August 31^{st.} The Annual Report will be added as a standard agenda item at the statewide MCHP quarterly meeting. We will discuss projected timetables and any issues that may lead to a delay in a timely issuance of the report from the Local Health Departments. We will reiterate the strict adherence to the specified timetable for submission as stated in current the budget instructions.

In addition, we will continue to enforce our current/previous year's standard of notifying the Local Health Department throughout the year via email and offering assistance, getting updates on the status of the Annual Report and the projected date of submission.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Cost (Continued)

Finding 2007-6

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

Medical Assistance Program Medicaid Cluster CFDA No. 93.778

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Compliance and Internal Control deficiency over Procurement and Suspension and Debarment.

Condition:

We reviewed 25 contracts between the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and contractors/subrecipients. The purpose of our review was to determine whether suspension and debarment certifications were obtained in accordance with the provisions of OMB Circular A-102 and other procurement requirements specific to an award. We noted that 4 out of the 25 contracts did not have suspension and debarment certifications verifying that the entity is not suspended or debarred.

This is in part, a repeat finding from Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2005 and 2006 Single Audit Report Finding numbers 2005-7 and 2006-15 respectively.

Criteria:

OMB Circular A-102 (d) states "**Debarment and Suspension-** Federal agencies shall not award assistance to applicants that are debarred or suspended, or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in federal assistance programs under Executive Order 12549. Agencies shall establish procedures for the effective use of the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement or Non-procurement programs to assure that they do not award assistance to listed parties in violation of the Executive Order. Agencies shall also establish procedures to provide for effective use and/or dissemination of the list to assure that their grantees and subgrantees (including contractors) at any tier do not make awards in violation of the non-procurement debarment and suspension common rule."

OMB Circular A-133 (I) states, "Effective November 26, 2003, when a non-federal entity enters into a covered transaction with an entity at a lower tier, the non-federal entity must verify that the entity is not suspended or debarred or otherwise excluded. This verification may be

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Cost (Continued)

Finding 2007-6 (continued)

Criteria:

accomplished by checking the Excluded Parties List System (EPLS) maintained by the General Services Administration (GSA), collecting a certification from the entity, or adding a clause or condition to the covered transaction with that entity."

Cause:

DHMH failed to obtain evidence of suspended or debarred verifications by checking the EPLS maintained by GSA, collecting a certification from the entity, or adding a clause or condition to the covered transaction.

Effect:

Because DHMH failed to provide evidence of suspended or debarred verifications, there is no assurance that contracts or subawards to vendors were permissible under OMB Circulars A-102 and A-133.

Recommendation:

We recommend that DHMH adhere to the provisions of OMB Circulars A-102 and A-133 by obtaining and maintaining evidence of suspension and debarment certifications for all covered contracts and subawards.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

We agree with the recommendation. The Office of Procurement and Support Services (OPASS) will adhere to the provisions of OMB Circulars A-102 and A-133 by obtaining and maintaining evidence of suspension and debarment certifications for all covered contracts and subawards. The OPASS feels that two of the cases were a one time only audit exception. The other two contracts cited were for one-day conferences. In the future, OPASS will obtain debarment information on all Memorandums of Understanding (MOU's) regardless of services provided.

Auditor's Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding 2007-7

Department:

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

Program:

Medical Assistance Program (Medicaid Cluster)

CFDA Number:

93,778

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Compliance and Internal Control deficiency over the Medicaid Eligibility Quality Control System (MEQC).

Condition:

The MEQC failed to submit the required reports on negative case reviews, error rate analysis, and corrective action plan for fiscal year 2007.

Criteria:

OMB Circular A-133, Part 4- Department of Health and Human Services-Compliance Supplement, Section III E.1.d.(1) indicates, "States are required to operate a MEQC system in accordance with requirements established by CMS.

42 CFR 431.816 (b)(3), states, "The agency must submit a monthly progress report on negative case reviews completed during the month unless the agency has an approved superior system in effect. The agency must submit a report on its findings by June 30 of each year for the previous April-September sampling period and by December 31, for the October-March sampling period.

42CFR431.820 states, "the agency must (a) Take action to correct any active or negative case action errors found in the sample cases; (b) Take administrative action to prevent or reduce the incidence of those errors; and (c) By September 15 each year, submit to CMS a report on its error rate analysis and a corrective action plan based on that analysis."

Cause:

DHMH failed to follow the prescribed regulations.

Effect:

DHMH cannot provide CMS assurance that the MEQC program is effective in reducing erroneous expenditures.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-7 (continued)

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that DHMH follow the criteria outlined in 42CFR431.816 and .820 by submitting the required negative case reports, error rate analysis and corrective action plans by the required due dates.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

The Department agrees that the reports should be filed timely, but disagrees that multiple reports should be filed. Per the Centers For Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Medicaid Eligibility Quality Control (MEQC) staff, DHMH need only submit one summary report for each fiscal year, which is due in August. DHMH has permission from CMS to run a pilot program that conducts focused reviews and special studies, rather than a traditional MEQC program. In the report to CMS, DHMH need only provide a summary of its MEQC pilot activities and its negative case action review.

DHMH was late with this report due to internal reorganizations and leadership changes. The Office of Eligibility Services completed the report and sent it to CMS on February 22, 2008, thus fulfilling its reporting obligation and will submit future reports timely.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding 2007 - 8

Baltimore City Community College

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

CFDA No. 84.007 Federal Supplemental Education Opportunity Grant

CFDA No. 84.063 Federal Pell Grant Program

CFDA No. 84.033 Federal Work Study Program

CFDA No. 84.038 Federal Perkins Loan Program

CFDA No. 84.375 Academic Competiveness Grant

U.S. Department of Education (DED)

Baltimore City Community College of Maryland lacks effective internal controls over reporting of the FISAP with the general ledger.

Condition:

Institutions are required to submit the Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participate (FISAP) by September 30, 2007 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The Fiscal Operations Report is used to report the institution's student financial aid expenditures in the previous award year and the Application to Participate is used to apply for funds for the next award year. OMB Circular A-133 requires that certain key line items be tested and traced to the institution's financial records.

We noted inconsistencies in the data between the FISAP and Baltimore City Community College (BCCC) general ledger and other supporting records as follows:

- 1. Total tuition and fees for undergraduates did not agree to the audited financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2007.
- 2. Total Federal Pell Grant expenditures for the 2006-2007 award years did not agree to the audited financial statements for year ending June 30, 2007.
- 3. Total expended for state grants and scholarships made to undergraduates for the award year July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007 did not agree to the audited financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2007.
- 4. Funds advanced to students did not agree with Perkins Loan Program supporting documentation.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Cost (Continued)

Finding 2007 - 8 (continued)

We found that the reported amounts for the aforementioned items were inconsistent with BCCC's general ledger as of June 30 2007. BCCC was able to provide reconciliation for the differences, however during the reconciliation we observed that the FISAP overlapped two award years and did not agree with the audited financial statements.

Criteria:

Title 34 Part 668, Subpart B—Standards for Participation in Title IV, Higher Education Act (HEA) Programs, Sec. 669.24 (b) Fiscal records cites that an institution shall account for receipts and expenditures of Title IV, HEA programs funds in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). An institution shall establish and maintain on a current basis, financial records that reflect each HEA, Title IV program transaction and general ledger control accounts and related subsidiary accounts that identify each Title IV, HEA program transaction and separate those transactions from all other institutional financial activity. Each year an institution must submit the FISAP report and ensure that the information reported is accurate, and submitted timely as required..

Additionally, participation in Federal Perkins Loans programs, Federal Work Study programs, Federal Family Education Loans programs should follow procedures in 34 CFR 674.19, 34 CFR 675.19 and 34 CFR 682.610 respectively that require that an institution reconcile its records for Student Financial Aid at least monthly.

Cause:

BCCC has to strengthen internal controls to ensure that the FISAP report is in agreement with the audited financial statements, Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, and in compliance with 34 CFR 674.19, 34 CFR 675.19 and 34 CFR 682.610.

Effect:

Without proper monitoring of controls over reporting of FISAP information, there is no assurance that the information reported to DED is accurate and complies with generally accepted accounting principles.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Cost (Continued)

Finding 2007 - 8 (continued)

Recommendation:

We recommend that BCCC implement stronger control procedures over its' reporting of FISAP data to DED and its requirements for 34 CFR as noted above. At a minimum a supervisor from each contributing department should validate that the data submitted is accurate, and agrees with BCCC's audited financial statements and/or supporting documentation.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

BCCC disagrees with this finding. The auditors seem to have confused the disbursement of summer awards with a perceived discrepancy between FISAP, Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) and the College's financial statements. The financial statements are based on fiscal year and the FISAP is based on an award year. The College provided the auditor with a reconciliation of the College's financial statements, SEFA and FISAP in addition to supporting documentation from FMIS, the College's financial system.

Additionally, the College does not actively participate in the Perkins Loan Program. The College indicates the balance of the outstanding loans as an expenditure on the SEFA. This amount is reconciled to our financial system and Campus Partners, the College's loan servicer. This reconciliation was provided to the auditor.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Cost (Continued)

Finding 2007 - 9

St. Mary's College of Maryland

Student Financial Assistance Cluster CFDA No. 84.038 Federal Perkins Loans (FPL) U.S. Department of Education (DED)

St. Mary's College lacks effective internal controls over FISAP reconciliation

Condition:

Institutions are required to submit the Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participate (FISAP) by September 30, 2007 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The Fiscal Operations Report is used to report the institution's student financial aid expenditures in the previous award year and the Application to Participate is used to apply for funds for the next award year. OMB Circular A-133 requires that certain key line items be tested and traced to the institution's financial records.

We noted inconsistencies in the data between the FISAP and St. Mary's general ledger or other supporting records for the FPL as follows:

- 1. Total tuition and fees for undergraduates did not agree to the audited financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2007.
- 2. Total Federal Pell Grant expenditures for the 2006-2007 award year did not agree to the audited financial statements for year ending June 30, 2007.
- 3. Total expended for state grants and scholarships made to undergraduates for the award year July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007 did not agree to the audited financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2007.
- 4. Funds advanced to students did not agree with Perkins Loan Program supporting documentation.

We found that the reported amounts for the aforementioned items were not reviewed and compared with the audited financial statements as per St Mary's general ledger. The FISAP needs to be revised to be in agreement with the official balances reported in the final audited statements for the year ending June 30, 2007. This action would be necessary to ensure that the FISAP was accurately reported and in agreement with the St. Mary's audited financial statements.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Cost (Continued)

Finding 2007-9 (continued)

Criteria:

U.S. Department of Education Office of Inspector General, Audit Guide for Audits of Federal Student Financial Assistance Programs at Participating Institutions and Institution Servicers, January, 2000 cite the Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participate (FISAP) (ED Form 646-1)-This electronic report is submitted annually to receive funds for the campus-based programs and is described in the Instruction Booklet for Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participate. The school uses the Fiscal Operation Report portion to report its expenditures in the previous award year and the Application to Participate portion to apply for the following year. The FISAP is required to be submitted by October 1 following the end of the award year (which is always June 30). For example, by October 1 1999, the institution should submit its' FISAP that includes the Fiscal Operations Report for the award year ended June 30, 1999 and the Application to Participate for the 2000-2001 award year. The institution receives an "Edit Report", that allows the institution to make correction to the FISAP. The "Edit Report", must be resubmitted by mid-December. Key FISAP items are: (FPL, FWS, FSEOG 34 CFR section 673.3).

Cause:

St. Mary lacks proper internal controls over monitoring the accuracy of information reported on the FISAP. The contributing information to the FISAP is not reviewed and compared to final audit balances.

Effect:

Without proper monitoring of controls over reporting of FISAP information, there is no assurance that the information reported to DED is accurate.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that St. Mary implement stronger control procedures over its' reporting of FISAP data to DED. At a minimum a supervisor from each contributing department should validate that the data submitted is accurate, and agrees with the audited financial statements and/or supporting documentation.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Cost (Continued)

Finding 2007-9 (continued)

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

To enhance the quality and accuracy of the information submitted to Department of Education, the Bursar will be trained to create a set of workpapers whereby each number reflected in the FISAP report (that comes from the Business Office) is referenced to our financial statements prior to submitting them to the Financial Aid Office for submission to the Department of Education. In addition, the Comptroller will review and sign-off on the workpapers and backup information.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007 -10

Morgan State University

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

CFDA No. 84.007 Federal Supplemental Education Opportunity Grant

CFDA No. 84.063 Federal Pell Grant Program

CFDA No. 84.033 Federal Work Study Program

CFDA No. 84.038 Federal Perkins Loan Program

CFDA No. 84.375 Academic Competiveness Grant

U.S. Department of Education (DED)

Morgan State University of Maryland lacks effective internal controls over reporting of the FISAP with the general ledger.

Condition:

Institutions are required to submit the Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participate (FISAP) by September 30, 2007 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The Fiscal Operations Report is used to report the institution's student financial aid expenditures in the previous award year and the Application to Participate is used to apply for funds for the next award year. OMB Circular A-133 requires that certain key line items be tested and traced to the institution's financial records.

