MINUTES

Agenda Item: E&E 2.0

Discussion: Don Spicer began the discussion of the E&E 2.0. He had distributed the draft E&E 2.0 work plan document, which was written by the E&E Committee, to the ITCC for review. The work plan targets large areas rather than specific outcomes. The institutions need to actively show the Regents that they are being proactive. Having all of the institutions begin analytics starting with the president would be one proactive action. A few resources to help with this goal are MEEC, USMAI, Center for Academic Innovation and using ERPs in a collective way. Re-purposing existing resources is an efficient way to move forward. Identifying specific targets with initiatives that the System needs to achieve would be the best first step.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Items</th>
<th>Person(s) Responsible</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>➢ Begin the list of commonality items for the E&amp;E and send out to the ITCC for feedback</td>
<td>Don Spicer</td>
<td>Next ITCC Meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agenda Item: Hackett Group Discussion

Discussion: Stan Jakubik briefly went over the document for the proposed discussion agenda for the University of Maryland CIO Forum. He asked the group if there was any interest in The Hackett Group facilitating a forum to which they would schedule a 3 hour meeting to go over the program. There was no interest and Stan will inform The Hackett Group.
Agenda Item: EDUCAUSE Assessment Tool

Discussion: Don Spicer introduced a tool, under development, that EDUCAUSE is creating for IT services self-assessment. This tool is a self-supporting activity and could be used as an internal tool for self-assessment. Don asked the group if there was any interest in this service and will keep the group informed when this service will be available for pilot in a school.

Agenda Item: Internet2 System Membership

Discussion: Don Spicer began the discussion on the Internet2 System membership. Ann Doyle and Dave Gift from Internet2 have developed an attractive deal including access to Net+ services. Khalil has been working on the Net+ portion of this deal. The initial proposal for the System membership has all of the institutions participating. In discussing Net+ services with Khalil, he has the problem of not being able to give detailed information to non-members. To get around this, Khalil has offered to have face to face sessions where he can go into more detail. Khalil has given two dates for informational meetings for those CIOs who are interested in becoming members. Neither of those dates worked for members of the ITCC. Don will seek other dates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Items</th>
<th>Person(s) Responsible</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>➢ Send out Doodle Poll for dates of Internet2 informational meetings</td>
<td>Don Spicer</td>
<td>Before Next ITCC Meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agenda Item: Follow-Up to ERP Discussion

Discussion: In response to the CIO request from our prior meeting, Stan Jakubik introduced a proposal to allow individual institutions to withdraw from some or all PS modules to reduce their contributions to the bundled PS contract. His first proposal was based on the concept of allowing a phased withdraw for individual modules that would reduce that institution’s costs over a four year period. The remaining institutions would have to assume responsibility for the costs during and after that phased withdraw period. He provided an example of the impact on the other campuses using UMUC’s withdraw from HR and Financials.

During the meeting he also proposed an alternative approach, indicating that we should consider requiring all institutions to continue to pay their current share until there is available competition for a SaaS student system (projecting 2018 as a possible year). At that point, USM could release an RFP modeled on
the MEEC master contract approach, allowing for multi-vendor awards and individual contracts for each institution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Items</th>
<th>Person(s) Responsible</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>➢ All CIOs should consider both of these options before the next meeting.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Before Next ITCC Meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agenda Item: 2015 Proposed Legislation Impacting IT
Discussion: Suresh Balakrishnan spoke about 2015 proposed legislation that is impacting IT. SB 543 Task Force on Procurement and Cybersecurity was introduced. This bill proposes to establish a Task Force on Procurement and Cybersecurity which would make recommendations regarding specified law, policies, procedures, and best practices that should be adopted by the State. Suresh also said that we will continue to track the legislative bills.

Note: On February 20, SB 543 was given an unfavorable report by Finance and was withdrawn.

Agenda Item: CSM IT Security Services
Discussion: This item was not discussed due to lack of time.

Discussion Item: Cyber Security FFRDC
Discussion: Suresh Balakrishnan spoke about the Cyber Security FFRDC. USM won the bid for the nation’s first cyber security FFRDC in partnership with MITRE. The University of Maryland, College Park and the University of Maryland, Baltimore County are the main USM institutions. Three task orders for $29M have already been issued and the contract has a maximum value of $5 billion over 25 years. The Executive Steering Committee for the FFRDC is comprised of 3 USM representatives and 3 MITRE representatives and the technical team will be led by Dr. Jaja from UMCP and Dr. Joshi from UMBC.
Discussion Item: MEEC Update

Discussion: Tamara Petronka provided an update on MEEC. The MEEC Vendor Showcase is scheduled for April 23rd at Martins West. There are three procurements that are currently active. The UMCP Microsoft Re-Seller agreement should come to a close by April. The contracts for the TU AV Services agreement have been executed and the AACC IT Security Assessment Service agreement should close soon. There should be 20-25 vendors under the contract.

Discussion Item: USMAI Update

Discussion: Chuck Thomas provided an update on USMAI. There is a possibility of having a shared institution repository. Chuck will be meeting with the UMCP library staff in regards to this.

Information Item: Workgroup on Procurement Practices

A workgroup regarding the discussion of making procurement policies and procedures for IT procurements more flexible has been established. The IT members are Ahmed El Haggan, Dave Bobart, Simeon Ananou and Don Spicer. Jim Salt has recruited 4 Procurement Officers.

Information Item: IT Accessibility Workgroup

The IT Accessibility Workgroup will begin working with institutional reps within the next few months.

Information Item: Joint Meeting with Community Colleges on May 8th

The joint meeting with the Community Colleges will be a regular ITCC meeting. The date is scheduled for May 8th but a hosting venue still needs to be established.

*The OLA Exit Conference for UMUC will be on the agenda for next month’s ITCC meeting.*
Attendees

Suresh Balakrishnan, USM

Guy Jones, MDREN

Don Spicer, USM

Roshad (by IVN), CSU

Jack Suess, UMBC

Leigh Ann Hess (by IVN), USM

Pete Young, UMUC

Ken Kundell (by phone), UMES

Ahmed El-Haggan (by IVN), CSU

MJ Bishop, USM

Stan Jakubik, UMBC

Peter Murray, UMB

Dave Bobart (by phone), UB

Kurt Florez (by phone), UMCES

Chuck Thomas, USMAI

Eric Denna, UMCP

Tamara Petronka, MEEC

Jeff Schmidt, TU

Troy Donoway (by IVN), FSU

Wayne Rose (by IVN), BSU

Simeon Ananou (by phone), SU