We noted inconsistencies in the data between the FISAP and Morgan State University (Morgan) general ledger and other supporting records as follows:

- 1. Total Federal Pell Grant expenditures for the 2006-2007 award years did not agree to the audited financial statements for year ending June 30, 2007.
- 2. Total expended for state grants and scholarships made to undergraduates for the award year July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007 did not agree to the audited financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2007.
- 3. Funds advanced to students did not agree with Perkins Loan Program supporting documentation.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Cost (Continued)

Finding 2007 - 10 (continued)

We found that the reported amounts for the aforementioned items were inconsistent with Morgan's general ledger as of June 30 2007. Morgan was able to provide reconciliation for the differences, however during the reconciliation we observed that the FISAP overlapped two award years and did not agree with the audited financial statements.

Criteria:

Title 34 Part 668, Subpart B—Standards for Participation in Title IV, Higher Education Act (HEA) Programs, Sec. 669.24 (b) Fiscal records cites that an institution shall account for receipts and expenditures of Title IV, HEA programs funds in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). An institution shall establish and maintain on a current basis, financial records that reflect each HEA, Title IV program transaction and general ledger control accounts and related subsidiary accounts that identify each Title IV, HEA program transaction and separate those transactions from all other institutional financial activity. Each year an institution must submit the FISAP report and ensure that the information reported is accurate, and submitted timely as required.

Additionally, participation in Federal Perkins Loans programs, Federal Work Study programs, Federal Family Education Loans programs should follow procedures in 34 CFR 674.19, 34 CFR 675.19 and 34 CFR 682.610 respectively that require that an institution reconcile its records for Student Financial Aid at least monthly.

Cause:

Morgan has to strengthen internal controls to ensure that the FISAP report is in agreement with the audited financial statements, Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, and in compliance with 34 CFR 674.19, 34 CFR 675.19 and 34 CFR 682.610.

Effect:

Without proper monitoring of controls over reporting of FISAP information, there is no assurance that the information reported to DED is accurate and complies with generally accepted accounting principles.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Cost (Continued)

Finding 2007 -10 (continued)

Recommendation:

We recommend that Morgan implement stronger control procedures over its' reporting of FISAP data to DED and its requirements for 34 CFR as noted above. At a minimum a supervisor from each contributing department should validate that the data submitted is accurate, and agrees with Morgan's audited financial statements and/or supporting documentation.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

The University respectfully disagrees with the auditors. The finding noted by the auditors is due to the timing differences created by the University's interpretation of federal regulations as they relate to cross-over periods. Specifically, the University awards federal financial aid based on an award year that begins with the fall term and ends with the following summer term. The summer term bridges two fiscal years. Even though a portion of the summer term extends beyond June 30 into the next fiscal year, the University considers the aid awarded for that period when preparing the Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participate (FISAP.) Whereas, the financial statements are prepared on a fiscal year basis, irrespective of which award year the expenditure is charged against. This is an acceptable practice, but does require adjustments and reconciliations between the FISAP report and the financial statements each year. The University firmly believes that federal regulations support this position and that it is a wide-spread industry practice.

Auditors' Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-11

Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation (DLLR) Federal Department of Labor

CFDA No. 17.258 – WIA Adult Program CFDA No. 17.259 – WIA Youth Activities CFDA No. 17.260 – WIA Dislocated Workers

Internal control weakness related to client file maintenance.

Condition:

Our test of a Local Workforce Investment Board's (LWIB) files disclosed internal control weaknesses in case file documentation. Several files we examined were incomplete or did not document employment verification follow-up or case closure.

Criteria:

OMB Circular A-133 (Compliance Supplement) states in part:

"Each State must conduct an annual on-site monitoring review of each local area's compliance with Department of Labor (DOL) uniform administrative requirements, including the appropriate administrative requirements and cost principles for subrecipients and other entities receiving Workforce Investment Act (WIA) funds."

Cause:

Out of 15 files selected for testing for a LWIB, we found 11 files had incomplete case management notes and 10 of the files contained no employment verification follow-up. In addition, we observed that a Federal Compliance Review cited similar findings including no documentation of WIA eligibility and files lacking organization.

Effect:

DLLR was unable to ensure that the LWIB's are in compliance with DOL administrative requirements for subrecipients receiving WIA funds and OMB Circular A-133.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-11 (continued)

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We observed that significant progress has been made with the implementation of DLLR's subrecipient monitoring program. We recommend that DLLR provide technical assistance to all LWIB's in meeting DOL administrative requirements and proper file maintenance and documentation, and DLLR's program monitors place added emphasis on file maintenance when performing subrecipient monitoring.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

This agency concurs with the recommendation to provide technical assistance to all LWIB's in meeting DOL administrative requirements and proper file maintenance and documentation and DLLR's program monitors place added emphasis on file maintenance when performing subrecipient monitoring. The period covered by this audit occurred prior to the training that was provided by DLLR. Due to the transition in staff and a new administration, DLLR was unable to provide case management training to the local Workforce Investment Areas until October 30, 2007. This training session included WIA eligibility, file maintenance and case management. In addition to case management training and providing ongoing technical assistance, DLLR will perform random checks of participant files at the local areas. The program monitors will use different methodologies to sample participant files during the next monitoring cycle which is scheduled to begin April 2008.

Auditor's Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007- 12

Department of Human Resources (DHR) CFDA No. 93.563 – Child Support Enforcement U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Inadequate internal controls over child support Inter- and Intra-State cases

Condition:

When the Department of Human Resources local offices receive an application for Child Support Services, the local agency must open the case within no more than twenty (20) days of the receipt of the referral or of the filing of an application for services. During our review, we noted that in six (6) of thirteen (13) initiating cases, or 46.15% of the cases reviewed, that the case was not opened in twenty (20) days of receipt of the referral or application.

When the Child Support Administration division is receives an interstate case, Maryland as the initiating state, has twenty (20) days to refer the case to the responding state's central registry after determining that the non-custodial parent is located in another state, and if necessary, receipt of any necessary information to process the case. During our review, we noted that in seven (7) out of thirteen (13) initiating cases, or 53.85% of the cases reviewed, that the case was not referred to the responding state's central registry within twenty (20) days after determining that the non-custodial parent was located in another state and after receipt of all information necessary to process the case.

When the Child Support Administration division receives a request for more information from a responding state, Maryland as the initiating state has thirty (30) calendar days to either provide the responding state with the requested information or notify the responding state when the information will be provided. In three (3) out of thirteen (13) initiating cases reviewed, or 23.08% of the cases, the requested information was not provided to the responding state nor was the date that the requested information would be provided documented in the case file.

When the Child Support Administration division receives an interstate case and Maryland is responding state, within ten (10) calendar days Maryland's central registry must: acknowledge receipt of the case; ensure that all documentation received from the initiating state is complete; request any missing information necessary to process the case; forward the case to the correct local agency for location services or processing; and inform the initiating state where the case was sent for processing. In four (4) of the twelve (12) responding cases reviewed (33.33% of cases reviewed), one or more of the actions required in the ten (10) day timeframe was not completed.

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-12 (continued)

Condition: (Continued)

When Maryland's central registry receives an inquiry from a responding state pertaining to the case's status or for a review, the central registry has five (5) days to respond to the initiating state's request. In three (3) of the twelve (12) responding cases, (25.00% of cases reviewed), Maryland's response to an initiating state's request for a case's status or for a review was not noted in the case file within five (5) days of receipt of the initiating state's inquiry.

When Maryland, as the responding state, receives an interstate Child Support Transmittal form, within seventy five (75) days, Maryland must; provide location services if the initiating states request is for location services or if the initiating state did not provide sufficient information to locate the non-custodial parent; notify the initiating state if more information or corrected information is necessary to process the case; and process the case to the extent possible pending necessary action by the initiating state. In six (6) of the twelve (12) responding cases reviewed, one or more of the actions required in the seventy-five (75) day time frame was not completed.

After a Child Support order has been established, within thirty (30) days of identifying a delinquency, Maryland as the responding/enforcing state must take and document some enforcement action was taken and documented unless a service of process is necessary. In one (1) of twelve (12) responding cases, or 8.33% of responding cases reviewed, no enforcement action was taken and documented within thirty (30) days of identifying a delinquency.

This is a repeat finding from the Fiscal Year 2004, Single Audit Report finding number 2004-44; Fiscal Year 2005, Single Audit Report finding number 2005-16; and Fiscal Year 2006, Single Audit Report finding number 2006-5.

Criteria:

Per the Child Support Manual Section B.102, the Child Support Enforcement Agency must open a case in no more than 20 days of the receipt of a referral or the filing of an application for services.

45 CFR 303.7 (b) (2) states, "... within 20 calendar days of determining that the noncustodial parent is in another State, and, if appropriate, receipt of any necessary information needed to process the case, refer any interstate IV-D case to the responding State's interstate central registry for action, including requests for location, document verification, administrative reviews in Federal income tax refund offset cases, income withholding, and State income tax refund offset in IV-D cases."

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-12 (continued)

Criteria:(continued)

45 CFR 303.7 (b) (4) states that the initiating state must "provide the IV-D agency or central registry in the responding State with any requested additional information or notify the responding State when the information will be provided within 30 calendar days of receipt of the request for information by submitting an updated form, or a computer-generated replica in the same format and containing the same information, and any necessary additional documentation."

45 CFR 303.7 (a) (2) states that "Within 10 working days of receipt of an interstate IV-D case from an initiating State, the central registry must:

- (i) Ensure that the documentation submitted with the case has been reviewed to determine completeness;
- (ii) Forward the case for necessary action either to the State PLS for location services or to the appropriate agency for processing;
- (iii) Acknowledge receipt of the case and ensure that any missing documentation has been requested from the initiating State; and
- (iv) Inform the IV-D agency in the initiating State where the case was sent for action."

45 CFR 303.7 (a) (4) states that "The central registry must respond to inquiries from other States within 5 working days of receipt of the request for a case status review.

45 CFR 303.7 (c) (4) states that "Within 75 calendar days of receipt of an Interstate Child Support Enforcement Transmittal Form and documentation from its interstate central registry, the IV-D agency must:

- (i) Provide location services in accordance with Sec. 303.3 of this part if the request is for location services or the form or documentation does not include adequate location information on the noncustodial parent;
- (ii) If unable to proceed with the case because of inadequate documentation, notify the IV-D agency in the initiating State of the necessary additions or corrections to the form or documentation.
- (iii) If the documentation received with a case is inadequate and cannot be remedied by the responding IV-D agency without the assistance of the initiating State, the IV-D agency must process the interstate IV-D case to the extent possible pending necessary action by the initiating State."

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-12 (continued)

Criteria:(continued

45 CFR 303.6 (c) (2) states that the Child Support Enforcement agency must take "...any appropriate enforcement action (except income withholding and Federal and State income tax refund offset) unless service of process is necessary, within no more than 30 calendar days of identifying a delinquency or other support-related non-compliance with the order or the location of the noncustodial parent, whichever occurs later. If service of process is necessary prior to taking an enforcement action, service must be completed (or unsuccessful attempts to serve process must be documented in accordance with the State's guidelines defining diligent efforts under Sec. 303.3(c)), and enforcement action taken if process is served, within no later than 60 calendar days of identifying a delinquency or other support-related non-compliance with the order, or the location of the noncustodial parent, whichever occurs later..."

Cause:

The inadequate internal controls over the various child support cases caused DHR to be out of compliance with several of the Federal guidelines and, at times, resulted in misuse of resources.

Effect:

DHR is unable to meet and follow Federal guidelines in child support cases and is non-compliant with certain areas of the Federal guidelines.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that DHR put a process in place in which DHR ensures all staff members are aware of the Federal guidelines and timelines and put tracking mechanisms in place to ensure that the guidelines and timelines are being met such as system prompts when all Federal timelines are coming due. DHR adhere to documented internal controls so that a supervisor's are also aware of impending Federal timelines for Child Support interstate and intrastate case to ensure compliance with Federal guidelines.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-12 (continued)

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

The Child Support Enforcement (CSEA) agrees that 5 out of 13 initiating cases were out of compliance for failure to forward the cases to the responding states' central registry within 20 days after determining that the non-custodial parents were in other states. CSEA also agrees that 1 out of 13 initiating cases was out of compliance for not providing the responding state with requested information.

However, CSEA does not concur with the finding that the Administration does not have adequate internal controls in place for Interstate and Intra state cases. Since February 2007, corrective action plans have been further strengthened for future case processing so that errors will not occur again. A review of cases from February 2007 will confirm timely case processing achievements from the previous years.

We also disagree with the auditor's statement on "Cause" The inadequate internal controls over the various child support cases caused DHR to be out of compliance with several of the Federal guidelines and, at times, resulted in misuse of resources." The Administration disagrees that it does not have activities in place to facilitate internal controls over Interstate cases. Activities in place include participation in the annual Self Assessment Review, the annual Data Reliability Audit, the Quality Control Report, and ongoing CSEA staff Academy training.

The Self Assessment Review Tool, which is required by the Federal Office of Child Support, is used to conduct the Program Audit on Interstate case processing (45 CFR 303.7). Additionally, this tool evaluates and measures whether the state of Maryland is meeting the Federal case processing criteria. Maryland's CSEA passed the Self Assessment review in both 2006 and in 2007.

As per CFR: 45 CFR Section 308.2(g) addresses interstate services audit review. The review criterion includes the initiating State's responsibility to refer cases to the responding State within 20 days of determining that the noncustodial parent is in another

State pursuant to section 303.7(b)(2); providing responses to the responding State with requested additional information within 30 calendar days of the request pursuant to section 303.7(b)(4); notifying the responding State of new information within 10 working days pursuant to section 303.7(b)(5); and sending a request for review of a child support order within 20 calendar days after receiving a request for review and adjustment under the Uniform Interstate Family Support

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-12 (continued)

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan: (Continued)

Act (UIFSA) pursuant to section 303.7(b)(6). In recognition of the fact that passage of UIFSA and other Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA) administrative enforcement actions have changed the way interstate cases are processed, we encourage States to use one-state action to take any enforcement action they can on a case, rather than referring all cases for two-state action. We have revised the final rule to provide for the referral of interstate cases where appropriate.

Section 308.2(b), (c), and (f) contain language that previously appeared in the former Federal audit regulations at section 305.20 relative to certain missed timeframes. As we stated in the preamble to the final Federal audit regulations in 1994 (59 FR 66204), the State should not be penalized when timeframes are missed in a case if a successful result is achieved (paternity or a support order is established, an order is adjusted, income is withheld, or a collection is made or distributed), since these results are the main goals of the child support enforcement program.

CSEA re-reviewed the 13 cases mentioned in the statement "We noted that in six (6) of thirteen (13) initiating cases, or 46.15% of the cases reviewed, that the case was not opened in twenty (20) days of receipt of the referral or application." We concluded that 12 are active and paying on their cases and one was closed case. Therefore an exception to the rules on Federal criterion on treating audit cases applies.

The Data Reliability Audit (DRA) measures eight areas of data input reliability and five areas of Performance Measures. CSEA has passed the DRA two years in a row. In all the categories, the Administration has achieved 95% or better; DRA has been a very successful audit review tool earning the Administration 15 million dollars in two years.

In Maryland, CSEA provides training for new staff by way of the New Staff Academy. The *Introduction to Child Support Enforcement* course, trains on the basic elements of child support and educates staff on the Federal timelines.

Also in March 2008, the Administration began providing training for supervisors on the basic elements of Child Support Enforcement, with a re-training on the Federal guidelines. The training will be conducted on an annual basis.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007-12 (continued)

Auditor's Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007 - 13

Department of Human Resources (DHR)

CFDA No. 93.563 – Child Support

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

DHR has internal controls weaknesses over payment of invoices.

Condition:

DHR processes invoices in batches. The required documents in a batch are a Transmittal Cover Sheet, which lists the total amount being paid in the batch; the detail pages to the transmittal, which list all invoices that have been paid in the batch in order of document number, and invoices for all payments included in the batch.

During our review of twenty-five expenditures, we noted:

- 1. One instance where the transmittal cover sheet and transmittal detail pages were not provided.
- 2. One instance where neither the transmittal cover sheet nor the invoice were approved for payment.

This is a repeat finding from the Fiscal Year 2006, Single Audit Report finding number 2006-6.

Criteria:

49 CFR 18.20 a (2) states in part, "...Fiscal control and accounting procedures of the State...must be sufficient to...(2) Permit the tracing of funds to a level of expenditure adequate to establish that such funds have not been used in violation of the restrictions and prohibitions of applicable statutes..."

49 CFR 19.20 a (6) Source Documentation states, "Accounting records must be supported by such source documentation as cancelled checks, paid bills, payrolls, time and attendance records, contract and sub-grant award documentation, etc."

Cause:

Department of Human Resources does not have adequate management controls and oversight to ensure all proper documentation is retained.

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007 – 13 (continued)

Effect:

We cannot attest to the propriety of the respective transactions and expenditures charged to the federal grant.

Questioned Costs:

None

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Department of Human Resources establish proper internal control procedures to maintain adequate documentation to support all federal grant expenditures.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Complete documentation was provided to the auditors for the all expenditures selected for review. The last two were provided on March 10, 2008.

With regards to the one invoice tested where there was no evidence of the invoice review and approval, the expenditure was for a photocopier service agreement. While there was no evidence of a review on the monthly invoice, a copy of the purchase order was attached to the invoice verifying the purchase of a monthly service agreement at the amount billed. And, while the file copy of the Transmittal Coversheet was made before the approver signed it, had the Transmittal Cover Sheet not been signed the State Comptroller's Office would not have processed payment.

The following control will be implemented immediately to address the audit recommendation. All copies of invoices that do not have documented receiving in the Financial Management Information System (FMIS), will be initialed or signed as being approved. In addition the original and copy of the Transmittal Cover Sheet will either be signed or a copy will be made of the signed original at the discretion of the fiscal officer.

Auditor's Conclusion:

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007 - 14

Department of Human Resources (DHR) CFDA No. 93.563 – Child Support

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

DHR has internal controls weaknesses over Sub-Recipient Monitoring.

Condition:

DHR enters into agreements, called Cooperative Reimbursement Agreements, with local government departments, such as sheriffs departments, State's Attorney's Offices and County Masters for these entities to conduct such tasks as to issue warrants and summonses related to establishing or enforcing Child Support; conducting hearings to establish paternity, child support or medical support obligations, and conducting hearings to enforce or modify support orders.

The Contract Services Division is responsible for monitoring the local government entities' performance on the contracts. The Contract Services Division performs its monitoring duties by issuing five monitoring reports for the year for each entity and requiring corrective action plans when actual performance falls below expected performance.

During our testing, we noted:

- 1. Monitoring reports were not issued on a quarterly basis for one of the eight cooperative monitoring agreements reviewed.
- 2. All corrective action plans were not received by DHR on a timely basis for three of the eight cooperative monitoring agreements reviewed.
- 3. For three of the cooperative reimbursement agreements reviewed, all quarterly monitoring reports were not reviewed by a supervisor as evidenced by a supervisor's initials.
- 4. As a result of not receiving all of the monitoring reports or corrective action plans, DHR does not monitor Cooperative Reimbursement Agreement Agencies according to established procedures.
- 5. As a result of not receiving all of the monitoring reports or corrective action plans, we could not determine whether CSEA provided reasonable assurance that sub recipients used Federal awards for authorized purposes, complied with laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and achieved performance goals.

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007 – 14 (continued)

Condition:

- 6. As a result of not receiving all of the monitoring reports or corrective action plans, we could not determine whether CSEA maintained adequate records of any noncompliance.
- 7. As a result of not receiving all of the monitoring reports or corrective action plans, we could not determine whether Cooperative Reimbursement Agreement agencies were monitored according to established procedures.

Criteria:

OMB-Circular A-133 Subpart C Section .300 (b) states, "The auditee shall maintain internal controls over Federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs."

Cause:

Department of Human Resources personnel did not provide the necessary reports from the sub-recipient to ensure compliance.

Effect:

Since documentation and verifications were not performed in accordance with program requirements, DHR does not have adequate assurance that sub-recipient monitoring is in compliance with the Child Support Program.

Questioned Costs:

Unknown

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Department of Human Resources strengthen its internal control procedures to adequately monitor sub-recipient compliance with the audit requirements of OMB Circular A-133 subpart D.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007 – 14 (continued)

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

CSEA agrees with this recommendation. CSEA has implemented a system that will eliminate any and all deficiencies identified above.

The process to strengthen Cooperative Reimbursement Agreements (CRA) performance monitoring has being implemented. The process includes comparing data reported by the entities to performance goals and requiring Corrective Action Plan from CRA whose performance is below contract goals. Supervisory review and sign-off were also implemented.

The changes in procedures implemented include a requirement that all documents related to all contracts would have an original or copy in the supervisor's office. Also, an electronic tickler system has been put in place to remind staff to follow-up on all actions required.

Auditor's Conclusion:

Schedule III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007 - 15

Maryland Department of Transportation – State Highway Administration (SHA) CFDA No. 20.205

U.S. Department of Transportation

SHA was noncompliance over Unallowable Costs to federal grants

Condition:

State Highway Administration included employees and contractors to participate in a National Highway Institute course. The State Highway Administration received reimbursements from non-state participants in the amount of \$29,400 but charged the tuition federal grant with the cost of all participants.

Criteria:

Surface Transportation Program (STP) funds may be used by the State for the cost of tuition and direct educational expenses (excluding salaries) of state and local transportation agency employees.

Cause:

The bill sent to the Federal Highway Administration for reimbursement included, ineligible participants for federal reimbursement.

Effect:

The State Highway Administration was reimbursed by the Federal Highway Administration for ineligible costs.

Questioned Costs:

\$29,400

Schedule III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007 – 15 (continued)

Recommendation:

We recommend that the State Highway Administration establish and communicate procedures to control the process of costs for tuition and direct educational expenses paid with federal grants.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

We agree with the recommendation. The transaction was corrected and the federal bill reduced on January 18, 2008. In addition, State Highway Administration will reissue guidelines on Education & Tuition Reimbursement by March 30, 2008.

Auditor's Conclusion:

Based on the above, the finding remains as stated.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007 –16

Maryland Department of Transportation – State Highway Administration (SHA) CFDA No. 20,205

U. S. Department of Transportation

SHA was noncompliant over Suspension and Debarment records maintenance

Condition:

The State Highway Administration did not have documentation supporting the efforts to check the Excluded Parties List System for debarred and suspended contractors and subcontractors for the 15 contracts reviewed.

Criteria:

OMB A-133 requires that States and government sub-recipients of States shall use the same State policies and procedures used for procedures used for procurements from non-Federal funds. Non-Federal entities are prohibited from contracting with or making sub-awards under covered transactions to parties that are suspended or debarred or whose principals are suspended or debarred.

Cause:

The State Highway Administration did not maintain adequate documentation supporting the efforts to check the Excluded Parties List System for debarred and suspended contractors and subcontractors on each contract.

Effect:

The State Highway Administration was noncompliant with the requirement to substantiate that it is not contracting with or making sub-awards under covered transactions with parties that are suspended or debarred or whose principals are suspended or debarred.

Questioned Costs:

None

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007 –16 (continued)

Recommendation:

We recommend that the State Highway Administration document and retain their efforts to check the Excluded Parties List System for debarred and suspended contractors and subcontractors for contracts awarded.

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

We agree with the recommendation, and by March 30, 2008 we will reissue guidance on the use of the existing access database. This database details that the Excluded Parties List has been consulted and the contractor and his sub contractors were not found.

Auditor's Conclusion:

Based on the above, the finding remains as stated.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007 - 17

University System of Maryland – Bowie State University and University of Maryland, Eastern Shore

Student Financial Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.032 and 84.268

Untimely and Inaccurate Submission of Student Status Changes to the NSLDS.

Condition:

Bowie State University

During our testing of student status changes, we noted 15 student status changes were not timely reported to the National Student Loan Disbursement System (NSLDS) within the 30 or 60 day requirement.

University of Maryland, Eastern Shore

During our testing of student status changes, we noted 2 students that had status changes and those changes were not properly reported to NSLDS. There were also 2 students who withdrew and the date reported to NSLDS was reported outside of the 30 and 60 day requirement.

Criteria:

Per 34 CFR Section 682.610 (c), a school shall-

- (1) Upon receipt of a student status confirmation report form from the Secretary or a similar student status confirmation form from any guaranty agency, complete and return that report within 30 days of receipt to the Secretary or the guaranty agency, as appropriate; and
- (2) Unless it expects to submit its next student status confirmation report to the Secretary or the guaranty agency within the next 60 days, notify the guaranty agency or lender within 60 days—If it discovers that a Stafford Loan or plus loan has been made to or on behalf of a student, but who has ceased to be enrolled on at least a half-time basis;
 - i. If it discovers that a Stafford or Plus loan has been made to or on behalf of a student who has been accepted for enrollment at that school, but who failed to enroll on at least a half-time basis for the period for which the loan was intended;

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007 - 17 (Continued)

Criteria: (Continued)

- ii. If it discovers that a Stafford, SLS, or Plus loan has been made to or on behalf of a full-time student who has ceased to be enrolled on a full-time basis; or
- iii. If it discovers a student who is enrolled and who has received a Stafford or SLS loan has changed his or her permanent address.

Cause:

Bowie State had technical difficulties submitting data to the NSLDS. The University of Maryland, Eastern Shore did not have the proper controls in place to ensure that proper reporting was being performed.

Effect:

The student status changes were not submitted to the NSLDS within the required timeframes.

Questioned Costs:

None

Recommendation:

We recommend that Bowie State University ensure its system is operating properly to submit the necessary data to the clearinghouse in accordance with the Federal requirements. When encountering technical difficulties with its system, we recommend that Bowie contact the clearinghouse and obtain a waiver or approval for non submission. We recommend that University of Maryland, Eastern Shore ensure there are procedures in place to ensure the necessary data is submitted to the clearinghouse in accordance with Federal requirements.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2007 - 17 (Continued)

Auditee Response and Corrective Action Plan:

Bowie State University - The University agrees with the finding. The National Clearinghouse file upload had not been sent on a regular basis due to a list of errors that were generated from the submission that prohibited the information from being accepted by the clearinghouse. The technical issues that caused the errors were resolved during the summer of 2007. As of fall 2007, the University has caught up with all submissions and has changed the data upload frequency to monthly beginning with September, 2007. Submissions have been and will continue to be made on a monthly basis. If we encounter difficulties in the future that prevent timely submission we will contact the clearinghouse and obtain a waiver or approval for non submission.

University of Maryland Eastern Shore - We acknowledge that two student samples were not reported to the NSLDS via the Clearinghouse transmissions due to technical difficulties with a new school setup. This problem has been rectified.

We also acknowledge that the change in status for two student samples was not reflected in the actual Clearinghouse transmissions although this information was compiled in the statistics periods on the student information system which clearly showed the change prior to the submission of the first Clearinghouse transmission. This issue appears to be a glitch within the system and will be sent to our internal 'Administrative Computing' Department to be investigated and corrected.

Proper controls are in place for this process. Once the student information is initially compiled, a random check of students is performed. This includes reviewing the statistical period to make sure it has populated the basic, load/level and withdrawal data. In an effort to address additional scenarios, we will increase our sample size during the random check.

Auditor's Conclusion:

Based on the above, the finding remains as stated.

SECTION IV – STATUS OF PRIOR-YEAR FINDINGS

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings

Finding 2006 - 1

University System of Maryland Federal Student Aid Cluster

CFDA 84.032 Federal Family Education Loans CFDA 84.268 Federal Direct Student Loans

U.S. Department of Education

The University of Maryland University College (UMUC) did not comply with Title IV requirement to return funds within the required time frame for a student that withdrew from classes. The controls in place did not allow them to properly identify all withdrawals that result in the return of Title IV funds.

Condition:

During single audit testing, we noted that one student from a selection of ten withdrew on December 19, 2005 from the fall semester. We calculated that \$1,141 should have been returned to the Title IV program based on the total aid the student received and the days the student earned. As of the date of testing, no funds were remitted to the Title IV program due to this withdrawal. Due to the results of this test, on July 27, 2006 the institution returned the unearned portion to the Title IV program. For UMUC to be in compliance with OMB Circular A-133, the funds should have been returned to the Title IV program within 30 days of the withdrawal date which was January 18, 2006.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

UMUC continues to perform a semi-monthly review and approval process at a supervisory level to ensure that all 'Return of Title IV' calculations are completed within the regulatory time frames. All 'Return of Title IV' funds calculations are being completed within the regulatory limit. Documentation of this is retained by the Office of Financial Aid.

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-2

State Board of Elections
MD Voters & Direct Recording Electronic (DRE) Voting System and Optical
Scan Absentee Voting System

CFDA 90.401 Help America Vote Act

U.S. Department of General Services Administration

The State of Maryland did not comply with the level of effort for State expenditures for activities funded by the requirements payments.

Condition:

We noted that the State did not maintain the level of effort for State expenditures for activities funded by the requirements payment, at a level that was not less than the level of such expenditures of \$1,994,914 in fiscal year ending prior to November 2000. The level of expenditures totaled \$-0- for fiscal year 2006.

Comment:

Resolved by the Federal Government.

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-3

Department of Human Resources (DHR)

CFDA No. 93.563 - Child Support Enforcement

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

DHR did not comply with draw down procedures required in accordance with proper Cash Management of Federal funds.

Condition:

All funds drawn for Child Support are to be drawn based on the Cash Management Improvement Act Agreement. Child Support uses two types of draw methods. The "Drawdowns at Fixed Intervals – Monthly (modified)" method is used for Administrative Costs and Vendor Payments. The "Dollar Weighted Clearance – Modified" method is used for Payroll costs. Both of the aforementioned draw methods have a clearance pattern of one day.

During our review of 25 draws (10 Administrative and 15 Payroll draws) we noted three instances where the draw did not clear in one day as specified by the clearance pattern used. Two instances were for Administrative draws and one instance was for a Payroll draw.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

The Department agrees with the recommendation that controls need to be in place to ensure a one-day clearance for draws.

The Department implemented additional controls and corrective actions to ensure the State Treasurer's Office has ample time to draw funds within the clearance pattern of one day. Since implementation of the controls the Department has been in compliance with draw down procedures in accordance with Cash Management of Federal Funds requirements.

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-4

Department of Human Resources (DHR) CFDA No. 93.563 – Child Support

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

The Child Support Program had weaknesses in internal controls and is noncompliant over record keeping for equipment inventory management.

Condition:

During the Equipment Management testing, we verified that invoices were reviewed and approved for payment. In one instance, the invoice for the purchase could not be located.

We also tested to determine whether equipment items were included in the fiscal inventory count when necessary. Items that are not counted in the inventory count include software and internal parts. We found fourteen instances where one or more pieces of equipment were not included in the inventory count. In twelve of the fourteen instances, we could not verify whether the equipment was recorded in inventory because record of the equipment may or may not have been included on a broken scanner from which DHR was unable to download information.

We also tested to determine whether sensitive items were given asset tag numbers and if serial numbers were recorded. In five instances, equipment was purchased by a local department and no asset tag or serial number was assigned to the equipment in the records at DHR's central office. Asset tags and requests for serial numbers were sent to the local departments after testing was performed. In two instances, we noted the asset tag number was not provided to DHR's central office from the local department. A serial number was not required.

During the Equipment Management testing, we noted one disposal of equipment. Once equipment is removed from a DHR site, it is processed by Maryland Surplus. DHR was unable to provide information as to the status of the disposed equipment and we were unable to verify whether the equipment was purchased with Federal funds, or if the equipment was sold if the Federal government was reimbursed their share of the selling price.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-4 (continued)

Auditors'Comment:

This finding was resolved by the federal government by letter dated October 12, 2007. However, we found continuing problems for fiscal year 2007 and a repeat finding was issued, as Finding 2007-16.

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-5

Department of Human Resources (DHR) CFDA No. 93.563 – Child Support Enforcement U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Inadequate internal controls over child support Inter- and Intra-State cases

Condition:

When a Child Support Enforcement Interstate Case is initiated by the State of Maryland (Maryland), Maryland has 10 days to review the case and notify the responding State of any change or deviation in the case. When a Child Support Enforcement Interstate Case is initiated by another State and Maryland is responding, Maryland has 10 days to review and notify the initiating State of any changes or deviations in the case. During our review, we noted that in 7 out of 13 initiating cases, or 53.85%, the responding State was not notified within the proper time frame of a change in the case.

After a non-custodial parent has been located the Child Support Enforcement division has 90 days to obtain a court order to begin the process of securing a support order, which may or may not include establishing paternity. During our review, we noted that in 1 out of 13 initiating cases, or in 7.69%, that a court order was not obtained to establish paternity within 90 days of locating the non-custodial parent.

When the Child Support Enforcement division is processing an interstate case and Maryland is the initiating state, the division has 20 days to refer the case to the responding state's central registry after determining that the non-custodial parent is located in another state. During our review we noted that in 1 out of 13 initiating cases, or 7.69%, that the case was not referred to the responding state's central registry within 20 days.

This is a repeat finding from FY 2004 and 2005.

Auditors' comments:

This finding was resolved by the federal government by letter dated October 12, 2007. However, we found similar problems existed for fiscal year 2007 and a repeat finding was issued, as Finding 2007-13.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-6

Department of Human Resources (DHR)

CFDA No. 93.563 - Child Support

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

DHR has internal controls weaknesses over payment of invoices.

Condition:

DHR processes invoices in batches. The required documents in a batch are a Transmittal Cover Sheet which lists the total amount being paid in the batch; the detail pages to the transmittal which list all invoices that have been paid in the batch in order of document number, and invoices for all payments included in the batch.

Rent is paid in advance of receiving an invoice. DHR receives "Rent Registration" sheets which list all rents due for the month from the Department of General Services and the invoices are received later. Since the invoices are received after payment has been made, they are filed separately from the batches.

During our review of 25 expenditures, we noted one instance where an invoice for rent was not present.

The approval of an invoice for payment is a multi-step process which involves review by a staff level Accounts Payable employee, the Accounts Payable Supervisor, and the Accounting Manager. All invoices are to be signed individually by an Accounts Payable employee to evidence that they are approved for payment.

During our review of 25 expenditures, we noted three instances where the invoice/supporting documentation did not have the signature of a supervisor to verify that it was reviewed and approved.

The Department does not concur that proper internal controls were not established over the processing of invoice transactions.

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-6 (continued)

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

The Department utilizes a Rent Register to ensure timely payments to landlords, reconciles invoices received with information on the rent register and pursues missing invoices, and works with the Real Estate Division to discuss variances and other real estate issues. The Rent Register is an acceptable alternative to actual invoices and is approved by the State Comptroller's General Accounting Division because it contains all pertinent lease information including the Board of Public Works authorization number and date for which each lease was approved. Landlord invoices received are matched to the rent register to ensure the vendor's mailing address has not changed, however, the amount paid is fixed by the terms of the lease. The AP Manager contacts landlords in an attempt to obtain missing invoices, and works closely with the Department's Director of Real Estate Operations to periodically review the rent register and discuss any upcoming changes, moves, or amendments.

With respect to supervisory review and approval of disbursement documents, the Department utilizes an approval process as described in Maryland Department of Budget and Management Application Systems Management Internal Control and Security Policy and Procedures Manual. Specifically, the person performing document approval, via the system document transmittal screen (Accounts Payable Supervisor), carefully reviews supporting documents for all transactions and initials the transmittal report that contains information on all documents included in the transmittal to evidence this second review. (Persons with security to transmit cannot also initiate and process disbursement transactions.) The review is to ensure that the related goods or services were received, and that the invoice names, addresses and amounts match with the detail on the transmittal report. The transmittal report and original invoices are then reviewed by a third person who is authorized to sign the transmittal cover sheet.

Invoices with a purchase order are processed using a three-way-match: verification between the purchase order specifications, the receiving reports, and the invoice amounts. With these invoices, amounts invoiced above what was procured and received are not allowed by the system. These invoices do not require a separate signature authorization according to the Department of Budget Managements' Internal Control and Security Policy and Procedures.

Auditors' Comment:

We noted improvements occurred during fiscal year 2007, however, a repeat finding was issued as Finding 2007-14.

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-7

Department of Human Resources (DHR) CFDA No. 10.561 – Food Stamps Program

U.S. Department of Agriculture

The Food Stamps Program had weaknesses in internal controls over record keeping for equipment inventory management.

Condition:

The Department of Human Resources could not independently identify 6 items of equipment acquired under the Food Stamps Program. We were unable to trace the selected purchases from the schedule of acquisitions and receiving reports to the bi-annual inventory report.

Comment:

Resolved by the Federal Government.

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-8

Department of Human Resources (DHR) CFDA No. 10.561 – Food Stamps Program

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

The Food Stamps Program had internal control weaknesses over the Financial Reporting Process. Some required reports were filed late.

Condition:

The Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) 46 Issuance Reconciliation Report is a reconciliation report of food stamp benefits actually issued with the State's Master Issuance File. Generally, one FNS-46 covers the entire State if it operates only one issuance (EBT). The Master Issuance contains records on all households eligible to receive benefits. Actual issuances may be recorded in the Record for Issuance (RFI) or an alternative filing system. The RFI is created from the Master Issuance File and discloses the amount of benefits the household is eligible to receive and the actual amount issued. The FNS-46 report is prepared on a monthly basis and is required to be submitted ninety (90) days after the month's end to the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

We reviewed 4 monthly FNS-46 Reports for testing and noted that 4 monthly reports were submitted more than ninety days after the month's end to the U.S. Department of Agriculture. This is a repeat finding.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

The Department concurs with the finding. Based on the auditor's recommendations, procedures for submission of the FNS-46 report are in place to ensure timely submissions. The procedures include preparation of a schedule of FNS-46 report due dates each federal fiscal year and supervisory monitoring of report submissions to ensure reports are submitted on or before the due dates. In fiscal year 2007, all FNS-46 reports were submitted on time.

Auditors' Comment:

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-9

Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation (DLLR) U.S. Department of Labor

CFDA No. 17.258 – WIA Adult Program CFDA No. 17.259 – WIA Youth Activities CFDA No. 17.260 – WIA Dislocated Workers

Internal control weakness related to client file maintenance.

Condition:

Our test of a Local Workforce Investment Board's (LWIB) files disclosed internal control weaknesses in case file documentation. Eleven files we examined were incomplete or did not document employment verification follow-up or case closure.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

The agency concurs with the recommendation to provide technical assistance to all LWIBs in meeting DOL administrative requirements and proper file maintenance. DLLR has provided regular training on the MWE system with a focus on the importance of case notes and follow-up. In addition the Maryland Institute for Workforce Excellence has developed an on-line 9 hour course on case management and follow-up, specifically targeted to meeting federal requirements. DLLR is encouraging all case management staff to take this course.

Also, DLLR has revised its policy directive concerning case file organization, and used this document as the basis for mandatory training for all case managers and programmatic monitors. Workforce Investment Field Instruction (WIFI) No. 12-04 Change 1 informs LWIBs that:

- each local area may continue to use the MWE to record case notes;
- o a printed copy of the case notes must be maintained in the file folders;
- each local area must ensure that they develop a system to organize information contained in participant records;
- o the information must document services provided from point of registration to the point of exit; and

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-9 (continued)

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan (continued):

o each area is expected to use a standardized format for their staff.

On October 30, 2007 DLLR provided training to the local WIA staff on WIA Eligibility, File Maintenance, and Case Management.

The agency concurs with the recommendation to place added emphasis on file maintenance when performing sub recipient monitoring. DLLR has replaced the supervisory staff previously responsible for the programmatic monitoring of the LWIBs. The supervisory staff will ensure that oversight for programmatic monitoring will include emphasis on training, file maintenance, documentation, and reviewing the monitoring visits of the three Regional Representatives.

Auditors' Comment:

Resolution of the finding continues to be in progress with substantial improvement noted, however, a repeat finding was issued as Finding 2007-11.

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-10

Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulations (DLLR) U.S. Department of Labor

CFDA No. 17.207 Employment Services U.S. Department of Labor

Internal Control weakness exists in reporting the earmarking requirements.

Condition:

DLLR Division of Workforce Development did not accurately report the ten percent earmark for Employment Services due to an error in typing the data in the remarks section of the SESA Financial Status Report -Std. Form 269 (SF 269). The amount reported was overstated by \$9,601,696, and the error was not caught and corrected by DLLR.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

Managers in the Department have reviewed the reporting of earmarking in each successive quarter after the typographical error was made. Earmarking was reported correctly in each case and a copy was provided to the auditors.

In addition DLLR has requested the Department of Labor to correct the electronic filing form to prevent similar mathematical errors from occurring in the future. A copy of this request has been provided to the auditors.

Auditors' Comment:

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-11

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) Maternal and Child Health Program

CFDA No. 93.994

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Compliance and Internal Control Weakness over Subrecipient Monitoring.

Condition:

We reviewed twenty-five (25) files that contain documents related to the award of Federal funds by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) to local health departments (LHDs) and private vendors ("subrecipients") to obtain reasonable assurance that site visits to evaluate financial and programmatic records were conducted in accordance with the General Requirements of OMB Circular A-133 and the conditions of grant award imposed by DHMH. Although DHMH asserts that site visits were conducted for all local health departments in fiscal year 2006, we found that three (3) out of the 25 files reviewed contained no evidence of such visits or other means of assuring that the local health departments are complying with laws, regulations and provisions of their agreements with DHMH.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Updated)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged.

The Department previous response indicated that the Department concurs with the finding but does not concur with the recommendation. The Department points out that one of the criteria cited does not cover the federal grant award under review. The criteria states, in part, "In addition, DHMH through its Human Services Agreements-Conditions of Grant Awards document imposes a general condition...which states 'jurisdictions family planning programs must comply with the Family Planning Clinical and Administrative Site Review Process, including self reviews and on-site state reviews." For the record, it should be noted that the audit was conducted on the Maternal and Child Health Title V federal grant award, not on the Family Planning Title X federal grant award.

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-11 (continued)

Because the three grants referred to in the audit exception were not family planning grants, they were not subject to "site visits" as required in the Conditions of Award referenced in the finding. These grants are subject to a condition requiring participation in conferences with grant monitors to review mid-year progress and identify areas for "technical assistance and consultation" (Condition 5 in the Center for Maternal and Child Health section of the Conditions of Award). These conferences may or may not be conducted on-site. These three grants were also subject to conditions requiring mid-year and end-of –year progress reports in addition to the year-end budget reconciliation submitted on the DHMH 440 (Conditions 3 and 4 in the Conditions of Award).

While grant files for the three grants Anne Arundel Co. (Improved Pregnancy Outcome), Baltimore City (Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention), and Prince George's Co. (High Risk Infant)) did not contain documentation of site visit reports or other documentation of grant monitoring activities as required by OMB Circular A-133 General Requirement, Part 3, subpart M, this was a failure to retain documentation rather than a lack of monitoring. The program agrees that documentation should be consistently maintained for all monitoring activities, which are carried out consistent with the grant requirements, OMB Circular A-133 General Requirement, Part 3, subpart M, and the DHMH Human Services Agreements Conditions of Award.

Auditors' Comment:

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-12

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene Medical Assistance Program Medicaid Cluster

CFDA No. 93.778 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

DHMH has not complied with the State Agency standards of receiving a risk assessment report once every two years.

Condition:

DHMH has established and maintains a program for conducting periodic risk analysis to ensure appropriate, cost effective safeguards are incorporated into the MMIS-II application. As part of the analysis, DHMH received a review of the ADP system security supporting the application. The contractor was responsible for preparing the most recent MMIS-II Risk Assessment Report. The report was issued on September 8, 2003 covering the period January 8, 2003 through August 15, 2003. This is a repeat finding.

The risk analysis identified sixty-seven (67) vulnerabilities covering twenty (20) vulnerability areas. The fifty (50) most severe vulnerabilities were noted in the report. The contractor recommended that these vulnerabilities be corrected to avoid losses to the MMIS-II assets and resources. CG also recommended twenty –two (22) safeguards which, if implemented, would substantially reduce the losses to DHMH if these threats occurred or would prevent the threats from occurring all together.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged. The previous response indicated that the Medical Care Programs Administration has complied with the State Agency Standard of receiving a Risk Assessment. The Risk Assessment was completed on April 4, 2007.

Auditors' Comment:

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-13

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) Medical Assistance Program (Medicaid Cluster) CFDA No. 93.778 – Medical Assistance Program

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene received and credited Federal funds earlier than the required clearance pattern of 8 days from the date of disbursement.

Condition:

We noted that DHMH did not comply with the Clearance Pattern for Provider payments (Non-Voucher only, not processed through the Maryland Medicaid Information System (MMIS). Every Tuesday, the Division of General Accounting prepares a Letter of Credit to request Federal funds for expenditures paid during the Monday through Friday of the previous week based on a Memorandum submitted by the Office of Planning and Finance Budget, Accounting and Revenue Division. We noted on Letter of Credit for Week 48, dated May 30, 2006, which represented expenditures made the week of May 22 through May 26, 2006, that Provider payments (Non-Voucher only, not processed through MMIS), DHMH requested Federal funds that were deposited on June 6, 2006 which represents the seventh (7th) day after expenditures. The Division of General Accounting uses the date of the memorandum as the expenditure date and starts counting for clearance pattern purposes, the next day. The clearance pattern for reimbursements not processed in MMIS is eight (8) days. Therefore, DHMH was not entitled to receive funds until June 7, 2006.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged.

The previous response indicated that the prior period adjustment of \$17.00 was included in the fiscal year 2007 Cash Management Improvement Act report due to the Treasurer in October 2007.

Auditors' Comment:

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-14

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

Medicaid Assistance Program Medicaid Cluster CFDA No. 93.778

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control weakness over the eligibility determination process for medical assistance.

Condition:

On July 1, 1985 the Maryland State Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) entered into an agreement with the Maryland State Department of Human Resources (DHR). DHR agreed to determine eligibility for Medical Assistance on a uniform basis throughout the State for persons who are indigent or medically indigent according to regulations, guidelines and procedures established by DHMH.

The DHR Local Department of Social Service (LDSS) offices did not obtain the necessary documentation and perform the necessary verifications of income and resources to support eligibility determinations for medical assistance benefit payments.

We selected a total of 65 Medical Assistance claims to review the files for eligibility determination. We tested 13 files from each of the following five Maryland Jurisdictions: Baltimore City, Baltimore County, Howard County, Prince Georges County and Anne Arundel County. The test was composed of a selection of 8 newly established recipients and 5 existing recipients.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Department has implemented the corrective action plan described in the Department's original corrective action plan. DHR has confirmed that the case errors have been corrected. Furthermore, on an ongoing basis, DHMH's Office of Eligibility Services discusses persistent case errors at the joint DHMH/DHR quarterly meetings for local office supervisors.

Auditors' Comment:

A repeat finding was issued for fiscal year 2007 as Finding 2007-2.

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-15

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) Medical Assistance Program (Medicaid Cluster)

CFDA No. 93.778

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Compliance and Internal Control Weakness over Procurement Requirements and Suspension and Debarment Certifications Missing.

Condition:

We reviewed 25 contract files between the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and the contractor/subrecipient to ensure that the suspended and debarred certifications were obtained in accordance with the provisions of OMB Circular A-102 and other procurement requirements specific to an award. We noted that 13 out of the 25 contracts made to contractors/subrecipients did not have suspension and debarment certifications verifying that the entity is not suspended or debarred.

We also noted that 23 of the 25 contracts reviewed did not contain evidence of a Contract Fulfillment Team as specified in DHMH's Procurement Policy.

Comment:

Resolved by the Federal Government.

Auditors' Comment:

A repeat finding was issued for fiscal year 2007 as Finding 2007-6.

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-16

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) Maternal and Child Health Program

CFDA No. 93.994

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Compliance and Internal Control Weakness over Procurement, Suspension and Debarment.

Condition:

We reviewed twenty-five (25) files that contain documents related to the award of Federal funds by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) to Local Health Departments (LHDs) and private vendors ("subrecipients") to determine whether suspension and debarment certifications were obtained in accordance with the provisions of OMB Circular A-102 and A-133 and other procurement requirements. We noted that four (4) out of the 25 awards made to subrecipients did not have suspension and debarment certifications verifying that the entity is not suspended or debarred.

Comment:

Resolved by the Federal Government.

Auditors' Comment:

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-17

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) State Children's Insurance Program (SCHIP)

CFDA No. 93.767

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control weakness related to sub-recipient monitoring. DHMH did not adhere to the policy requiring each Local Health Departments to submit annual reports timely in order to qualify for SCHIP funding.

Condition:

The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene's (DHMH) Office of Operations and Eligibility and Pharmacy Beneficiary Services Administration (Office of Operations) requires that each Local Health Departments (LHD) submit annual statistical reports by August 31st of each year. This requirement is contained in the Office of Operations Instructions for Preparing Narrative and Budget Maryland Children's Health Program Eligibility Determinations (Instructions) document. We noted that DHMH failed to recognize that three of the twelve LHD's reviewed did not comply with this requirement.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged. The Department's previous response indicated that the Department concurs. Some of the annual reports are late due to staffing difficulties at the Local Health Departments (LHD). DHMH staff works closely with the LHDs to assist them in completing the reports; however, some jurisdictions require closer monitoring and technical assistance. The Department will procure the reports more timely in the future.

Auditors' Comment:

A repeat finding was issued in fiscal year 2007 as Finding 2007-5.

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-18

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP)

CFDA No. 93,767

US Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control weakness over the eligibility determination.

Condition:

The Maryland State Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) entered into an agreement with the Maryland State Department of Human Resources (DHR). DHR agreed to determine eligibility for SCHIP on a uniform basis throughout the State for persons who are ineligible for Medicaid or not covered under a group health plan or health insurance coverage according to regulations, guidelines and procedures established by DHMH.

The DHR Local Health Departments (LHD) offices did not obtain the necessary documentation and perform the necessary verifications of income and resources to support eligibility determinations for SCHIP.

We selected a total of 65 SCHIP claims to review the files for eligibility determination. We tested 13 files from each of the following five Maryland Jurisdictions: Baltimore City, Baltimore County, Howard County, Prince Georges County and Anne Arundel County. The test was composed of a selection of 8 newly established recipients and 5 existing recipients.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Department has implemented the corrective action plan described in the Department's original corrective action plan. Local Health Department's have confirmed that the case errors have been corrected. Furthermore, on an ongoing basis, DHMH's Office of Eligibility Services discusses persistent case errors at the joint Maryland Children's Health Insurance Program quarterly meetings for local office supervisors.

Auditors' Comment:

A repeat finding was issued fiscal year 2007 as Finding 2007-3.

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-19

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene CFDA No. 93.283 – Center for Disease Control and Prevention: Investigations and Technical Assistance

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control weaknesses and non-compliance over the National Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Detection Program earmarking requirement.

Condition:

We noted that the calculations performed by individuals with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) related to the earmarking requirements for the National Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Detection Program (NBCCEDP) were based on estimated expenditures for screening, non-screening and administration.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged. The Department's previous response indicated that the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) concurs with the recommendation, and to address the earmarking requirement, DHMH implemented the use of time studies to track actual expenditures at the local level at the beginning of state fiscal year 2007 (July 1, 2006). Local Breast and Cervical Cancer Program (BCCP) staff were trained on how to complete time studies in May 2006. The time studies are sent to DHMH quarterly. Quarterly reports for the period ending September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2006 were used to verify that actual expenditures charged to the NBCCEDP cooperative agreement are in compliance with the earmarking requirements under Center for Disease Control and Prevention Program Announcement 02060. Based on the first and second quarter times studies from Fiscal Year 2007, the BCCP is in compliance with the earmarking requirements under CDC Program Announcement 02060. Monitoring of the earmarking requirements occurs on a quarterly basis.

Auditors' Comment:

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-20

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

CFDA No. 93.283 – Center for Disease Control and Prevention: Investigations and Technical Assistance

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control weaknesses and non-compliance over the Financial Status Reporting process.

Condition:

DHMH is required to submit an annual Financial Status Report (FSR) ninety days after the end of the budget period for the CDC Grant , Bioterrorism Program. The FSR was due November 30, 2006, however, the report was not filed timely and has not been filed through end of fieldwork. We also noted that DHMH's documentation was not in agreement with expenditures reported on the FSR and a reconciliation needs to take place.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

DHMH filed the Financial Status Report for fiscal year 2007 timely. The Department will review policies and procedures and take appropriate action in the future to ensure accurate preparation and timely submission of required reports.

Auditors' Comment:

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-21

Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) CFDA 14.871 Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

The Department of Housing and Community Development did not have adequate documentation to support purging two individuals from the wait list.

Condition:

During single audit testing SBC noted that two individuals purged from the wait list had no supporting documentation that they had returned mail or that notices were sent to determine why a pre-application had not been submitted to DHCD upon request.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

DHCD implemented changes to Chapter 4 of the Administrative Plan for the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program to require the retention of file copies of letters requesting the applicant to confirm their interest in participating in the program as well as letters advising the applicant of their removal from the waiting list sent if the applicant fails to respond to the initial letter. These copies are now maintained in the applicant file. Retention of copies of the original envelope and letter returned by the Post Office was previously included in the policy and applicant file. No correspondence has been received from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development regarding this finding.

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-22

Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) CFDA 93.569 Community Services Block Grant

U.S. Department of Heath and Human Services

DHCD did not perform full subrecipient monitoring for all subrecipients receiving funds under the Community Services Block Grant.

Condition:

During single audit testing SBC noted that one subrecipient selected for testing did not have a full subrecipient monitoring report in the file that was performed within a three year period as required.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

DHCD performed an analysis of the expiration of the three year period for onsite reviews and implemented a monitoring schedule to ensure the timeliness of the reviews for the Community Services Block Grant program (CSBG). During the January 2005 through December 2007 period, DHCD completed a full onsite review of each of the 18 Community Action Agencies. A new cycle of reviews began in January 2008, with six monitoring visits scheduled at agencies last reviewed in calendar year 2005. Approximately six reviews are scheduled for each year, these will continue on a rolling basis in accordance with review expirations, in order to maintain compliance with requirements for CSBG program monitoring. Additional staff resources have also been allocated to support CSBG monitoring activities. No correspondence has been received from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) regarding this finding. However, on July 3, 2007 HHS notified DHCD of its finding that the State of Maryland is in compliance with Federal statutory assurances in the implementation and operations of the CSBG Program as a result of the State Assessment conducted by HHS during the period December 12, 2006 to January 17, 2007.

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-23

Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) CFDA No. 84.287 – 21ST Century Community Learning Centers

U. S. Department of Education

MSDE has internal controls weaknesses over verifying the eligibility of Local Education Agencies (LEA)

Condition:

Determining the eligibility of an LEA involves the approval of the LEA's proposed budget by an LEA's Superintendent or Designee, an LEA's Finance Officer and an MSDE Program Officer. During our review of 25 LEAs, we noted 4 instances, or 16% of the LEAs, where the approval of the LEA's Superintendent or Designee was missing from the proposed budget.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

The corrective action reported in our last update has been implemented.

Since the above finding, MSDE has met with all grantees to remind them of dual signatures needed on all C-125 forms. Any forms received without dual signatures, have been returned to grantees for proper signatures. Forms submitted with signatures but lacking signatures of proper authority have been returned.

Auditors' Comment:

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-24

Morgan State University

Student Financial Aid Cluster

CFDA No. 84.063 – Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL)

CFDA No. 84.268 – Federal Direct Student Loans (FDLP)

CFDA No. 84.038 - Federal Perkins Loans (FPL)

CFDA No. 84.007 - Federal Supplemental Educations Opportunity Grants (FSEOG)

U.S. Department of Education

Morgan State University lacks adequate documentation for return of Title IV funds.

Condition:

Morgan State University's (Morgan) methodology used to satisfy the requirement of returning unearned Title IV funds to the Department of Education (ED) when students withdraw from the institution, is not properly documented to provide required audit trails.

We reviewed the refund calculation for 25 students and found that although, the Title IV funds were not physically returned, they were netted using a methodology of reducing Morgan's request/drawdown by 10% to offset the physical return of Title IV funds.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008, Update)

With the newly implemented administrative management system (Banner), the University utilizes the netting of disbursements and withdrawals to manage return of Title IV funds. As a result of this system enhancement, return of Title IV funds are done within the required time frame. Documentation is available for audit verification.

Auditors' Comment:

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-25

Morgan State University

Student Financial Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.268 – Federal Direct Student Loans (FDLP)

U.S. Department of Education

Morgan State University did not perform reconciliations for loan disbursements for the Direct Loan program.

Condition:

Morgan does not perform monthly reconciliations of the Direct Loan Student Account Statement to the institution's financial records. We noted that the Financial Aid department is not performing monthly reconciliations as required by the ED with the School Account Statement (SAS). Each month Morgan receives from the Common Origination and Disbursement (COD) a Student Account Data File consisting of a Cash Summary, Cash Detail and Loan Detail Records. Morgan must report all loan disbursements to the Direct Loan Servicing Center via COD within 30 days of the disbursement. This is a repeat finding.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

The University has always and will always continue to reconcile the Direct Loan Disbursements with the Dept of Education. The documentation is held on file with the Quality Control Supervisor. Disbursements (reductions and increases) are transmitted twice weekly and a monthly reconciliation is completed.

Auditors' Comment:

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-26

Student Financial Aid cluster
CFDA No. 84.038 Federal Perkins Loans (FPL)
CFDA No. 84.033 Federal Work Study Program (FWS)
CFDA No. 84.007 Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants (FSEOG)
U.S. Department of Education (DED)

Morgan State University lacks effective internal controls over reconciliation of the FISAP with the general ledger.

Condition:

Institutions are required to submit the Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participate (FISAP) by September 30, 2006 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. The Fiscal Operations Report is used to report the institution's student financial aid expenditures in the previous award year and the Application to Participate is used to apply for funds for the next award year. OMB Circular A-133 requires that certain key line items be tested and traced to the institution's financial records.

We noted inconsistencies in the data between the FISAP and Morgan's general ledger or other supporting records for the FPL, FSEOG and FWS as follows:

- 1. Cash on hand and in depository as of June 30, 2006 did not agree to the accounting records for the Perkins Loan.
- 2. Tuition and Fees for undergraduates and graduate/professionals did not agree to the audited financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2006.
- 3. Direct Loans advanced to Students did not agree to supporting documents.

We found that the reported amounts for the aforementioned items were preliminary numbers obtained prior to completion of the financial statement audit. However, the FISAP was not revised for the final audited statement amounts upon completion of the audit. This action would be necessary to ensure that the FISAP was accurately reported and in agreement with the audited financial statements.

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2006-26 (Continued)

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

The University did send revised FISAP reports for fiscal years 2006 and 2007. The amounts in question do reconcile between the Department of Education's records and the University. The University has now implemented a process to reconcile the FISAP and its financial statement on a timely basis.

Auditors' Comment:

This finding was not repeated in fiscal year 2007. However a FISAP finding was issued in fiscal year 2007 as Finding 2007-10.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-1

Morgan State University

CFDA No. 43.001 NASA - Aerospace Education Services Program

Morgan State University lacks internal controls over record keeping for inventory management of equipment.

Condition:

Morgan State University (Morgan) did not independently identify equipment acquired under NASA award during the current year. As a result, we were unable to trace selected purchases to the property records. We were also unable to determine if there were any dispositions of equipment due to lack of identification of equipment.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

This finding has been satisfactorily addressed.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-2

Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE)

CFDA No 84.027 Special Education – Grant to States (IDEA, Part B)

Internal control weakness exists over monitoring earmarking compliance requirements.

Condition:

We applied the Federal Awards allocation table to calculate the limit to which Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) may use program funds for administration cost and compared this figure to the amount charged to the program for administration as reflected on MSDE's general ledger. We noted that MSDE charged the program actual administration costs in excess of the amount allocated in the Federal award notice. MSDE expended \$3,477,654 for administration cost; whereas, the limit allowed per the Federal allocation table was \$3,222,132, resulting in excess administration expenses of \$255,522.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

The corrective action response in our last update has been implemented.

As reported earlier, for fiscal Year 2005 MSDE determined that non-administrative costs were inaccurately charged to this fund. These costs were reclassified thereby bringing MSDE into compliance for fiscal Year 2005. For fiscal Year 2006, Administrative expenses were \$226,840 under the federal threshold. For fiscal Year 2007, an additional fund code was established to solely record Administrative expenses for IDEA Part B. This coding allows more timely and accurate tracking of Administrative expenses. Finally, for fiscal Year 2007 Administrative expenses were \$310,197 under the federal threshold.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-3

Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE)

CFDA No 84.120 - Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation

MSDE should comply with eligibility determination requirements on a consistent basis.

Condition:

We noted that Rehabilitation Services failed to properly determine whether individuals were eligible for Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) services within a time frame less than or equal to 60 days after an individual submits an application as required by 29 United States Code (USC) 722. We found that services were provided outside the required timeframe in 7 of 25 closed case files selected for testing. The time frames for the 7 case files ranged from 65 days to 120 days.

We also noted that 2 of the case files did not have supervisor signatures as a sign off.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

The corrective action reported in our last update has been implemented.

Division of Rehabilitation Services (DORS) agency management continues to closely monitor case flow by all staff and is ensuring that eligibility decisions are in compliance with OMB Circular A-133 and 29 USC Sec. 722. Local managers are reviewing each month's Activity Due Report to ensure that eligibility decisions are made within established timeframes. As a result of this closer monitoring the average number of days to make an eligibility decision is down to 38 days statewide. It continues to be an agenda item at monthly Field Services Senior Management meetings.

Counselors that fail to demonstrate an ability to make decisions in a timely manner are receiving a deficiency rating on their agency performance evaluations. Local and regional managers must also demonstrate an ability to ensure that staff are making timely eligibility decisions. The

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-3 (continued)

supervisors and regional managers whose staff do not demonstrate timely decisions are also receiving deficiency ratings.

Central Office staff are monitoring Activity Due Reports for the State and address specific areas of concern with the regional managers. The Agency is regularly conducting district case reviews in order to determine that staff are improving in their ability to meet the standard. This has been evident in the improvement in rating in this area on the reviews.

During DORS's 2007 107 compliance review by the US Department of Education (USDOE), Rehabilitation Services Administration, USDOE accepted this finding and DORS was not cited for being non-compliant with the 60 day eligibility requirement.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-5

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) Medicaid Assistance Program Medicaid Cluster

State Children's Health Insurance Program CFDA 93.778 and 93.767

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Internal control weakness over the eligibility determination process for medical assistance benefits.

Condition:

On July 1, 1985 the Maryland State Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) entered into an agreement with the Maryland State Department of Human Resources (DHR). DHR agreed to determine eligibility for Medical Assistance on a uniform basis throughout the State for persons who are indigent or medically indigent according to regulations, guidelines and procedures established by DHMH.

The DHR Local Department of Social Service (LDSS) offices did not obtain the necessary documentation and perform the necessary verifications of income and resources to support eligibility determinations for medical assistance benefit payments.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged.

As a result of communications in August, 2005 between the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) Secretary McCann and the Department of Human Resources (DHR) Secretary McCabe apprising DHR of the issues relating to the audit finding, DHMH was provided corrective actions to all the cases cited. In addition, Secretary McCann sent a letter to Secretary

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-5 (continued)

McCabe on May 5, 2006, identifying the continuing problems and requesting the establishment of an inter-agency workgroup. On May 15, 2006, the Executive Director from the Office of Operations, Eligibility and Pharmacy (DHMH) and the Executive Director from the Family Investment Administration (DHR) met to discuss these issues. On July 7, 2006, the Executive Directors of both agencies and several staff met to review and resolve ongoing issues relating to eligibility.

Both agencies have also worked individually to correct some of these issues. DHMH staff has begun working on the missing Social Security numbers and has notified individual district offices for them to take action. DHMH and DHR both continue to provide training to new and existing workers emphasizing the need to obtain required documentation and verification.

Furthermore, as a result of the interagency workgroup meetings, DHR and DHMH developed a methodology of extracting missing Social Security Numbers from Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) and then distributing them to the Local Department of socials Services and Local Health Departments for processing on Client Automated Resource Eligibility System (CARES).

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-6

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

CFDA No. 93.767 – State Children's Insurance Program (SCHIP)

Internal control weakness over the Financial Reporting Process

Condition:

The CMS-21 (Quarterly SCHIP Statement of Expenditures for Title XXI) form is a sub-report within the overall CMS-64 (Quarterly Medicaid Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistant Program) quarterly report. The Junior Level staff at the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) receives all vendor invoices for goods ordered and services rendered. The supervisor is responsible for reviewing the junior level staffs' work relative to CMS-64 reports. The reports are forwarded to upper level management review for completeness and accuracy. After upper level management reviews the reports, a final oversight review is performed by the Director, who also signs the report.

We selected two quarters of the CMS-21 Base Reports for testing and noted that there was no signature of a final oversight review and approval prior to submission to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS).

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

We agree with the finding. The reports referenced above display sign off by the Director as well as by senior management for fiscal year 2006 submissions. Fiscal year 2007, however, senior management signoff was not obtained for all quarterly submissions. In the future, DHMH's Office of Finance will ensure compliance with established procedures.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-7

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

CFDA No. 93.778 – Medical Assistance Program – Medicaid Cluster

The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene did not maintain audit evidence verifying that subrecipients were not suspended or debarred.

Condition:

We reviewed 22 contract files between the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and the contractor/subrecipient to ensure that the suspended and debarred certifications were obtained in accordance with the provisions of OMB Circular A-102 and other procurement requirements specific to an award. We noted that 4 out of the 22 contracts made to subrecipients did not have suspension and debarment certifications verifying that the entity is not suspended or debarred. This is a repeat finding.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

In April, 2007 the Office has updated the internal checklist. The information needed to insure that the debarment and suspension form is included in each contract folder. The Office is complying with the auditors' recommendations.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-8

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

CFDA No. 93.778 – Medical Assistance Program

DHMH has not complied with the State agency standards of receiving a risk assessment report once every two years

Condition:

DHMH has established and maintains a program for conducting periodic risk analyses to ensure appropriate, cost effective safeguards are incorporated into the MMIS-II application. As part of the analysis, DHMH received a review of the ADP system security supporting the application. An independent accounting firm was responsible for preparing the most recent MMIS-II Risk Assessment Report. The report was issued on September 8, 2003 covering the period January 8, 2003 through August 15, 2003.

The risk analysis identified 67 vulnerabilities covering 20 vulnerability areas. The 50 most severe vulnerabilities were noted in the report. The accounting firm recommended that these vulnerabilities be corrected to avoid losses to the MMIS-II assets and resources. The accounting firm also recommended twenty-two (22) safeguards which, if implemented, would substantially reduce the losses to DHMH if these threats occurred or would prevent the threats from occurring altogether.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Medical Care Program Administration has complied with the State Agency Standard of receiving a Risk Assessment. The Risk Assessment was completed on April 4, 2007.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-9

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

CFDA No. 93.778 – Medical Assistance Program Cluster

DHMH did not adequately monitor the contract for inpatient and outpatient bill audits to ensure that the contractor was in compliance with the contract requirements.

Condition:

On July 1, 2000, the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) entered into a contract with Integrated Healthcare Auditing Services, Inc. (IHAS) to perform audits of inpatient bills. The contract required that IHAS review a minimum of 25% of the inpatient bills submitted to them by DHMH. DHMH did not monitor and evaluate the performance of IHAS to ensure that they conducted audits covering at least 25% of the inpatient bills. During our review, we noted that of the 7,529 inpatient bills, IHAS completed only 1,344 or 18% of the inpatient bills.

On May 1, 2003, the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) entered into a contract with Integrated Healthcare Auditing Services, Inc. (IHAS) to perform audits of outpatient bills. The contract required that IHAS review a minimum of 50% of the outpatient bills submitted to them by DHMH. DHMH did not monitor and evaluate the performance of IHAS to ensure that they conducted audits covering at least 50% of the inpatient bills. During our review, we noted that of the 6,530 outpatient bills, IHAS completed only 1,933 or 30% of the inpatient bills. This is a repeat finding.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged.

The previous response indicated that although DHMH agrees with the auditor's Finding that the contractor did not meet the contract requirements for the inpatient and outpatient bills submitted by DHMH, the agency does not agree that the Department inadequately monitored the contracts.

STATE OF MARYLAND Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-9 (continued)

As specified in the 2004 audit response, the Department realized that the 25 percent and 50 percent requirements, respectively, were not reasonable standards because the financial return is not significant enough for a contractor to earn an adequate contingency fee. Consequently, we modified the contract requirements to more reasonable standards.

Effective August 1, 2005, the contract for inpatient bills requires the contractor to audit 100 percent of the bills identified through a special selection criteria. The modification changes the selection of targeted bills from a random 25 percent of each tape to 100 percent of those bills identified as having potential savings. The Department approves the selection criteria and receives a monthly summary of the auditor's Findings.

Effective December 1, 2005, the contract for outpatient bills requires the contractor to audit 100 percent of the bills identified through a special selection criteria. The modification changes the selection of targeted bills from a random 50 percent of each tape to 100 percent of those bills identified as having potential savings. The Department approves the selection criteria and receives a monthly summary of the auditor's Findings.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-10

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

CFDA No. 93.778 – Medical Assistance Program Cluster

Internal control weakness over the Financial Reporting Process

Condition:

The PMS 272 Federal Cash Transaction Report is a report of all drawdowns posted to the Payment Management Systems (PMS), which is maintained by the Division of Payment Management (DPM). The PMS 272 report is prepared quarterly and is required to be submitted forty-five (45) days after the end of the quarter.

We selected two PMS 272 Quarterly Cash Transactions Reports for testing and noted that the 2nd quarter report was not submitted forty five (45) days after the end of the quarter to the Payment Management System.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged.

The previous response indicated that the Department agrees that the 2nd quarter Payment Management Systems (PMS) 272 report was filed three days late. The Department has developed a report which will facilitate the timely filing of the PMS 272 report.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-11

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

CFDA No. 93.778 – Medical Assistance Program

Internal control weakness over the Schedule G Reconciliation process.

Condition:

State agencies are required to prepare Schedule G and G-1, if applicable, for all federal assistance received. Data on the schedule G must agree with program level data shown in R*STARS for federal funds, and the reconciling items must be disclosed and fully explained on the form. We noted that DHMH recorded more expenditures on the Centers for Medicare and Medical Services (CMS) 64 report than was recorded on R*STARS and Schedule G. The CMS 64 is the vehicle used by DHMH to report expenditures to the Federal Government. After all known reconciling items were applied, DHMH still had an unreconciled difference of \$1,629,471 between the CMS 64 and Schedule G expenditures.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

Due to significant turnover in personnel, we did not have the resources to perform a reconciliation of CMS 64 to the Schedule G at June 30, 2007.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-12

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) Medicaid Cluster

CFDA No. 93.778 - Medical Assistance Program

The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene needs to improve the internal controls over procedures to determine quarterly if uncashed or cancelled checks are credited to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services in Accordance with Federal regulations.

Condition:

On a quarterly basis, the Maryland State Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) is required to identify and refund all Federal Financial Participation (FFP) claimed and received for checks which remained uncashed or cancelled beyond a period of 180 days after issuance. In fiscal year 2005, the FFP portion of escheated warrants was credited on the CMS 64 quarterly reports and as of the audit date, DHMH was current with refunding FFP for all uncashed or cancelled checks. However, the amounts credited through the Maryland Medicaid Information System (MMIS II) represented refund of FFP for fiscal years 1997 through December 2003. Therefore, the refunded FFP associated with escheated warrants was not in agreement with what was processed and reflected on MMIS II reports.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene has made timely, quarterly adjustments in fiscal year 2007 and is reconciled and current with regard to the return of appropriate Federal Financial Participation.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-13

Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation (DLLR)

CFDA No. 17.258 – WIA Adult Program CFDA No. 17.260 – WIA Dislocated Workers CFDA No. 17.259 – WIA Youth Activities

Internal control weakness related to client file maintenance.

Condition:

We noted that our test of a Local Workforce Investment Board's (LWIB) subrecipient disclosed internal control weaknesses in case management file documentation. Out of 14 files selected for testing for a LWIB, we found 7 files that had missing documentation for case management, intensive services, and core services received.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

The agency concurs with the recommendation. DLLR's case management documentation is maintained in both participant folders and the Maryland Workforce Exchange (MWE), a state-of-the-art case management system. The MWE was created to improve access to case management records and documentation for services received.

DLLR's two Regional Representatives conduct annual programmatic and eligibility monitoring. DLLR has revised the scope of the annual monitoring reviews, increasing the focus on file maintenance and documentation. Additional questions regarding Individual Employment Plan (IEP) development, case management, and follow-up documentation have been added to the monitoring questionnaires. Programmatic monitoring, for all Local Workforce Investment Boards (LWIBs) has been performed for Fiscal Years 2005, 2006 and 2007.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-13 (continued)

The agency concurs with the recommendation to provide training to case managers to instruct them in proper file maintenance procedures. DLLR has provided training to local case managers on the use of the

MWE to document both case management and follow-up services. In addition the Maryland Institute for Workforce Excellence has developed an on-line 9 hour course on case management and follow-up, specifically targeted to meeting federal requirements. DLLR is encouraging all case managers take the course, and receive a certificate upon completion.

Also, DLLR has revised its policy directive concerning case file organization. Workforce Investment Field Instruction (WIFI) No.12-04 Change 1 informs LWIBs that:

- each local area may continue to use the MWE to record case notes,
 however, a printed copy of the case notes must be maintained in the file folders,
- o each local area must ensure that they develop a system to organize information contained in participant records,
- o the information must document services provided from the point of registration to the point of exit,
- o each area is expected to use a standardized format for file maintenance for their staff.

The policy directive, WIFI 12-04, Change 1 will be the basis for the mandatory training that DLLR is planning for all case managers and programmatic monitors.

On October 30, 2007 DLLR provided training to the local Workforce Investment Act (WIA) staff on WIA Eligibility, File Maintenance, and Case Management.

The auditors recommended DLLR implement supervisory review to monitor adherence to stipulated procedures. The agency concurs with the recommendation. The Division of Workforce Development (DWD) has restructured the Office of Field Operations, replacing the supervisory staff responsible for the oversight of the programmatic monitors. The new DWD Director of Field Operation and One-Stop Manager are using their programmatic experience to place emphasis on training and reviewing the monitoring visits of the three Regional Representatives.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-14

Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation (DLLR) CFDA No. 17.258 – WIA Adult Program

CFDA No. 17.260 – WIA Dislocated Workers

CFDA No. 17.259 - WIA Youth Activities

Internal control weakness related to subrecipient monitoring

Condition:

We noted that the Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation (DLLR) failed to properly monitor and follow up on findings for one of the local Workforce Investment Board's (LWIB). DLLR informed us that a LWIB had been placed on probation for problems noted during fiscal year ended June 30, 2005. Upon review of the special report prepared by the State Legislative auditors, it has been determined that DLLR had not conducted an evaluation of this LWIB in fiscal years 2001 and 2004 and that the prior evaluation in fiscal year 1999 disclosed significant problems at the LWIB requiring follow up, namely; questioned costs for expenditures and lack of supporting documentation. We noted as well, that problems occurred for this LWIB in fiscal year 2004 to include; (1) excess funding overpayment due back to DLLR, (2) failure to complete the fiscal year 2004 audit report and (3) questionable fiscal integrity observed. These problems were not resolved during fiscal year 2005.

Comments:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

The agency concurs with the recommendation to conduct annual monitoring reviews in accordance with Federal requirements. DLLR has replaced the supervisory staff previously responsible for overseeing monitoring of the LWIBs. DLLR has hired three fiscal monitors to complete the required on-site monitoring in a timely manner. As required, DLLR has completed the annual on-site monitoring for all of the LWIBs for Fiscal Years 2005 and 2006, and 2007.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-14 (continued)

The agency concurs with the recommendation to provide timely follow up to ensure that the LWIB's compliance with corrective actions has been satisfied.. DLLR has ensured that the LWIB is in compliance with all of the required corrective actions. Specifically,

- 1. DLLR has severed all ties to the now defunct Prince George's Workforce Service's Corporation. DLLR has designated the County as the grant recipient and Economic Development Corporation of the County as the new administrative entity for the Federal Workforce Investment Act funds. These steps will ensure County government oversight on all financial transactions.
- 2. DLLR, in conjunction with the United States Department of Labor, has worked with County officials to establish administrative control in accordance with Federal requirements and generally accepted accounting procedures (GAAP).
- 3. Prince George's County has issued a letter accepting responsibility for the reimbursement for all legitimate expenses incurred by Prince George's Workforce Service's Corporation. DLLR has successfully pursued the full recovery of all funds owed to the State by the LWIB.
- 4. The Fiscal Year 2004 Single Audit for the LWIB was received by DLLR on April 12, 2006. Prince George's County reimbursed DLLR for \$876,036 in disallowed costs. These funds have been returned to the Department of Labor.
- 5. The LWIB's audit reports for FY 2005 and FY 2006 have been completed and received by DLLR.

DLLR has implemented comprehensive quarterly follow-up reports with all of the LWIBs to insure compliance with all corrective action requirements.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-16

Department of Human Resources (DHR) CFDA No. 93.563 – Child Support Enforcement

Inadequate internal controls over child support Inter-and Intra-State cases

Condition:

To be considered eligible to receive Child Support services, a filer must complete an application. The filer is also required to pay an application fee unless she/he is referred by another State support program, such as the Temporary Cash Assistance program. In 1 of the 13 Initiating Cases, there was no application in the case file.

When a Child Support Enforcement Interstate Case is initiated by the State of Maryland (Maryland), Maryland has 10 days to review the case and notify the responding State of any change or deviation in the case. When a Child Support Enforcement Interstate Case is initiated by another State and Maryland is responding, Maryland has 10 days to review and notify the initiating State of any changes or deviations in the case. During our review, we noted that in 1 out of 13 initiating cases, or 7.69 percent, the responding State was not notified within the proper time frame of a change in the case.

After an application is filed, the Child Support Intake Division is obligated to locate or document attempts to locate the non-custodial parent within 75 days. If the non-custodial parent is not located within the specified time frame the Intake Division is to document the reason for the delay. During our review, we noted that in 1 out of 12 responding cases, or 8.33 percent, the non-custodial parent was not located within 75 days and no documentation was provided as to why she had not been located.

When a delinquency is acknowledged, the Child Support Enforcement division has 30 days to perform some action of enforcement and it must be documented. During our review we noted that 1 out of the 25 Interstate cases, or 4 percent, performed no enforcement action after a delinquency was acknowledged.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-16 (continued)

The Child Support Enforcement division is obligated to determine whether adequate health insurance policy has been obtained and, if so, must obtain the policy name, number, and name(s) of the person(s) covered. During our review of the 25 interstate cases, we noted that in the 1 case in which the custodial parent requested medical insurance be provided and there was no insurance information obtained, nor any documentation or reasoning for not obtaining the information in the case files.

This is a repeat finding from the Fiscal Year 2004, Single Audit Report finding number 2004-44.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

In 2006 the Department implemented a standardized Quality Control Review (QCR). The QCR is designed to ensure that cases are processed properly. The QCR requires the random selection of a statistically valid sample of cases on a quarterly basis. The supervisor reviews cases, documents the review results, indicates what, if any, action needs to be taken, and follows up to determine that the required action was completed by the caseworker.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-17

Morgan State University

Student Financial Aid Cluster

CFDA No. 84.063 – Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL)

CFDA No. 84.268 – Federal Direct Student Loans (FDLP)

CFDA No. 84.038 - Federal Perkins Loans (FPL)

CFDA No. 84.007 – Federal Supplemental Educations Opportunity Grants (FSEOG)

Morgan State University lacks internal controls over the return of Title IV funds.

Condition:

Morgan State University (Morgan) does not calculate and return unearned Title IV funds to the Department of Education (ED) when students withdraw from the institution.

We reviewed the refund calculation for 25 students and was unable to obtain audit evidence to verify that the funds were returned within the 30 day timeframe for 13 of those students. We also noted that there was no management approval for review of the calculated unearned amount or for the return of these unearned funds to the ED.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

With the newly implemented administrative management system (Banner), the University utilizes the netting of disbursements and withdrawals to manage return of Title IV funds. As a result of this system enhancement, return of Title IV funds are done within the required time frame. Documentation is available for audit verification.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-18

Morgan State University

Student Financial Aid Cluster

CFDA No. 84.007 - Federal Supplemental Educations Opportunity Grants (FSEOG)

CFDA No. 84.038 - Federal Perkins Loans (FPL)

CFDA No. 84.063 – Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL)

CFDA No. 84.268 – Federal Direct Student Loans (FDLP)

Morgan State University lacks adequate documentation of the cash drawdown process for Federal ED programs.

Condition:

Per our test of the University's procedures for forecasting cash needs and cash drawdown requests, we tested five drawdown requests and reviewed the accounting procedures to agree to the accounting records. We were unable to agree the drawdowns to the accounting records because drawdown amounts were inconsistently calculated based on a formula used by the Finance Department.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

Effective fall of 2007, the University is drawing down funds based on disbursements on the operating ledger. The grant accountant utilizes the operating ledger disbursement amount and draws down 100 percent of the receivable.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-19

Morgan State University

Student Financial Aid Cluster

CFDA No. 84.268 – Federal Direct Student Loans (FDLP)

Morgan State University did not perform reconciliations for loan disbursements for the Direct Loan program.

Condition:

Morgan does not perform monthly reconciliations of the Direct Loan Student Account Statement to the institution's financial records. We noted that the Financial Aid department is not performing monthly reconciliations as required by the ED with the School Account Statement (SAS). Each month Morgan receives from the Common Origination and Disbursement (COD) a Student Account Data File consisting of a Cash Summary, Cash Detail and Loan Detail Records. Morgan must report all loan disbursements to the Direct Loan Servicing Center via COD within 30 days of the disbursement. This is a repeat finding.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

The University has always and will always continue to reconcile the Direct Loan Disbursements with the Dept. of Education. The documentation is held on file with the Quality Control Supervisor. Disbursements (reductions and increases) are transmitted twice weekly and a monthly reconciliation is completed.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-20

Morgan State University

Student Financial Aid cluster

CFDA No. 84.063 – Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL)

Pell Payment Data (disbursement records) are not being submitted to the Department of Education in the required time period.

Condition:

Institutions are required to submit disbursement records by September 30th following the end of the award year. The reporting process includes preparation and submission of origination records and disbursement records. We noted no evidence to support that Morgan is preparing and submitting the Pell Payment Data to the ED.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

Currently, twice weekly, the Financial Aid Office (FAO) Quality Control Officer submits PELL payment data to the Department of Education (ED). The FAO Director assures compliance with the requirement and maintains the appropriate record in the form of an ED account statement which is also provided to the Restricted Funds Accounting Office. Monthly reconciliation records are held on file with FAO Quality Control Officer.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-21

Morgan State University

Student Financial Aid cluster

CFDA No. 84.268 - Federal Direct Student Loans

Morgan State University lacks effective internal controls over notifying National Student Loan Data System of changes in student's status timely and accurately.

Condition:

Institutions are required to report to the National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS) student status changes within 30 days unless the institution anticipates completing its next Roster File within 60 days. We reviewed the student status change for 25 students and noted that Morgan State University (MSU) did not process changes for 19 of the 25 tested in a timely manner. Timely reporting of student status changes impacts the timeliness of establishing a repayment plan for the loan.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

The Student Status Confirmation Report (SSCR) report is processed and run every 60 days. This ensures that the University stays in compliance with reporting student enrollment changes to the Dept. of Education. Once the University is able to join the Clearinghouse we will not have to submit the SSCR report.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005- 26

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)
Maryland State Children's Insurance Program (SCHIP)

CFDA No. 93.767

Internal control weakness over the Schedule G Reconciliation process.

Condition:

State agencies are required to prepare Schedule G and G-1, if applicable, for all federal assistance received. Data on the schedule G must agree with program level data shown in R*STARS for Federal funds, and the reconciling items must be disclosed and fully explained on the form. We noted that DHMH recorded more expenditures on the Centers for Medicare and Medical Services (CMS) 64 report than was recorded on R*STARS and Schedule G. The CMS 64 is the vehicle used by DHMH to report expenditures to the Federal Government. After all known reconciling items were applied, DHMH still had an unreconciled difference of \$878,709 between the CMS 64 and Schedule G expenditures.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

Due to significant turnover in personnel, we did not have the resources to perform a reconciliation of the Centers for Medicare and Medical Services (CMS) 64 report to the Schedule G at June 30, 2007.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-27

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

CFDA No. 93.778 - Medical Assistance Program (Medicaid Cluster)

DHMH should develop internal controls over the interface process of the eligibility system, Client Automated Resource Eligibility System (CARES), to the medical payment system, Medicaid Management Information System II (MMISII) and resolve identified errors in a timely manner.

Condition:

The Department of Human Resources (DHR) determines the eligibility status of medical assistance participants and documents such status in the Client Automated Resource Eligibility System (CARES). Due to interfacing problems between the eligibility system, CARES, and the payment system, Medicaid Management Information System II (MMIS II), a significant number of recipients encounter errors as part of the enrollment process. This results in recipients potentially having delayed or extended benefits until the identified errors are corrected.

Comments:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene's (DHMH) previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged. The Department's previous response indicated that the error reports that are generated on a daily basis must be handled manually. DHMH staff correct those cases where they can determine the error and make the necessary changes to the appropriate database. In instance where they are unsure of the correct resolution, the Local Department of Social Services is contacted and appropriate action to the database is taken. While this daily file may not be completed in the day in which it was received, all corrections are made within one week of receipt. This occurs occasionally because at certain times, usually the end of the month,

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-27(continued)

this file is much larger than normal. In addition, the majority of the errors or discrepancies are not substantial and do not result in erroneous payments.

The Department of Human Resources (DHR) will continue to send DHMH a monthly Client Automated Resource Eligibility System (CARES) and Medicaid Management Information System II (MMIS II) reconciliation file. The monthly file, which is now designed to identify those cases where a payment error could occur, has gone from a manual process to a mostly (90%) automated process. Staff is able to complete the 10% manual processing required timely in the month in which it was reported.

As stated previously, Medical Care Programs Administration (MCPA) has identified those cases where it is cost effective and administratively feasible to collect erroneous payments.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-28

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

CFDA No. 93.283 - Center for Disease Control and Prevention: Investigation and Technical Assistance

Internal control weaknesses and non-compliance over the Federal cash management process.

Condition:

We noted that one individual within the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) determines the drawdown amount, prepares the request for Federal reimbursement and forwards the request to the State Treasurer's Office for processing. DHMH's internal controls do not require a supervisor to review the drawdown for accuracy nor approve the drawdown request prior to requesting reimbursement for the Federal government.

We also noted that drawdown requests for Local Health Departments (LHD), which are extensions of the State, were based on allocations of funds made available to the LHD rather than actual expenditures of the LHD. DHMH's internal controls over the drawdown of funds related to LHD allocations are not in compliance with 31 CFR 205 Subpart B. Refer to the table below for the differences between actual cumulative expenditures posted to R*STARS and actual funds drawndown (related to LHD's) on monthly basis.

Comments:

Unresolved by Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged. The Department previous response indicated that the department agrees with the finding and supervisory review and approval is required on all draw down requests. The Department changed its current practice of requesting funds for certain local health departments based on their award rather than actual expenditures during its corrective action plan in July 2005. A monthly request for funds is made based on expenditures as of the previous month.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-29

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH)

CFDA No. 93.283 - Center for Disease Control and Prevention: Investigation and Technical Assistance

Internal control weakness and non-compliance over the Period of Availability monitoring process. DHMH charged expenditures to awards subsequent to 90 days after the end of the funding period.

Condition:

We noted that \$341,984 in obligations relating to the Pfiesteria-Related Illness Surveilance and Prevention Cooperative Agreement U50/CCU315411-05 were liquidated subsequent to the ninety day closeout period after the end of the funding period.

Comments:

Unresolved by Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged. The Department's previous response indicated that the Department agrees with the finding and is utilizing FMIS, the State's computer system, feature to ensure that grants are closed 90 days after the end of the funding period. The Department implemented its corrective action plan in fiscal Year 2006.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-30

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

CFDA No. 93.283 – Center for Disease Control and Prevention: Investigations and Technical Assistance

Internal control weaknesses and non-compliance over the Financial Status Reporting process.

Condition:

DHMH is required to submit a final Financial Status Report (FSR) ninety days after the end of the budget period for the Pfiesteria-Related Illness Surveillance and Prevention cooperative agreement (U50/CCU315411-05). The expenditures reported on the FSR are reported on the cash basis. We noted that DHMH does not have a control in place to ensure that this report is submitted within the required time period.

Comments:

Unresolved by Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged. The Department's previous response indicated that the Department agrees with the finding and has established a tracking system that ensures Financial Status Reports are filed timely after the review and approval of an appropriate supervisor. The Department implemented its corrective action plan in Fiscal Year 2006.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-31

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

CFDA No. 93.283 – Center for Disease Control and Prevention: Investigations and Technical Assistance

Internal control weaknesses and non-compliance over the National Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Detection Program earmarking requirement.

Condition:

We noted that the calculations performed by individuals with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) related to the earmarking requirements for the National Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Detection Program (NBCCEDP) were based on estimated expenditures for screening, non-screening and administration.

Comments:

Unresolved by Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Department's previous response and corrective action plan remains unchanged. The Breast and Cervical Cancer Program (BCCP) has received time studies from all of the local jurisdictions for the first and second quarters in fiscal year 2007. Analysis of the information included in the time studies indicates that the BCCP is in compliance with the earmarking requirements under the Centers for Disease Prevention and Control. The BCCP will continue to perform and monitor time studies in the future to ensure compliance with the earmarking requirements. Completed May 1, 2007.

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-32

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

CFDA No. 93.283 - Center for Disease Control and Prevention: Investigations and Technical Assistance

Internal control weakness and non-compliance over the subrecipient monitoring process. DHMH did not classify subrecipients in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Condition:

We noted that one of the twelve contracts tested was improperly classified as a vendor. DHMH's internal controls over subrecipient and vendor determinations are not in accordance with OMB Circular A-133. This internal control weakness also resulted in non-compliance with the subrecipient monitoring requirement of identifying to the subrecipient the Federal award information and applicable compliance requirements (at the time of the award).

Comments:

Unresolved by Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(January, 2008 Update)

The Department implemented its corrective action plan at the close of fiscal year 2005.

STATE OF MARYLAND Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings (Continued)

Finding 2005-33

Department of Human Resources (DHR) CFDA No. 10.561 – Food Stamps Program

The Food Stamps Program had internal control weakness over the Financial Reporting Process. We noted some reports were filed late, one lacked supervisory signature and submittal date.

Condition:

The Food Nutrition Service (FNS) FNS-46 Issuance Reconciliation Report is a reconciliation report of food stamp benefits actually issued with the State's Master Issuance File. Generally, one FNS-46 covers the entire State if it operates only one issuance (EBT). The Master Issuance contains records on all households eligible to receive benefits. Actual issuances may be recorded in the Record for Issuance (RFI) or an alternative filing system. The RFI is created from the Master Issuance File and discloses the amount of benefits the household is eligible to receive and the actual amount issued. FNS-46 report is transmitted to the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The FNS-46 report is prepared on a monthly basis and is required to be submitted ninety (90) days after the month's end.

We reviewed 4 monthly FNS-46 Reports for testing and noted that 3 monthly reports were submitted more than ninety days after the month's end to the U.S. Department of Agriculture and one had a missing submittal date and signature.

Comment:

Unresolved by the Federal Government.

Auditee Updated Response and Corrective Action Plan:

(February, 2008 Update)

The Department concurs with the finding. Based on the auditor's recommendations, procedures for submission of the FNS-46 report are in place to ensure timely submissions. The procedures include preparation of a schedule of FNS-46 Report due dates each federal fiscal year, and supervisory monitoring of report submissions to ensure reports are submitted on or before the due dates. In fiscal year 2007, all FNS-46 reports were submitted on time.